### LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION STATE OF ALASKA

In the Matter of the Petition by the	he City )
Of Hoonah for the Incorporation	
Xunaa Borough As a Home Rule	
And Dissolution of the City of H	
A	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
STATE OF ALASKA	)
	) ss
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	)

I, Paul H. Grant, certify that I represent the City of Pelican, Alaska, in this proceeding and that on the 15<sup>th</sup> Day of January 2025, in accordance with 3 AAC 110.580, I caused true and correct copies of the REQUEST BY THE CITY OF PELICAN FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE DECISION BY THE LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION and attachments to be served via U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on:

Local Boundary Commission Attn: Jedidiah Smith 550 W. 7<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 1650 Anchorage, AK 99501-3510 [Orignal and five copies]

Hayden Meier, Chairman Board of Directors, Elfin Cove 6433 S. Constellation Way Boise, ID 83709

City of Hoonah Attn: Dennis Gray, City Administrator 300 Front Street Hoonah, AK 99829

With an additional copy sent via electronic mail to each party.

City of Pelican, Affidavit of Service January 15, 2025 DATED this 15th Day of January, 2025

Paul H. Grant

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before me this \_

day of January, 2025

Notary Public

My commission expires 03/24/2027

JOHN M AMES Notary Public State of Washington Commission # 206045 My Comm. Expires Mar 24, 2027

### LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION STATE OF ALASKA

In the Matter of the Petition by the City	)
Of Hoonah for the Incorporation of the	)
Xunaa Borough As a Home Rule Borough	)
And Dissolution of the City of Hoonah	)

### AFFIDAVIT OF PAUL GRANT

STATE OF ALASKA	)
	) ss
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	)

I, Paul H. Grant, certify that I represent the City of Pelican, Alaska, in this proceeding. According to the requirements of 3 AAC 110.580(c), I further attest that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, formed after a reasonable inquiry, this request for reconsideration is founded in fact and not submitted to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless expense in the cost of processing the petition.

DATED this 15th Day of January, 2025

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before me this

day of January, 2025

JOHN M AMES Notary Public State of Washington Commission # 206045 Comm. Expires Mar 24, 2027 Notary Public

My commission expires \_03/24/2027

### LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION STATE OF ALASKA

In the Matter of the Petition by the City	)
Of Hoonah for the Incorporation of the	)
Xunaa Borough As a Home Rule Borough	)
And Dissolution of the City of Hoonah	)

# REQUEST BY THE CITY OF PELICAN FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE DECISION OF THE LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION

Pursuant to the provisions of 3 AAC 110.580, The City of Pelican respectfully requests that the Local Boundary Commission (hereinafter "LBC" or "Commission") reconsider its

December 20, 2025, Decision (hereinafter "Majority Decision") approving the City of Hoonah's (hereinafter "Hoonah") Petition to form the proposed Xunaa Borough. For the reasons set forth below, the Commission's Majority Decision does not comply with applicable standards for approving a borough because it fails to adequately or accurately address several material issues of fact and ignores or fails to meet the requirements of applicable law. Accordingly, on reconsideration, the Petition as submitted must be rejected.<sup>1</sup>

This matter comes back before the Commission as the result of a close vote, with three commissioners adopting the Majority Decision of December 20, 2024, and two commissioners producing a Statement of Dissent (hereinafter "Dissent") on the same date. These conflicting decisions conclude a process that included an extensive and thoroughly considered Commission staff analysis, the "Final Report to The Local Boundary Commission," August 5, 2024

Request by the City of Pelican for Reconsideration In the Matter of the Petition by the City of Hoonah

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As the Alaska Supreme Court has ruled, the Commission "shall" deny a petition that does not meet applicable standards. *Yakutat v. Local Boundary Com'n*, 900 P.2d 721, 724 (Alaska 1995). As the Supreme Court noted, approval of a flawed petition is not appropriate; rejection is the only action. However, if the Commission seeks to approve the petition, the way to do so is to change the boundary to meet applicable standards. *Id*.

(hereinafter "Staff Report"), overwhelmingly negative public testimony and submissions from affected communities and individuals, and of course Hoonah's petition to form a borough.

#### I. SUMMARY OF THE BASIS FOR RECONSIDERATION

Hoonah's petition fails to meet the standards for approval of a borough.<sup>2</sup> Throughout the Majority Decision, the Dissent, and the Staff Report, this conclusion is inescapable. The myriad ways in which Hoonah's proposal fails to meet even the minimum standards for borough approval are recounted here at length in this Request for Reconsideration. Yet in the face of a major problems identified in the administrative record, the Majority Decision ignored and glossed over its own evidence and findings in order to approve a one-sided, self-interested borough proposal, favorable to Hoonah and detrimental to Pelican and at least two other established cities in the region. By doing so, the Majority Decision contravenes the Commission's fundamental mandate, mission, purpose, applicable standards, and best interests of all parties.

It is simply not the Commission's role, in a misguided effort to force creation of a borough on all the other the communities in the region, representing half the population, to support what amounts to a hostile takeover of the entire region's assets by one single community. The Majority Decision will impoverish at least three municipalities and leave them without prospects other than joining a borough later, on highly unfavorable terms. This is not a legitimate outcome. Indeed, as Commission itself noted in the case of Dillingham's proposed

2 T

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is worth noting that the first two pages of the Final Staff Report eloquently state most of the reasons why Hoonah's petition must be rejected. The Majority Decision fails to respond to or counter those relevant points raised by staff, instead seeking to ignore or override them, primarily for the sake of forcing establishment of another borough after a long hiatus, and to punish Pelican and the other excluded communities for raising any objections or concerns.

annexation, "the LBC's role is to make decisions in the best interests of the state, not based on the best interests of a single community, and . . . it is not equitable to allow one city to acquire revenue from a regional resource." Yet in this case, that is precisely the effect of the Majority's Decision.

By ignoring the obvious facts that Hoonah's petition does not meet applicable standards to establish a borough, and by buying into a false sense of urgency and misguided mandate skillfully generated by Hoonah and its counsel, the Majority Decision fails to serve the best interests of the state. The Majority Decision does not meet the necessary legal and regulatory requirements, fails to adequately to address numerous critically relevant factors, and appears to be based on an improper factors and considerations, an incomplete public record, and irregular procedural decision making. Each of these shortcomings, which together and individually warrant reversal, is addressed in the sections that follow.

It is not too late to reverse course. It is in the best interests of all the Glacier Bay region's communities, the Commission, and the State of Alaska to ensure that the communities are truly cooperating and collaborating as equals, to join forces in an agreed-on governance model. Hoonah's proposal so disadvantages the long-term interests of Pelican, Gustavus, and Tenakee Springs that it will be difficult if not impossible to rectify, except though years of subsequent legal action. To salvage this situation and correct its errors, the Commission must reconsider the Majority Decision and reject Hoonah's proposal. Then, and only then, can the communities of

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Local Boundary Commission State of Decision on Reconsideration, In the matter of the July 3, 2017, request by respondents Native Village of Ekuk, et al., for the LBC to reconsider the decision approving the City of Dillingham Annexation Petition, at 6. While the exact question on reconsideration in that annexation was factually different, the principal is directly applicable here, and is a reasoned exercise of the Commission's duty to make decisions about boundaries for municipalities that are in the best interest of the state.

the Glacier Bay region come together and on an equal basis find a governance model that serves all their shared interests and needs.

#### II. THE MAJORITY DECISION DOES NOT MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR INCORPORATION OF BOROUGHS

#### A. The Majority Decision Erroneously Imposes Unfavorable Conditions on Pelican and Other Communities In Order to Force Them Into A Borough

The framers of the Alaska Constitution recognized that there was a vital need to guide the formation and management of municipal boundaries in the new state. To that end, they created the Local Boundary Commission. The framers determined to divide the state into either the Organized or Unorganized Borough. A key responsibility of the Commission is to help communities in the Unorganized Borough, when they are ready, willing, and able, to form new boroughs so they can undertake the responsibilities of regional government.

As the Alaska Supreme Court has noted, the there is a need for a statewide Local Boundary Commission because "local political decisions do not usually create proper boundaries" and the Commission would assure that "area-wide or state-wide needs can be taken into account." It acts as a third-party where "arguments for and against a boundary change can be analyzed objectively" (emphasis added). The Majority Decision here fails at that most basic of functions, by approving a petition that is explicitly designed to benefit only Hoonah at the expense of the broader area-wide needs of the other communities of the Glacier Bay region. Despite clear language in the Staff Report saying "there is no intent or effort from [the Commission] to impose borough government formation on the unorganized

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Fairview Public Utility District No. 1 v. City of Anchorage, 368 P.2d. 540, 543 (Alaska 1962)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

borough"<sup>6</sup> the Majority Decision is driven by an unfounded belief that it is under a time sensitive mandate to organize the unorganized borough, lamenting that "there has not been a borough formed in Alaska since Petersburg in 2013."<sup>7</sup> It goes on to complain about the impediments to borough formation within the unorganized borough including, ironically, that the process of borough formation "pits the potentially contrasting views and geographic regions against each other."<sup>8</sup> Yet in its motivation and haste to force formation of a borough in the Glacier Bay region, the Majority Decision says the Commission must approve a flawed and one-sided proposal because to do otherwise is simply too difficult.<sup>9</sup>

It is no wonder why the other communities are reticent to go along. Stepping back and looking at Hoonah's borough proposal as a whole, it is remarkably focused on only the needs of that one community, offering only taxation (not platting 10 or schools) to those communities subsumed within it. By excluding three existing municipalities hemmed into incredibly narrowly drawn enclaves, it allows Hoonah to secure valuable economic benefits seized from the whole Glacier Bay area, including at the very doorstep of those excluded communities.

That is not a mistake. It is Hoonah's explicit intent. For example, Hoonah expressly states that it is unwilling for political and ideological reasons to levy property tax that would fund services for local residents.<sup>11</sup> Hence, it is seeking to take the economic base from Pelican and the other two cities in the region and alter education funding, depriving the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Staff Report, at 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Majority Decision, at 14.

<sup>8</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* Contrast the Minority Decision, at 6, which takes the opposite view.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Although the Majority Decision premises its approval on the extension of platting and zoning beyond the Hoonah townsite, the Staff Report points out such Commission requirements have in the past been ignored for as long as 17 years and the Commission has no effective mechanism to enforce them. Staff Report, at p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Testimony of Dennis Gray, September 5, 2024 Public Hearing, Transcript at 45.

children in those neighboring communities of the school funding they now receive. Rather than an effort to cooperatively share the bounties of the region, Hoonah's proposal is more analogous to a hostile corporate takeover, stripping the resources of the excluded communities by seizing the exclusive right to tax the resource base on which those communities depend, denying their future growth or ability to join any borough in the future on favorable terms, and offering nothing in return. The City of Pelican questions how Hoonah's proposal can possibly be seen as even minimally meeting the standards for borough formation given the enormous inequities it creates, and the numerous unaddressed specific issues raised by stakeholders and the Staff Report. When the specific qualifying criteria are examined, it is patently obvious that the petition falls far short of the best interests test.

# **B.** The Boundaries of the Proposed Borough Are Needlessly Expansive and Create Unpermitted Enclaves

In considering the boundaries of a proposed borough, the Commission must determine whether they meet the criteria set forth in 3 AAC 110.060. Specifically, subsection 3 AAC 110.060(d) presumes that enclaves established in a proposed borough boundary demonstrate it does not meet the test of including "all the land and water necessary..." Perhaps the most unsupportable and egregious aspect of the Hoonah's proposed borough is the drawing of boundaries that would incorporate virtually all the lands and waters within the Glacier Bay Model Borough region, with the exception of tiny enclaves around the other three communities. The Majority Decision's conclusory assertion that these enclaves are not really enclaves is not only logically absurd but is also contrary to the factual findings of

<sup>12</sup> The deciding vote to form the Majority appears to have been based on Commissioner Trotter simply disagreeing with the requirements of the regulation. Transcript of Decisional Hearing, at 60.

Request by the City of Pelican for Reconsideration In the Matter of the Petition by the City of Hoonah staff,<sup>13</sup> the Dissent,<sup>14</sup> and even Commissioner's statements at their own Decisional Hearing.<sup>15</sup>

The Staff Report notes that the proposed borough boundaries would arrogate to Hoonah the vast majority of the revenue-generating economic resources of the region and would transfer the benefits of those currently shared economic benefits from the excluded communities to Hoonah. The Majority Decision fails to evaluate the impact of Hoonah's proposal on the ability of the excluded communities to survive and continue to function. It is self-evident that with a dramatically reduced taxation base, as well as loss of control over adjacent lands, waters, and natural resources, they are severely disadvantaged by being relegated to tiny enclaves outside the boundaries of the proposed borough.

This is of course the fundamental reason why state law and regulations strongly disfavor the creation of enclaves within or adjacent to proposed borough boundaries. Yet the Majority Decision inexplicably relies on an earlier preliminary Staff Report, <sup>17</sup> to suggest the enclaves are not really enclaves. The Majority conveniently ignores the subsequent, final Staff Report which states the contrary and obvious fact that the proposal <u>does</u> create enclaves for Pelican and the other excluded municipalities. <sup>18</sup> The Dissent recognizes the infirmity of the Majority Decision and in several sections questions the Majority's conclusion, strongly suggesting it will not survive judicial review, because the proposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Staff Report, at 36. "The petitioner effectively creates new enclaves in the unorganized borough...."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Dissent, at 5. Calling the boundaries "likely enclaves."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> E.g., Transcript of Decisional Hearing, at 28. This transcript, inexplicably not available on the Local Boundary Commission website, is attached to this brief so it can be included in the official administrative record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Staff Report, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Majority Decision, at 27, citing Preliminary Staff Report from July 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Staff Report, at 36.

boundary excludes "nearly half of the population and all of the other municipalities in the region." <sup>19</sup>

The Majority Decision also admits that the inclusion of all four communities in the region "would absolutely be in the best interests of the state" but excuses this shortcoming as allegedly impossible. It counters by relying on Hoonah's assertion that other Commission decisions have permitted similar exceptions to the rule, relying not on applicable legal standards, but merely citing to inapplicable examples from the Haines and Ketchikan Boroughs. The Dissent Statement also refutes that claim directly, saying "[s]uch an expansive precedent seems not to exist in LBC's annals." The Commission did not take the time to seriously consider how the situations that resulted in those other exclusions are factually very different from Hoonah's proposal and do not support the enclaves here. The reason such extensive gerrymandering has not been permitted in the past is that it makes a mockery of the regulatory guidelines and the statutory standards. Hoonah proposes not just a single enclave but three, widely separated, which contain the majorities of the cities in the region, representing half the area population.

#### C. The Majority Erred in Permitting Hoonah to Form a Single City Borough

The Majority erred in accepting Hoonah's assertion that previous Commission decisions provide support for approving a single city borough in this case. Hoonah asserted, and the Majority accepted, that the Skagway, Wrangell, and Yakutat borough decisions provide precedent to justify excluding three of the four communities in the Glacier Bay region. <sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See, e.g., Minority Decision, at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Majority Decision, at 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Id.*, at 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id.*, at 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Minority Decision, at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Majority Decision, at 27.

Those examples are not at all comparable nor typical, as Hoonah insisted. In each of those examples there was only one city in the natural boundaries of the proposed borough, and no other excluded cities objecting to the proposed boundary.

## D. The Proposed Boundaries Improperly Discriminate Against the Excluded Communities

One of the factual issues that is not in any dispute is the core reason that Hoonah proposes excluding its neighboring communities: they do not favor the proposal as written and are likely to reject it if allowed to participate in an election to ratify the decision. This is a clear theme throughout the Majority Decision, the Dissent Statement, the Staff Report, Hoonah's Petition, and the public testimony. It is important to note here that Pelican's concern was with the specific, deeply flawed borough proposal offered by Hoonah, but not necessarily with the concept of joining a well-structured and fairly-governed borough.

The Majority Decision is heavily influenced by an unreasoning prejudice against the excluded communities, essentially blaming them for the shortcomings in the Hoonah proposal, because the excluded communities allegedly just want to "keep the status quo" and are "thus thwarting the framer's intent to minimize the number of local governments." There are at least two ways in which this attitude is inappropriate. First, there is no requirement anywhere in the Constitution or state law that communities accept another community's borough proposal or come up with an alternative before they can object. All reviewers are responding to the petition that has been filed. Second, since the Hoonah proposal itself is directly contrary to the alleged framer's intent, failing to minimize the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> *Id.* at 16.

number of local governments, objections by the excluded communities are completely appropriate and well-founded.

Nevertheless, Commissioner Trotter expressed his prejudice by comparing the excluded communities to "crabs in a barrel" and going so far as to say that this obviously unfavorable proposal is not something that Hoonah did to the communities but "they did [it] to themselves. They chose it." <sup>27</sup>

The concerns of the excluded communities are not their fault. That assertion is absurd, offensive, and evidences a lack of statutorily required objectivity on the part of the Commission. Ignoring the issues raised, the Majority simply waves them aside, asserting against the factual record and testimony that "none of these neighboring municipalities would have agreed to participate" in the proposed borough. The Dissent Statement and the Staff Report contravene that conclusion and point out various places in the hearing record and public comments where the excluded communities have offered support for the concept of a borough, but not for this specific proposal. The Majority Decision ignores this evidence and simply sides with Hoonah's self-interested claims of frustration.

The Commission does not have the authority to simply discount and dismiss the very serious and legitimate concerns raised by Pelican and other communities and individual stakeholders, especially where the terms of the proposed borough will permanently disadvantage and impoverish them. It is no surprise they have objections, and those should be treated respectfully and fully addressed. It is the responsibility of the Commission to

<sup>26</sup> Transcript of Decisional Hearing, at 113-114. <sup>27</sup> Id. At 127.

20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Reviewing the Decisional Hearing Transcript demonstrates the exceptional influence of Hoonah's counsel, who is quoted at length by the Commissioners during their deliberations. That appears to be the primary source of the Majority's assertion that the excluded communities have been uncooperative and obstructionist. Other extensive evidence contradicts that view, and it is factually unwarranted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Majority Decision at 28.

objectively analyze the merits of a proposal, not to sarcastically characterize it as some sort of crustacean gladiator show.

Nor should the Commission approve Hoonah's intentional gerrymandering of the public vote required to approve the proposal. Here too the reasons for excluding the aggrieved communities are based on preventing them from voting against the proposal. The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and Article 1, Section 1 of the Alaska Constitution guarantee equal treatment under the law for similarly situated citizens. Allowing Hoonah to exclude the communities they think would vote against the proposal deprives the residents of the excluded communities of their Constitutional right to participate in a decision directly impacting their economic interests, on a completely impermissible basis, yet this is exactly why the excluded communities are being denied the right to vote.<sup>30</sup> Based on extensive evidence in the record, there can be no dispute that this situation directly and substantially impacts the communities that are being excluded from an opportunity to participate.

The Commission cannot therefore allow Hoonah to deny the excluded communities, representing the majority of the municipalities and half the regional population, the right to vote. While the Commission may believe that it can authorize municipal boundary changes without the approval of those affected, it behooves the Commission to avoid making a decision that will lead to litigation, the need for future action, and the creation of regional divisions that may take decades to resolve.

Finally, as should be obvious, the Staff Report concedes that approval of the Hoonah borough enclaves disadvantages their future prospects for either joining the Hoonah

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> That Hoonah intentionally sought to exclude the other communities from being able to vote, because it feared they would vote against the proposal, was acknowledged by the Commissioners in the November 12 Decisional Meeting. Transcript of Decisional Meeting, at 116-119.

borough or being forced into joining another existing or prospective borough.<sup>31</sup> Further, while the evidence of adverse impacts is clear, the extent is not. Yet the Majority simply ignores the Staff recommendation that an updated "Borough Feasibility Study" be prepared, which would inform efforts to ameliorate those impacts.

The Majority Decision appears to consider the pain of being forced to go along with Hoonah's self-serving proposal as just punishment for the purported intransigence of the excluded communities.<sup>32</sup> And punishment it is. The Staff Report notes that the proposed Xunaa Borough charter "is drafted in such a way as not to incentivize inclusion of additional communities" should they want to join that borough in the future.<sup>33</sup> Indeed, Hoonah has designed its proposed form of government in a way that makes it nearly impossible for members of any other communities to serve as elected representatives, in great part because there is no provision for other, later included communities to have dedicated seats on the council. Nor could the excluded communities form their own borough, since the Xunaa Borough would have isolated them from each other geographically. Joining another existing borough is also disadvantageous, requiring them to dissolve their city governments for scant benefit, as noted by the Staff Report.<sup>34</sup> Hoonah's gain comes at the expense of all of its neighbor communities' rights, and discriminates against them without justification, against the best interests of the region and the State.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See, e.g, Staff Report, at 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Transcript of Decisional Hearing, at 127.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Staff Report, at 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id*.

## E. The Decision Does Not Promote Maximum Local Self Government with a Minimum of Local Government Units

3 AAC 110.042 requires that in determining whether a proposed borough meets the best interests of the state it must "promote maximum local self-government" with a "minimum number of government units." The Staff Report and the Minority are clear in several places that Hoonah's petition fails both parts of this test. <sup>35</sup> First, the proposal does not lead to a minimum number of local government units because it does not change the number at all. There would be four governments both before and after borough formation. In addition, the proposal does nothing to promote maximum local self-government and in fact compromises self-government for Pelican and the other communities by diminishing their taxing, planning and school functions.

It is the fundamental purpose of borough formation to join neighboring communities under an overarching government, maximizing the efficiency and effectiveness of delivery of mandatory services (taxation, land use planning, and maintenance of schools) and other desirable government services to the area. While in some cases the isolated nature of communities has led to exceptions where single communities have been allowed to form boroughs, in none of those cases were there several excluded communities, the majority of them, representing half the area population. Thus, those previous examples are easily distinguishable as noted previously, and do not support drawing a borough so narrowly that it does not include any other the other established municipalities in the region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Staff Report, at 38; Dissent, at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> 3 AAC 110.982, requiring consideration of whether the proposal promotes a minimum number of local government units.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Once again, the Commission acknowledged this in its decisional meeting but reached a decision that ignores this significant fact.

That Hoonah's proposal is essentially no more than an effort to expand its tax base to the very front door of its neighboring communities can be seen in what it proposes to offer to the other handful of settlements within the boundary. Of the mandatory responsibilities, Hoonah only offers very limited taxation (indeed, its likely unenforceable promises not to levy a property tax in the future is one of the only things that won support from outside the Hoonah community). It ignores land use, zoning, and maintaining schools. Though the Majority Decision purported to impose zoning responsibility on Hoonah, the Commission does not have the authority to enforce that intent, a point made abundantly clear in the Staff Report.<sup>38</sup> Moreover, without representation from the excluded communities or the included settlements outside Hoonah, the proposed borough will have no local knowledge, interest, or input as it proposes land use rules right up to the border of the excluded communities, making the Commission's Majority Decision unworkable to properly implement. As for schools, the other settlements selected for inclusion in the borough conveniently do not require school services at this time, but it is also clear that if they do in the future Hoonah will not be providing such. However, by impinging on the areas Pelican and the other excluded communities require to fund their schools, the proposed borough actually diminishes the overall ability of the region to provide for education services, without any remedy or compensation for this diminishment.

### F. The Majority Decision Relies on Inappropriate Criteria to Justify the **Expansive Boundaries of the Proposed Borough**

It is indisputable that historically the Alaska Native peoples inhabited and owned all of Alaska, including the area of the proposed borough. With all due respect to that history and acknowledging the ongoing efforts of Alaska Natives to regain their lands and rights, the Majority Decision's reliance on it as a basis for supporting the expansive proposed boundaries

<sup>38</sup> Staff Report, at 16-17. See, e.g., Transcript of Decisional Meeting, at 97.

of the borough is inappropriate. In making its case for borough status, Hoonah conflates the historical tenure and ongoing pursuit of the rights of federally recognized Indian Tribes with the formation and management of a borough under Alaska State law. Whether residents of the Glacier Bay region have been present since time immemorial or have arrived and established citizenship within the last week, state and federal law requires that they be treated equally and not discriminated against. Hoonah is a city, not a federally-recognized Tribe. It does not even have a majority Native population,<sup>39</sup> but nevertheless premises its expansive borough boundary claims on the historical territory of Native clans living in the Hoonah area. In fact, the Commission is completely unqualified and unauthorized to make the necessary determinations required to determine Tribal land ownership. 40 Inexplicably, however, the Majority Decision inappropriately relied on historical Tribal use as a factor justifying the proposed expansive boundaries. And, curiously, the Majority Decision does not explain why the Huna Tlingit's historical use area suddenly terminates at the borders of Pelican, Gustavus, and Tenakee, suggesting that the carefully carved borough borders were in fact driven by other factors than historical or current Tribal rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Staff Report, at 20. Only "34 percent of Hoonah's population identify as American Indian or Alaska Native... with the remaining 25 percent of two or more races...." So, the City of Hoonah is not predominantly an Alaska Native community, nor can it limit itself to only including Alaska Native membership. Therefore, nor can a proposed borough, which must by law admit any citizen of any race, equate itself with a federally recognized Alaska Native tribe, whose members must meet strictly controlled tribal membership criteria. The City of Hoonah nevertheless seeks to bootstrap a substantial but overall minority Native component of its population into justification for seizing all the land that historically belonged to the Alaska Native tribes located in that region. The Commission cannot justify taking away from other communities the rights to use lands, waters, and resources simply because they are primarily inhabited by more recent settlers in Alaska.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Proving use and occupancy of land by Indigenous peoples requires an in depth academic and technical analysis and is normally conducted by federal courts or agencies to establish federal Tribal rights. This is not within the Commission's purview or area of expertise. As evidence of the inability of the Commission to effectively consider and address these complex matters, it seems to have entirely ignored the City of Angoon's objections that the portion of the Xunaa Borough on Admiralty Island infringes on the well-known traditional lands of their Native community. See, City of Angoon Resolution 24-03, submitted to the LBC.

# III.THE MAJORITY DECISION FAILED TO ADEQUATELY CONSIDER RELEVANT FACTORS RAISED IN THE STAFF REPORT

It is settled administrative law and the explicit basis for reconsideration of a Commission decision that a decision must have considered "a material issue of fact or a controlling principle of law." The extensive, well-researched, and clearly reasoned Staff Report concluded that Hoonah's borough petition should be rejected for a variety of reasons that are not addressed adequately in the Majority Decision. Though the Petitioners here note that the Staff Report is, in its entirety, an analysis of points that must be considered in order to make a final decision, the incompletely addressed material issues include at least the following points:

- The proposed Xunaa Borough boundaries create unacceptable enclaves, excluding
  communities that are directly impacted by the decision, preventing them from
  benefitting from the borough and seizing an unwarranted share of lands, waters, and
  resources sustaining those excluded communities.
- The proposed Xunaa Borough does not qualify as a borough based on the lack of mandatory services that should be offered to the other settlements incorporated within the boundaries and there is no practical way to enforce conditions the Commission could impose to assure provision of those services.
- The proposed Xunaa Borough does not reduce the number of municipalities in the Glacier Bay region, but simply absorbs several scattered settlements and excludes the three other established municipalities.
- There were inadequate and incomplete analyses of critical budgetary and financial
  data available to the Commission in order for it to be able to analyze the impact of
  the proposed borough formation on the excluded communities, including how it
  would reduce the availability of tax revenues and benefits like PILT and timber
  receipts.
- There is inadequate information available on the negative impact of the borough formation on the schools in the excluded communities and the Chatham REAA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> 3 AAC 110.580.

• There was inadequate consideration by the Commission of the alternative for Hoonah to form a home rule community, rather than a borough that did not meet the legal criteria.

Furthermore, the Commission did not consider or adequately evaluate the implications of the divergence of the Hoonah borough proposal from its own Model Boroughs for Glacier Bay and Chatham. The extensive analysis and decision-making, as well as the public process, utilized to adopt the state's model boroughs, represents a pre-existing administrative decision. The Glacier Bay Model Borough was adopted on May 8,1992, and included Hoonah, Elfin Cove, Gustavus, Pelican and Tenakee. Deviating from that recommendation is permitted but only when and if the Commission explains the reasons why such deviation is warranted and preferable to its previous decision creating the Model Borough. The Majority Decision recognizes that inclusion of all the municipalities in the region would lead to a much better decision but then concludes it would be impossible to implement. This is an irrational and illogical conclusion, since the Commission holds broad powers to modify a proposed to meet the criteria set forth in the Constitution, statues, and regulation.

# IV. THE COMMISSION'S DECISION IS UNDERMINED BY SUBSTANTIAL PROCEDURAL ERRORS

The Commission's deliberations leading up to and including the December 20 Decisions are undermined by substantial procedural errors. First, the Commission has made no official transcript available to the public on the Commission's web site detailing the proceedings of the November 12 Decisional Hearing, despite the fact that both the Majority and Minority Decisions purport to quote from it, and it is perhaps the most important

missing element from the Commission's deliberations. <sup>42</sup>Counsel for Pelican asked Commission staff for a copy of the Decisional Hearing transcript just two days ago, January 13, and were told it did not exist. An audio recording of the proceedings was offered instead.

Thankfully, Pelican has obtained a copy of the transcript of that meeting first commissioned by Hoonah's counsel, the existence of which was accidentally discovered by counsel for another party, which paid for another copy and shared it with the other excluded communities. In order to complete the official administrative record, the City of Pelican offers here a copy of the transcript. It is attached to this filing.

However, our belated effort to include all relevant records in the official proceedings does not cure the Commission's failure to do so itself, in a timely fashion. Without that transcript, other parties and the general public have had no way to fully evaluate the Majority Decision or the Minority's objections. The unavailability of this transcript during the window for filing petitions for reconsideration is a fatal procedural error.

Second, the Transcript of the Decisional Hearing confirms that the Commissioners went into executive session during their deliberations to discuss the enforcement powers available to the Commission to assure that its ruling could be carried out. The use of executive session is highly constrained by state law, and deliberations of the Commission on the issues before it regarding whether to approve the borough proposal clearly would not be allowable subjects. The question apparently justifying the resort to executive session was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> 3 AAC 110.570 (e) requires the Commission to "keep written minutes of a decisional meeting. Each vote taken by the Commission will be entered in the minutes. The approved minutes are a public record." Clearly the failure to provide a written transcript of the Deliberative Hearing is a violation of this requirement. Furthermore, any discussion of voting during executive session would also violate the regulation.

"clarification" of previously stated voting positions."<sup>43</sup> This is not a legally appropriate basis for executive session and raises serious procedural questions about taking a critical conversation off the record, keeping from all parties vital information about why the Commissioners voted the way they did. Given the highly relevant conversation apparently hidden from the public, this is also a fatal procedural error.

#### V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDED RELIEF

For the reasons outlined above, the Majority Decision should be reconsidered, and Hoonah's proposed borough be rejected in its current, deeply flawed form. Hoonah has put forward a self-serving vision of a borough that meets its needs at the expense of all other municipalities in the region. Pelican, Gustavus, and Tenakee have been written out of the proposal, and Hoonah has drawn proposed borough boundaries that cheat its neighbors of their right to vote on a matter with major impacts on the future viability and prosperity of their communities. It is not the proper function of the Commission to take sides in a disagreement about how to move forward fairly and effectively with regional government.

As the Minority and the Staff Report make clear, the lack of progress towards formation of a borough in the Glacier Bay area is not the fault of the communities that have raised concerns about Hoonah's proposed takeover of the region. It is unfair and inappropriate for any of the Commissioners to engage in partisanship. The reaction of the three excluded communities is the result of natural wariness about community advancing a proposal that serves its own interest, at the expense of the others, and intentionally prevents the other communities from participating in the decision. It is clear from the record that, contrary to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Transcript of Deliberative Hearing, 154-158.

the Majority Decision, this situation is not the result of intractable, unreasonable resistance to any borough, but instead comes from concerns about the terms of this proposed borough. This perceived impasse stems from the continued lack of an objective, unbiased party conducting negotiations that will maximize effective and efficient governance of the region with the minimum of government bodies.

The primary function of the Commission is not to force adoption of an unbalanced, unfair borough structure at the behest of one party, but rather to meet its obligations under law and use its powers to advance the state and regional interest over that of any one community, and only approve a borough petition that meets the best interests of the region and the state. The Minority, while failing to fully consider certain key details, urges a rejection of the Hoonah proposal in favor of a different, more collaborative approach. The Staff Report is also clear that adoption of a flawed, incomplete borough proposal is not in the best interests of the state or the Glacier Bay region and would do more harm than it would resolve. Petitioner asks that the Commission follow the course advocated by the staff and the Minority and turn aside this flawed proposal as the first step towards a balanced, fair, and independently mediated process that can bring the communities together, rather than driving them further apart.

Instead of lamenting that no borough has been successfully formed in over ten years, the Commission should pause to consider why that has been the case and how to help communities overcome the challenges it identifies. Losing patience, taking sides, and forcing an unfavorable and legally unsustainable decision on the majority of the communities in the region will not advance the best interests of anyone, including the State of Alaska. Pelican and the other communities have not closed the door to a borough, but if

Hoonah's proposal as written is approved, the door will have been closed on them. We urge the Commission to withdraw the Majority Decision and give all the communities in the region a fair and equal chance to participate in neutrally mediated negotiations to amicably decide their future together.

Respectfully submitted this 15th Day of January, 2025,

Paul H. Grant, Attorney for the City of Pelican

313 Coleman Street Juneau, Alaska 99801 (907) 321-8847

paul@paulgrantjuneau.com

Marlyn J. Twitchell, Attorney at Law, of counsel

Steven E. Kallick, Attorney at Law, of counsel

#### RESPONDENT'S REPRESENTATIVES

As required by 3 AAC 110.580, Respondent City of Pelican designates the following individuals as its representatives:

Name: Patricia Phillips, Mayor

Address: City of Pelican

Box 737

Pelican, AK 99832

Telephone: 907-735-2202

Email: mayorphillips@pelicancity.org

Name: Paul H. Grant, Attorney for the City of Pelican

Address: Paul H. Grant

313 Colman Street Juneau, AK 99801

Telephone: 907-321-8847

Email: paul@paulgrantjuneau.com

### In The Matter Of:

DCCED Division of Community and Regional Affairs LBC Xunaa Borough Decisional Meeting

> Transcript of Proceedings November 12, 2024

Glacier Stenographic Reporters Inc.
P.O. Box 32340
Juneau, Alaska 99803
www.glaciersteno.com



Original File LBC Xunaa Borough Decisional Meeting 11-12-2024.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

		1
1	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY,	
2	AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS	
3		
4	LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION	
5	XUNAA BOROUGH DECISIONAL MEETING	
6		
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
8		
9		
10	CONDUCTED VIA ZOOM	
11	NOVEMBER 12, 2024	
12		
13		
14		
15	PRESENT:	
16	Chair Larry Wood John Harrington	
17	Ely Cyrus Richard_"Clayton" Trotter	
18	Clay Walker	
19	ALSO PRESENT:	
20	Jon Tillinghast, Esq. Eugene Hickey, Esq.	
21	Ned Smith	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2024
2	10:00 A.M.
3	[GMT20241112-191407_Recording.m4a]
4	JED SMITH: (Indiscernible) a portion of
5	that transcript thank you.
6	A portion of that transcript was declared
7	inaudible. The portion was notably the
8	introductory statement from the petitioner's
9	representative, Attorney Jon Tillinghast.
10	On Friday Mr. Tillinghast submitted his
11	statement in written form to LBC staff. That
12	statement was distributed to the commissioners
13	by email.
14	So at this time it might be appropriate to
15	entertain a motion to accept that statement as
16	part of the official public record.
17	Mr. Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Jed. That's
19	well said.
20	Do we have a motion to accept that written
21	opening statement as part of our record?
22	COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yeah, so moved.
23	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Second.
24	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Any objection to that
25	motion?

Hearing none, that motion passes.

And also, for the record, we commissioners have had a chance to receive and read that opening statement, Mr. Tillinghast's opening comments. I think I have a copy of it right here. So thank you again in helping us deal with that issue.

So in a few minutes -- moments, maybe minutes -- I will invite a motion to get us discussing this matter. But before I do, for the sake of ourselves and for those that are listening, I thought I would go through 3 AAC 110.570 briefly. This is what's called the Decisional Meeting Regulation of Local Boundary Commission. So if you have it before you, it's 3 AAC 110.570. And that explains what we're here about today.

So number one, we won't be taking any new evidence. We won't be hearing any argument. We won't be taking testimony. This is about the commissioners deliberating on the public record and discussing this petition before us.

It's also important to let you know that the commissioners may ask the department and the Department of Law for points of information and

clarification. So you may hear that going back and forth. That is permitted by the regulation.

It could also be requested, either by the departments being represented today or the commissioners, to break into executive session. If that happens, it usually pertains to a matter of law. And it would be announced at the time.

Minutes are being kept. So as a reminder to us commissioners, specially to me -- including me, I should say, speak slowly and clearly so a good record can be kept.

This is our discussion time and we need to identify who is speaking but, in my opinion, it's okay just to drop the titles. Larry is speaking. Clay is speaking -- well, in our case, Clay Trotter or Clay Walker is speaking. And we'll try and remind ourselves to identify who is speaking so we can, as they say, keep a clean record.

So after today, within 30 days, a written decision will be issued. And that particular decision -- I'm sorry -- written decision will explain the major considerations of the decision, whatever it might be. And like a court, the LBC sitting in a quasi-judicial role,

will draw upon not only what's discussed here, but what's in the record; the evidence, testimony, comments, our final report, the briefing that's been submitted. The written decision really fleshes out and supplements and explains what we're doing today.

Lost connections. Sometimes in Zoom meetings, as we all know, there are lost connections. And this could happen to me, and I know Commissioner Harrington would be primed and ready to take over as vice chair until I can get back on.

Breaks. I'm well known for forgetting about breaks. So, gentlemen, if it gets to be about an hour and a half and another hour and a half, be sure and remind me. The code words is, Hey, let's take a break.

So I have just a little bit more.

This is again from the regulation itself, under subsection (c). "If the commission determines that a proposed change must be altered or a condition must be satisfied (indiscernible - audio interference) to meet the standards (indiscernible - audio interference) contained in the Constitution of the State of

Alaska" -- and then citations -- "or this chapter contained in the constitution of the State of Alaska and then citations or this chapter, and be in the best interests of the state, the commission may alter or attach a condition to the proposed change and accept the petition as altered or conditioned. A motion to alter, impose conditions upon, or approve a proposed change requires at least three affirmative votes by the commission members to constitute approval."

If the proposed change is a "municipal incorporation subject to" -- and statutes -- "and if the commission determines that an amendment to the petition or the placement of a condition on incorporation may be warranted, the department shall provide public notice and an opportunity for public comment on the alteration or condition before the commission amends the petition or imposes a condition upon incorporation; if the department recommended the proposed change or condition and the public had an opportunity to comment on the proposed change or condition, at a commission meeting, an additional notice or comment period is not

required."

That really doesn't pertain to what happened today or happened in the past.

And then finally, "If the commission determines that a proposed change fails to meet the standards contained in the Constitution of the State of Alaska, or this chapter, or is not in the best interests of the state, the commission will reject the proposed change. If a motion to grant a proposed change receives fewer than three affirmative votes by commission members, the proposed change is rejected."

So without further ado, I've also been reminded by staff that under Robert's Rules, we need a positive motion to bring anything to the floor for discussion. And so, therefore, I am recommending that we put a motion on the floor for discussion purposes only and -- if seconded, which would approve the petition.

Do we have such a motion?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Chairman Wood?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Commissioner Walker?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: I move to approve the City of Hoonah's Xunaa Borough incorporation petition as presented by the petitioners.

1 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you.

Is there a second?

CHAIRPERSON HARRINGTON: Second.

Thank you.

It's been moved and seconded.

And I am going to open the floor for discussion.

But before I do, I had some preliminary matters that I would like to kick off for discussion with.

So, why are we here? Well, I was looking at our final report that's been submitted by staff. If you look on page 7, the petition stated reasons for the borough incorporation proposal. The petition's reasons for petitioning for incorporation is expressed on page 3 of the petition. And those are voluntary incorporation is preferable to the potential alternatives of either having a different borough government imposed upon residents by the state or leaving the -- this entire region, except the existing City of Hoonah, unorganized.

The proposed borough boundaries will encompass all of the Huna Tlingit historic territory. The proposed borough boundaries

likewise encompass most of the Tlingit's core historic and current subsistence hunting and fishing grounds. The ability of the Huna Tlingit to influence the future decisions regarding these lands is of critical importance to the tribe.

Borough incorporation will enfranchise residents of the included region enabling them to vote on issues affecting not only their immediate environments but also borough-wide issues.

Remote residents make significant use of Hoonah's infrastructure. The water surrounding the proposed borough represent untapped wealth that through fair and uniform taxation can better the lives of everyone within the borough.

The proposed borough's ability to select municipal entitlement lands will give residents of the proposed borough greater ability to support and enhance economic development in the region including development of transportation links.

And finally, incorporation will improve the community of Hoonah's ties with the surrounding area and its ability to plan a future use and

development of the region.

Staff has kindly given us, gentlemen, a checklist of -- that will help us discuss the various standards for borough incorporation.

Excuse me. I am losing my voice but I don't know why. I don't have a cold.

And if you will pull that out, it begins with community of interest, and population, and resources, boundaries, best interests of the state.

I would propose, and I would ask, that we take those a little out of order. And by that, I mean may we begin with page 3, Boundaries? So unless anyone has any objections to taking those out of order -- and the reason I'm suggesting that is I think the crux (indiscernible) -- the crux of the decision and today's discussion, perhaps, will be just how the boundaries have been drawn, certainly has been a crux of many comments we have received, and we have a lot of them.

So I would like to do that. And let's go ahead and take that up. Boundaries, for those that are listening, is one of the standards that's been identified in the statute and

regulation having to do with borough incorporation.

But rather than to start by discussing what's on this page, I would like to harken back to our constitution, just for a bit, and the related statutes, and then finally the regulation.

At Article X, the constitutional framework said this: The purpose of this article is to provide for maximum local government with a minimum of local units and to prevent duplication indication of tax-levying jurisdictions.

Later in a regulation the LBC adopted this verbiage from -- and you can find it in 3 AAC 110.981, subsection (1): In determining whether a proposed boundary change promotes maximum local self-government under Article X, Section 1 of the Constitution, the commission will consider for borough incorporation, whether the proposal would extend local government on a regional scale to a significant area and population of the unorganized borough.

And later in the next section, 3 AAC 110.982: Among the factors to be considered in

determining whether a proposed boundary change promotes a minimum number of local government units in accordance with Article X, Section 1 of the constitution, the commission will consider for borough incorporation, whether a new borough will be created from the unorganized borough and whether the proposed boundaries maximize an area and population with common interests.

And going on, in Section 3 of Article X in the constitution under "Boroughs" the framers wrote this: The entire state shall be divided into boroughs, organized or unorganized. They shall be established in a manner in accordance to standards provided by law. The standards shall include population, geography, economy transportation, and other factors.

Right now we're discussing boundaries.

Each borough shall embrace an area in population with common interests to the maximum degree possible.

Now, we have an incorporation statute,
AS 29.05.031 that says this: An area that meets
the following standards may incorporate as a
home rule, first class, or second class borough,
or as a unified municipality: If the population

of the area is interrelated and integrated as to its social, cultural and economic activities, and is large and stable enough to support borough government.

The boundaries -- Number (2), the boundaries of the proposed borough or unified municipality conform generally to natural geography and include all areas necessary for full development of municipal services.

Boudaries and regulations (indiscernible - audio interference) 3 AAC 110.060 (a), it states in part: The boundaries of a proposed borough must conform generally to natural geography, must be on a regional scale suitable for borough government, and must include all land and water necessary to provide the full development of essential municipal services on an efficient coast-effective level.

I'd like to skip down to (d) of that section. And we want to go back and cover these others as well. But under (d): absent a specific and persuasive showing to the contrary, the commission will presume that an area proposed for incorporation that is noncontiguous or that contains enclaves does not include all

land and water necessary to allow for the full development essential municipal services on a efficient, cost-effective level.

So we get into the question of what is a region. And that's defined in 3 AAC 110.990 under "region," subsection (28): Region means a relatively large area of geographical land and submerged lands that may include multiple communities, all or most of which share similar attributes with respect to population, natural geography, social, cultural, and economic activities communications, transportation, and other factors. It includes a regional educational attendance area, state house election district, an organized borough, and a model borough described in a publication adopted by reference in subsection (9).

I want to finally -- maybe not quite finally, but getting close to finally -- say this. If we review, and we have reviewed the petitioner's briefing, one of the essential arguments of the situation has to do with precedents set by this commission with regard to other requests for incorporation, specifically what might be called small boroughs or city

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

boroughs. So I found this interesting testimony, a letter, really, written by, oh, one of the real authorities on borough formation in our state Vic Fischer. Sadly, Mr. Fischer is now departed and no longer available to talk to personally, but he left an awful lot of literature. In fact, there is an amazing amount of information available.

I'm looking at a letter dated October 11, It was sent to the Local Boundary 1991. Commission those many years ago in relation to the Yakutat petition. And it's about a -- one, two, three -- four-page letter. So in this letter Mr. Fischer takes up the question of regional versus small boroughs. In fact, that's the heading of what he writes to us: drafting the constitution, local government committee members certainly had in mind that boroughs would be regional in character. discuss the concept in terms of its applications to such areas as northwest Alaska, Bristol Bay, Anchorage, the Valdez area, Southeast and others. And it seemed to work well in the various disparate regions. However. implementation did not follow their ideas.

The first violation of the regional concept came with establishment of the very first borough. In creating the Bristol Bay Borough, the Local Boundary Commission took a tiny part of a real region and gave it borough status under the constitution. This gross error was not rectified when the Lake & Peninsula Borough was recently created. And for that matter, did the LBC then establish a truly interrelated and integrated Bristol Bay region as a single borough, as I am sure the convention delegates would have conceived.

So -- and he goes on a paragraph later and says this: In its recent establishment of Denali Borough, LBC again turned its back on the concept of regional boroughs. Though it may have met some arbitrary standards, this borough is what I would call an area and not a region. It is less of a region even than Nelson Island or the Ambler/Kobuk/Shungnak area. And I would hope you would not think of these as prospective regional boroughs.

So what is a region, he asked? Without going into details and definitions, I would suggest the Kodiak, Northwest Arctic, North

Slope and Kenai Peninsula Boroughs are good examples of large regional boroughs.

Similarly, the prospective Prince William Sound Boroughs though medium size rather than a large region, is a logical regional borough by just about any definition and breaking it up would make no sense whatsoever.

The Yakutat area which obviously is not an integral part of Prince William Sound is a different case. While not a large region in the North Slope Borough sense, it does constitute an identifiable functional region, although a small one.

It goes on to talk about single city -well, he calls it the "single city issue." And
now I will skip through a lot of this. You can
find that as a part of the LBC's record on the
Yakutat case. But he says: The criteria for
borough incorporation should be whether the
proposal makes sense in the broader scheme of
things and not some arbitrary and artificial
standards, be they rigid or presumptive.

And on the next page: The extent to which

LBC action on Yakutat sets precedent depends

strictly on the LBC. Despite prospective claims

to the contrary, each situation is different.

Cordova is not Yakutat, nor is Galena or

McCarthy. Each case must be judged on its own.

The Yakutat Borough need not set the stage for any other single community borough unless the

LBC decides it's appropriate to a given area.

Boroughs are still evolving creatures.

Rigid criteria and a straitjacket are not called for. The need for flexibility and flexible standard is reflected in the constitutional record, the final report, the PAS report, Tom Morehouse's and my writings and other sources.

This gives the LBC much room for exercising judgment, making its own sensible decisions and structuring a logical borough system for Alaska.

Sorry to go on so long about that but I thought his comments were enlightening and helpful, and as the petitioner's been arguing, reflects on what has happened in the past.

And then I think I am going to just read a little bit from the final report and then open it up for your thoughts, too.

The final report states -- and I don't have the page number, I apologize: There are many reasons why regional government in Glacier Bay

could be (indiscernible). There are many reasons why regional governments in Glacier Bay could be in the best interests of the state and of the communities. There even appear to be signs some of the Glacier Bay communities may be open to borough government. The regions' communities have commonalities that are suitable for regional government whereby a borough government would distribute representation equally among the communities and interests in the region. But we find, nonetheless, state recommends the petition be denied but that future consideration be paid to the commissioner if a new proposal with greater community support and inclusion is brought forward.

Now, because of objections of some of the communities in the Glacier Bay region, the petitioners said this: A functional borough containing Hoonah, Gustavus, Pelican, and Tenakee Springs is a pipe dream.

We had a comment from a Gustavus individual who said that: Hoonah's pathway to successful Xunaa Borough petition is to significantly reduce boundaries, avoid creating enclaves, fairly tax all residents and businesses, and

provide essential services on an areawide basis, or wait until all communities are unified under a common vision for a true regional form of government that generates revenue and delivers essential services across the region.

It's a little bit of background material, gentlemen, I thought I would share with you as we kick off our discussion. Hopefully it's as helpful to you as it has been to me.

I wanted to talk a little about the model borough boundaries. There's another study, over 200 pages of it, called the Unorganized Areas of Alaska That Meet Borough Incorporation Standards from February 20, 2003. But I think I will give you a chance to talk, as I should have earlier.

And would anybody care to speak?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I guess the crux of this whole petition comes down, in my mind, to this issue. Particularly with -- everyone agrees that a logical borough boundary would include Gustavus, Pelican, and Tenakee Springs in this whole regional government, but we can't get there. I know they suggested that some future time it may be able to take place, but there are so many steps in getting a region of

rural nature organized, I don't see us ever getting there short of a legislative statement creating it. I may be wrong, but as I look through the history of what we've done, I don't see it getting there.

So the question to me is, do we identify a substandard borough of Hoonah and direct them over the next 20 years to incorporate those three communities into their greater borough? Or do we say to the state, look at -- your obstacles to forming borough government are too big; you need to take a bigger role in this process and establish it yourself. I don't expect the legislature to do that, but I think, one way or the other, either we have to take (indiscernible) move forward with an analysis that says the perfect borough in this region is not accessible without legislative delegation or without a step process.

And at this point the only thing I see us able to do is either say, "No," or I give them the steps and the direction to say that you are to create a bigger borough using the model borough boundaries, essentially, and your existing Xunaa Borough. And then realizing that

they probably won't go rapidly toward creating that major perfect borough, if you will, but at this point I'm kind of leaning toward that perfect is always the enemy of the good, and having some borough form is better than nothing.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, John.

Other thoughts?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yeah, Chairman, this is Clay Walker. And thank you, Mr. Harrington, for that perspective, and your considerable experience and knowledge in this realm. And I appreciate Chairman Wood starting off with the boundaries. It is, to me, also the crux of this issue as to whether or not this petition is in the state's best interests. And specifically, as was discussed, you know, the exclusion of those communities and the issues that it creates in the future and the limitations it puts on those communities to exert, you know, maximum local self-government in the future, raises significant concerns with the petition as presented.

And -- and I really echo Chairman Wood's appreciation of -- of Hoonah's hospitality and demonstration of capacity, as it's great to see

a thriving community in Alaska. And the proposed boundaries, you know, they just don't incorporate many new people into the boundary; they don't extend services to new residents in the unorganized of any -- of a significant nature. And -- and at the same time, you know, the resources that are included in those potential lands not benefiting those neighboring excluded communities raises issues for me. And to anybody -- and to -- looking at this on a statewide level as to -- in terms of fairness.

So I was heartened to hear the cooperation that's happening currently between communities, between specifically Hoonah and Gustavus. And I was heartened to hear, you know, openness to continuing conversations about a regional government and about ways that they could work together. And -- and I do believe that the petition should allow for an easier way to bring forward those -- those communities into a regional government if that's the true intention. And I -- I don't see that currently in the petition as presented.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clay.

Let me weigh in again. I agree with the

points you've been making, gentlemen. I think the real difficulty is finding a way forward.

And, John, you've identified one, I mean, narrow the boundaries. We took a look at that during the comment period. I mean, we considered that. We got lots and lots of comments. I meant earlier to ask Jed to repeat the number of comments. I forgot to do that.

But, Jed, I believe there were 140-something?

Is that right?

JED SMITH: Yeah, that's approximately correct, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. Thank you.

And he's got -- he wrote in part of the report how those broke out between communities, how many were in a positive. The vast overwhelming majority were not positive. And it all hovered on this question of boundaries.

Now, Clay, as you've pointed out, there was rays of hope expressed. You remember me asking maybe too many questions at the hearing. But one of the questions of both of our Hoonah folks and the guests on the line were, you know, "Are you open to talk?" And certainly they were in Hoonah. And I heard some expressions of

willingness, as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know from my mediator experience, it helps in facilitating a settlement if everyone's motivated. And one of the motivations that, really, I'm centered on is expressed by Hoonah, which is it is much better if we identify a borough that fits our good purposes than having it imposed like the 1963 model -- or Mandatory Borough Act. And that is right on point. were arguing this case I would say, simply: Look, you're much better served if you, as communities, work this out. Where is the borough seat going to be? Can you share that? How are you going to handle the question of Importantly, how are you going to handle taxes? the question of representation on your borough? How are you going to handle some of the transportation challenges? All of those issues.

This is a local action petition, meaning for those listening, it's kind of the voluntary approach. There is the legislative review petition process whereby this commission would make a decision and then submit it to the legislature, but that has not been chosen. I think because -- obviously, because Hoonah

feels like -- I've got part of their brief here that says it very explicitly about it being a pipe dream that they could get that thought passed in those communities.

But I'm beginning to wonder if, as you're pointing out, Clay, whether there couldn't be more effort put in that. In the final report our staff asked that question. And I asked a similar question of the folks that were asked by Hoonah to facilitate a study of borough formation, "What was your feeling about the opening -- openness to some?"

Now, I know that there was a range of comments. There were people that were absolutely opposed, but there were some people who said things like -- and I read from one of the those things like, "Well, when we have accommodated all the various concerns, then maybe we will be ready." But I share Hoonah's frustration in that it might be an awful long time.

Now, we've had some boroughs form, but not very many, since the year 2000; Skagway in 2007, wrangell in 2010, Petersburg in 2013.

Now, those would all be, I think it's fair

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for me to say, that may represent those city boroughs that Mr. Fischer was discussing. And as Mr. Tillinghast has pointed out in his briefing, is that a precedent from which we can no longer extract ourselves from? I don't think that's the case, because I harken back to what Mr. Fischer also said, that these cases are all different. Should Wrangell have been part of Petersburg? Should Skagway be part of Haines? What happened to Klukwan in the Skagway decision?

So I think we're going to be, at least from my perspective, desiring to look at the facts And as I am doing that, I'm looking at here. the map that accompanied the Hoonah petition. And it clearly shows that there are -- I know there's some debate about what is an enclave, but I think when you look at this map you realize that these communities in particular of Pelican, Tenakee Springs, and Gustavus are going to be put in position of -- okay. Here's the right screen -- put in the position of being, in effect, enclaves between, here in this case, Sitka and Xunaa Borough for Pelican, same with Tenakee Springs, Gustavus would be potentially

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

between Haines and Hoonah. So I think it's fair to say those are enclaves if -- maybe not in the physical sense but certainly in the governmental sense. They're going to be unique in the sense that they will have a borough government. And that is contrary-wise to the regulation and statutes that I just read.

Now, I guess I will remind myself more than anyone else, we looked at this question of modifying the boundaries, at least I looked at it in my deliberations on my own, because this is the first time we talked about this, being able to do it specifically. But even if you cut back and followed these comments, you know, from Pelican, we had comments from Tenakee Springs, Gustavus, cutting Glacier Bay out of the picture, cutting way back on the western boundary, cutting way back on the eastern boundary, cutting back on the southern boundary. Now -- then we have two problems; first of all, we've greatly disappointed Hoonah for all the reasons I've read. I mean, now Hoonah doesn't have the benefit of the regional resources that might otherwise be available to a unified regional communities.

25

And the second problem is now we've created three separate areas involving cities who don't, by statute, have the capability of governing those areas surrounding them without petitioning for borough status themselves or somehow developing those areas and using the annexation It's just a frustrating situation. regulations. And, which I believe -- legitimately unlike some of these other cases that Mr. Tony (ph) has brought to our attention and that it isn't simply a matter of working around a single There are three communities, perhaps community. even more, if you consider the interests of Funter Bay, and Horse and Colt Islands, but that may be a separate part of our conversation.

But at any rate -- and then, John, getting back to your point, well, we can do this by step-by basis, and restrict the boundaries to what has been requested by these commenters, and frustrate the purposes of the petition to begin with, and then direct that in the future these annexations take place. But I worry that the impetus and the interests and the commitment in enforcing that condition won't be there, John. Could you speak to that a little bit? Because

out of all of us, you have more tenure on this commission.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Clearly my experience is locum, so -- with the decision to expand the Ketchikan Gateway Borough boundaries we had the two little enclaves of Hyder and -- a little bay up north. And at that time Wrangell had come through with an alternative and -- as they wanted to establish the borough. And they asked essentially to annex half of the Cleveland Peninsula and all of that area north of the Ketchikan Gateway Borough model boundaries.

And that solved two problems. First the Meyers Chuck people were not -- were tied to Ketchikan by ownership and transportation but were not wanting to be part of the Ketchikan Gateway Borough. And Wrangell wanted them and everybody agreed that was fine, and that took place. However, that Hyder south of the area which was an enclave, essentially, stuck between Ketchikan Gateway Borough and Canada, and the commission at that time directed the borough to annex that area within five years. Five years came up, and at the end of that five years -- I was on the Local Boundary Commission and on this

Ketchikan Gateway Borough Assembly -- I asked the borough assembly if they were willing to start the process. The answer was, "no." I asked the Local Boundary Commission, would they go against -- start the process independently? And they said, "no." Everybody knew there was a good reason to do that. Nobody was willing to move forward.

So you're right. If there's opposition to annexation, we -- our hands are really tied short of legislative action.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, let's do this.

Let's go back to our checklist, make sure we've considered all these various elements that staff has kindly reminded us to consider. The bold language simply (indiscernible) --

Go ahead, John.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: This is the crux in my mind. If we do not have three votes to go forward to say we've met this, I don't think we need to carry on much longer of a meeting, because if we can't get past that, if they just granted all the rest of things that are acceptable, we still would not have a borough functioning there.

1 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, John.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: You can go through the exercise if that's what you prefer, sure.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: No. This was just the exercise on boundaries. So let's begin there, shall we? Because we are talking about the boundaries standard. Why don't we work our way through that and take up your (indiscernible) point then. We at least want to cover best interests of the state.

So, "boundaries:" The boundaries of a proposed borough must conform generally to natural geography, must be on a regional scale suitable for borough government and must include all land and water necessary to provide the full development and essential municipal services on efficient cost-effective level.

The commission may consider relevant factors including land use and ownership patterns, ethnicity and cultures, existing and reasonable anticipated transportation patterns and facilities, natural geographical features and environmental factors and existing and reasonably anticipated industrial, commercial, and research the development within the proposed

1 borough.

when reviewing the boundaries proposed in a petition for borough incorporation the commission may consider model borough boundaries for the area within its proposed borough.

Maybe I can stop there. I think everyone has realized by now that the model borough boundaries, revised in June of '97, did identify and -- the Glacier Bay region as a model borough, and established boundaries accordingly in that report. And that would include Hoonah, Pelican, Gustavus for the region extending from Cape Fairweather to Chatham Strait. These model boundaries encompass Glacier Bay, the communities of Elfin Cove, Pelican, Hoonah Gustavus, and Tenakee Springs. In 1990 the region had a population of 1,858.

So the next point here is: Regional boundaries including one or more regional educational attendance areas, federal census area boundaries, boundaries established for regional native corporation, and boundaries of national forests.

I note that the Chatham REAA includes, I think, something over 50 percent of this

particular Glacier Bay region area.

"Whether the proposed borough will embrace an area and population with common interests to the maximum degree possible."

On that score, I have a concern that -- some of the comments really kind of narrowed the definition of "common interests." I mean, I think as the final report says that, you know, naturally speaking, we can observe that there are a number of common interests for all these communities, not only where they live geographically, but their lifestyles in particular. Sure, there's some differences between folks that might live in towns and those that might live -- we would call it here the Bush -- but they're all one and part of the same general area with the common interests in lifestyles.

Now, there was some criticism I heard and read about with respect to tax being taken by, let's say, Gustavus versus Hoonah in the type of tourism that they would prefer and they would encourage. But I tell you that also exhibits a common interest in showing off your area, and taking the initiative to introduce other folks

1 to that. Is a revenue involved? Sure there is.

But on the other hand, I think we're all proud not only of the cultural influence of this area, be it the historic presence of the Hoonah people, but all those who have come since then, like myself. I didn't come to that area but I came to Anchorage in 1950, so I kind of joined this family of folks, all of us have, that call ourselves Alaskan.

So I would urge that this definition of common interests not be narrowed -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) that we ignore the fact that model borough boundaries study has already identified these communities as having common interests.

There's another report -- I mean there's so many studies and so many reports. I alluded to this one earlier, gentlemen, and I would like to read part of it because I think it demonstrates some of the frustration being felt by the Hoonah folks.

This is the 2003 Unorganized Areas of Alaska that Meet Borough Incorporation Standards. One of those communities is -- guess what? -- this region. And on page 28: It has been widely

recognized by experts in Alaska local government, that the local option or voluntary approach to forming boroughs implemented in 1961 has been successful only in those few instances where local self interest outweighed the significant disincentives to borough incorporation.

Indeed, less than 4 percent of Alaskans live in boroughs that were formed voluntarily through local action. In contrast, nearly 83 percent of Alaskans live in boroughs that were formed in a manner of a few months under the 1963 Mandatory Borough Act. The remaining 13 percent of Alaskans live in the unorganized borough. Stated differently, more than 96 percent of Alaskans live in areas that have not voluntarily initiated borough incorporation.

Constitutional convention delegates
expressed a preference for voluntary
incorporation of boroughs. However, they also
felt that the state should require areas to take
on the burden of their own regional government
where they can support it.

And that's the crux of the studies I'm alluding to. There are others that maybe we

won't have time to go in to, but on page 211 of this report states: Based on the foregoing, the Local Boundary Commission concludes that each of the eight areas under review embrace an area and population that have common interests in a regional context, as called for by Article X, Section 3 of Alaska's Constitution. Moreover, each of these areas have a population that is interrelated and integrated socially, culturally, and economically as set out in statute.

That was written in 2003. As I mentioned earlier, I think we've had three incorporations of boroughs but they're small ones. So I don't think the percentage as I mentioned, if this was updated, would be that significant in today's world. But, again, the frustration is trying to encourage folks, despite the disincentives to borough formation, to take the bull by the horns and begin to think about and really work toward working in a communal sense with their neighbors.

I realize that there may be some bones of contention between these communities that I don't understand, having lived most of my life

either in Fairbanks or Anchorage or Eagle River, 1 2 but nonetheless, can be accommodated. 3 those in particular we've been reading about is the PILT, payment in lieu of taxes, and fish tax 4 5 and are (indiscernible) receipts --JED SMITH: Mr. Chair? 6 7 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yes. Go ahead. JED SMITH: Mr. Chair, if I could just 8 interrupt for a minute. Commissioner Cyrus has 9 10 ioined us now. Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Oh, okay. 12 Hi, Ely. We're discussing our motion that's 13 on the floor. Did you just rejoin when you 14 got -- as of right now? 15 COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yeah, I did. If you wouldn't remind restating the motion. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. It's a simple one. The motion we're discussing, for purposes of 18 discussion only, is a motion that would grant 19 20 the petition as presented. And we're doing 21 that, Ely, because we're required by Robert's Rule to have a positive action to bring 22 something to the floor. But a motion like that 23 24 would require three affirmative votes. 25 You may have missed where I was also reading

from statute whereby the commission has other prerogatives, attaching amendments, attaching conditions, restricting the boundaries, denying the petition. But the one on the floor -- the motion on the floor, as simply put, is to grant the petition as submitted. And so far we've been discussing concerns that we have with leaving out three communities, and we're talking about boundaries in particular. So the issue is -- and seems to be the crux of the situation -- do we feel comfortable in going forward with boundaries that, at least in my perspective, create enclaves of Tenakee Springs, Gustavus, and Pelican, all with interests in the region.

Contrary-wise, we also talked about, well, what about restricting the boundaries; narrowly defining where Hoonah's jurisdiction would begin and ends as a borough. And the problem with that approach is now we've just, in essence, made those enclaves larger and put those cities in the position of, well, they would have no jurisdiction over those areas, from a governmental point of view, until they had the basis to annex them. And that may have not

happen for the foreseeable future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it also puts Hoonah in a bad position of one of the reasons they filed the petition, one of the key reasons is to be involved with decisions affecting the region. And the revenues, frankly, that could be otherwise derived and shared -- I forgot to mention this earlier, and now I'm really mixing things up because I forgot where I was when you came in, but it seems like some accommodations could be made so that these communities could share, frankly, in the revenues to be derived by a borough in terms of helping their own education, and in particular public education. That is, obviously, a desire of Hoonah. It should be a desire, I think, of Gustavus. Pelican has its own school district. Tenakee Springs is part of the Chatham REAA of course. But anyway. that's -- that's where we're at, Ely.

And so what we're doing now, if you could take out your checklist of -- current standards involved in considering borough incorporation. We took boundaries out of order, Ely, so if you turn to page 3, we're kind of working our way through that. And ultimately staff would like

us to say either "yes" or "no" so that we have a clear record with respect to our discussions today. But I think I had just commented on the fact that the model borough boundaries did include these other communities, the Chatham REAA includes them. too.

And then -- yeah, I think we were talking about common interests. Whether the proposed borough will embrace an area population with common interests to the maximum degree possible. In a report -- I don't have the page number -- but the report that's been presented by us states that -- and I tend to agree with this -- these areas do have common interests; common interests in fisheries and the types of businesses they pursue.

There is kind of a different approach, I believe, insofar as cruise ships are concerned. I might add that I was most impressed when we were in Hoonah with watching how neatly and efficiently and effectively that community has not only began to show and demonstrate and showcase its cultural and historic presence in this area of our state, but it provides an opportunity for a lot of middle-income Americans

to see Alaska.

Now, I realize there are smaller ships that would have maybe lesser people, make less impact that visit Gustavus or visit Glacier Bay
National Monument, but nonetheless that's a common interest, in my way of thinking. The common interests in fisheries and tourism and natural resources, those all come into play.
And narrowing them so remarkably close so that you argue that: No. No. No, there's these slight differences.

We -- my family and I live in the
Municipality of Anchorage. One of my sons
teaches at East High School. And don't quote me
on this, but I believe the school district has
one of the most diverse populations in the
country, some 80 different cultures represented
by families that send children to the school
district. But it's just a way of demonstrating
that, yeah, there are different cultures
involved, but primarily this is true; we are
Alaskans and we have an interest in promoting
the health, safety and welfare of our community.
So I'll get off my soapbox and just -- give me a
moment here, but I wanted to just finish reading

these questions that staff has prepared for us. 1 2 whether the proposed -- proposal promotes a 3 minimum number of local government units as determined under 3 AAC 110.982, and whether the 4 5 proposed -- proposed borough boundaries are the 6 optimum boundaries for that region in accordance 7 with the Alaska Constitution. 8 So I am going to stop right there. seems like a really good question. Does anybody 9 want to weigh in on that? Do -- the proposed 10 11 boundaries, are they the optimum boundaries for 12 the region? 13 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Before we begin that 14 discussion, would it be possible to take a break 15 since we've been going at this about an hour and 20 minutes? 16 17 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Oh, my goodness. 18 course. I am so sorry. Thank you for reminding 19 me, Clayton. 20 So it is now --21 COMMISSIONER WALKER: Just doing what you 22 told me to. 23 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. Okav. Let's come 24 back on the record at 11:30, shall we? 25 COMMISSIONER WALKER: Sounds great to me.

	44
1	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you. Thank you for
2	reminding me. We will be back on the record at
3	11:30.
4	(Off record.)
5	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Are we all back? Can we
6	verify the commissioners are back on?
7	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER WALKER: Board agrees it's
9	snowing here in Denali.
10	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Snowing. Okay.
11	John's here.
12	Ely? Is Ely here?
13	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I was looking
14	sideways at two screens. Was looking at one, I
15	wasn't looking at you straight on.
16	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: All this technology.
17	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yeah, I know. So
18	much easier to get together, just talk.
19	JED SMITH: Commissioner Cyrus has just
20	rejoined us.
21	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. We're back on the
22	record. And we were discussing boundaries.
23	Clayton, thank you for the reminder. It is
24	now after 11:30. We'll take another break, just
25	let me know when. I'll try and not go over too

long.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it occurred to me during the break that what I was talking about when Ely came back to us was the situation involving share revenues, ensuring of -- under the forest taxes, the PILT money, and whether those are issues that these communities can work on. I think they can. I was reading that you might be able to, in your charter or otherwise, in a borough formation, figure out a way for the borough to protect -- the new borough to protect the revenue sources.

I did pick up on Mr. Tillinghast's arguments that, wait a minute, past commissions haven't relied on those as a steady source of revenue that otherwise complicate, if not prevent, borough incorporation. But getting back to the idea of a negotiated resolution of that issue, I think there is ways to do that. I did some additional reading that there's ways of dealing with the issue of how do you provide proper and actual and fair representation on the -- on the borough assembly, the proposed assembly. There's ways to deal with that with voter districts. So I think all of these issues can be worked out if people are motivated to do so.

But it's that motivation that I know (indiscernible) Hoonah's approach, but nonetheless I read in these comments that there are some folks, and our staff's report alludes to them, who leave the door open to further conversations.

Now, I asked this question repeatedly during our hearing on September 5 regarding the availability and willingness to people to talk. And I do know that Hoonah feels like they tried, and further effort is unwarranted and won't be successful. Mr. Tillinghast says it is a pipe dream, but I would hope that there would be hope along those lines.

So without further ado, let's get back to boundaries, the discussion on boundaries. I had opened the floor to a question. And this was whether the proposed proposal promotes a minimum number of local government units as determined under 3 AAC 110.982, and whether the proposed borough boundaries are the optimum boundaries for that region in accordance with the Alaska Constitution. Would anyone like to comment on that, optimum boundary?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Well, it appears to

me that they're losing one government unit and they're gaining a government unit. So the net effect on government units is nil. So you get rid of the city and you start a borough, so looks like, to me, that it's a, you know, it's not -- it's not affected one way or the other.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. Thank you, Clay.

That was also a comment in our (indiscernible)

this is Larry again. Are there other comments?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Thank you, Chairman.

This is Clay Walker. And, yeah, I would -- I

would find that the answer would be "no" to

whether the proposed borough boundaries are the

optimum boundaries for that region in accordance

with the Alaska Constitution.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: I tend to agree. I wanted to read -- if I can find it -- in Mr. Tillinghast's opening statement, he said this in a rather humorous way, but in a rather truthful way, actually. Page 5 -- page 5 on my copy: Look, would it be better if we were able to add Gustavus, Pelican, and Tenakee Springs to the borough? Of course it would. And it would also be better if I were 25 years old again, but some things just aren't possible. And your

staff has cited the practical impossibility of joinder as the reason why this commission should not add these cities to the borough, itself.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: If I may?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: That's where he talks about that in depth. But then he concludes in his statement: As so we begin our testimony, please don't forget the Article X, Section 3 only demands the "possible." And every president -- precedential -- I'm not saying it right -- occasion in statehood has stressed that "possible" does not include the unwieldy or the politically impractical.

John said something earlier about don't let the perfect be the enemy of good. I forgot how that went but, yeah, I tend to agree. I do agree that the optimum here is to add these others as communities. Do we give up on the notion that this is impossible by use of a local action method? Perhaps so, I don't know.

Again, I repeat that there were some indications, I think not only from Gustavus folks but also a gentleman that spoke on behalf of Elfin Cove -- it was either Elfin Cove or Tenakee Springs, suggesting that there would be

some importance to trying to see if these things could be worked out.

There was also a comment from an individual from Gustavus to a similar extent. I can't put my hands on it right now. If I find it I will share it with you.

Lastly, the commission will presume that an area proposed for incorporation that is noncontiguous or that contains enclaves does not include all land and water necessary to allow for the full development of essential municipal services on an efficient, cost-effective level. And when we began the conversation today, I harkened back to the statute and regulation where that same language we find.

I know there's been some discussion in the briefing about whether these three entities, these communities become enclaves. I think that they do, at least in terms of local government isolation. And by revising the boundaries, as I pointed out earlier, I think we just make those enclaves bigger, we really don't resolve the problem of the capabilities of those communities to join in the regional government of Glacier Bay.

Staff, in its report, pointed out that one of the difficulties is now you isolate Pelican and Tenakee Springs. Presumable they could be taken into annex by Sitka, but then Sitka's unified. What happens to their status as cities? Then Gustavus could go north to Haines Borough, but again that's problematic, all of those, because now we've frustrated what Hoonah has desired from the beginning here. So there again, I guess I'm talking in circles.

Any other comments on boundaries that we've neglected?

John?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: John Harrington.

"The perfect is the enemy of the good," is what
I said. If we wanted to tack on those
communities, I believe we will have to go back
through a hearing process and postpone this
whole process. As far as establishing those
enclaves, we've done it twice before in -- with
Klukwan inside the Haines Borough and with Hyder
inside the Ketchikan Gateway Borough, both of
them. We've done that.

We -- if you look at the regulation in its totality, I think outside of the ideal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

boundaries, Hoonah passes, or it meets the statutory requirements that are listed here. The problem is those communities and the logic of having them inside there. I -- I'd hate to say "no" to Hoonah, because I think this is the first step we have in this area to get a regional organized government. But I understand vour concerns, and I wish there was some way we could get around that and have an avenue toward including those communities into the Xunaa Borough, or if they want to change the name at that point into something else. understand the limitations, but I believe essentially, except for the model boundaries, proposal meets the requirements under "boundaries."

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, John.

For those that might be interested there's a stinging dissent in the Skagway case, as Mr. Tillinghast points out (indiscernible) do they necessarily control the way the world turns. However, I thought that was a very cogent objection of the commission's decision to isolate Klukwan and not make it part of the Skagway Borough. And going back a step, there

was some talk about combining Haines and Skagway as well, but that was one of those early -- what year was it? -- early incorporations of a city into a borough.

So I, again, go back to the fact -- and I believe it is a fact -- that all these cases need to be weighed on their particular facts. In this particular case, we have three communities there, and seem to be -- and are equally part of this region. If you look at Glacier Bay -- and I believe it is a region -- that is different than what you see had happened in Skagway, which I am more familiar with than some of these others.

However, I think if we go through all of those cases one by one, we will find that there were necessarily, as the final report points out, factual differences. And here I find that we've got three communities who have a stake in the region who maybe and aren't, apparently, motivated as strongly as Hoonah. But I think we need to be concerned about, as you point out, John, that this is really the first formal effort in the sense of coming before this commission. It needn't be the last, but I also

have concerns that the boundaries section of the 1 2 standards has not been met. 3 So there's a box marked "yes" and one "no" under this discussion. Shall I mark it "no"? 4 5 Or are there other opposite feelings amongst us 6 five? 7 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Can you restate the 8 question? CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, it's simply we are 9 the borough's incorporation standards, Clayton. 10 We are under "boundaries." If you look at your 11 checklist, it has a box, "yes" or "no." Does it 12 satisfy the boundaries standard under 13 14 3 AAC 110.60? So now we've talked about all 15 those various elements, and I'm just coming to the end of that discussion and wondering which 16 box do I check? And I think that I check "no." 17 but I was asking is there anyone who would 18 disagree? 19 20 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I don't have a copy 21 of that in front of me --22 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Hearing none, I think 23 we --24 COMMISSIONER WALKER: -- the statue in front 25 of me. So I am asking would you just restate

the question? Can you do that?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. Yeah. I will.

This is 3 AAC 110.60. It's the -- part of the standards for borough formation, one of those elements is "boundaries." And here's what it says: The boundaries of a proposed borough must conform generally to natural geography, must be on a regional scale suitable for borough government, and must include all land and water necessary to provide the full development and essential municipal services on an efficient -- excuse me -- cost-effective level.

So then we went through the various pieces and parts of that to consider whether the boundary, which has been proposed doesn't include these three communities, and does that -- does that negate or eliminate the possibility of satisfying this particular standard? And then there's several more standards that we haven't talked about yet. So before we move on I just wanted to know whether I -- I checked this box, "no," but I was just asking does anybody disagree amongst us five commissioners?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I believe I would

have to disagree, because there have been a number of borough incorporations that have had a lot of land around them with independent cities, and there have been other -- other actions of this commission which have allowed just what's been done here; left out other cities, you know. So it seems to me that the circumstances are, you know, we've had the same circumstances before and approved it, so I can't agree with that.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Is there any possibility you can pull up this checklist? Because there's more than just -- what you're speaking to -- I understand your feeling on it, we've, according to John, had enclaves approved before and mentioned Skagway and the Ketchikan case, et cetera. But that's just one -- that's just one consideration under this broad question.

The other one -- others are like this, whether -- (indiscernible) excuse me. Okay. Let me read these.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I tell you what, you go ahead, and I will -- my documentation is in a notebook, and I need to go look at it. Or if you could direct me to an email from the staff

	56
1	that has that in it, I have those available
2	also. I am looking right now.
3	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Jed, I know you're
4	listening, could you resend that to Clayton?
5	And maybe you could print that out on your
6	end, Clayton.
7	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: The State of Alaska,
8	in its wisdom, has cut funds to the universities
9	to some degree and my printer doesn't work, and
10	getting it fixed is, shall we say, a significant
11	undertaking. Okay. So if you just email it
12	to me.
13	JED SMITH: Commissioner Trotter, the
14	checklist has been emailed to you.
15	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. It's in your
16	inbox. Clayton, when you (indiscernible) should
17	I grant you a recess to review that?
18	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I think I got it now.
19	Let me look at it and see.
20	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay.
21	If you open it up to page 3.
22	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I've got it.
23	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Open it up to
24	"boundaries" on page 3.
25	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Okay. I got it.

1 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. I read you the 2 blackened or the bold part of that, but that's 3 just the key language -- the other is key, too, but if you go down into that list you're going 4 5 to see references to the model borough 6 boundaries; we talked about that. 7 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I see that. 8 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: we talked about the -yeah? We talked about these three communities 9 being in the model borough boundary. We talked 10 11 about REAA, in this case is Chatham --12 (indiscernible). 13 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yep. 14 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: And the next one is 15 whether the proposed borough will embrace an area and population with common interests to the 16 17 maximum degree possible. Next is whether the proposed borough 18 promotes maximum self government, whether the 19 20 proposal promotes minimum number of government 21 units, and whether the proposed borough 22 boundaries are the optimum boundaries for that

23

24

25

region.

if you're going to say you have concerns about 1 2 boundaries, you might at least want to look at 3 these others, too. And it is true, as John has pointed out, we 4 5 have other situations where enclaves have been 6 permitted, but as I -- I guess I would take the view that those cases are different in the sense 7 8 of the facts. Here I think you're isolating not just one community or two, it's basically three. 9 And it has also to do with the fact -- and it 10 11 would be a fact, my opinion -- that whatever 12 resources in that (indiscernible) or region 13 would only be enjoyed by Hoonah, by the --14 actually the main part of the Xunaa Borough 15 would be that City of Hoonah, with smaller communities attached like Elfin Cove and Game 16 17 Creek. So take a look at that and let us know. I'm looking at it 18 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: 19 right now. 20 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: okay. COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I mean, looking at 21 22 3 AAC 110.060 -- is that the one you wanted me 23 to look at? 24 Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON WOOD: 25 I would say the COMMISSIONER WALKER:

boundaries do conform to natural geography; they are regional in scale, by land, and certainly would have enough land and water necessary to provide full development of essential municipal services.

I mean, and they would have considerable land and resources and they have done an excellent job with what they have now. So I would -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech.)

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. You know, it's your firm position, that's your position. But do take a look in the middle of that discussion, because when reviewing the boundaries proposed in a petition for borough incorporation, "the commission may consider" -- so the considerations are listed there in bullets, the last -- and only the last of which has to do with enclaves. So do you -- have you considered whether this is the optimum boundary, or how do you feel about the fact that the model borough boundaries have identified in the Glacier Bay model borough that these three communities would be included?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Well, if we presume -- I'll read the bottom one there, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think it's the bottom one. If we make the presumption that an area proposed for incorporation contains enclaves and we're supposedly imposed a presumption on us that it does not include all land and water necessary, then in accordance with that presumption, we have no choice, which I don't think is accurate. So I still would say that there's enough land and water necessary to provide full development, so I would still say "yes." Unless, of course when we say "the commission may consider relevant factors," and may consider these list of things that this -- having enclaves is a presumption that is not something we may consider, it's just -- it's one of many. know, if it's an absolute presumption, then I would say "no." But it's not an absolute presumption, so I still would say "yes." I'm sorry, I am thinking like a law clerk now.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. So I guess it's your view that the boundaries standard of the incorporation process has been satisfied.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: It's an awful lot of land and water; yes, I do. They can do a cost-effective (indiscernible) basis with that

1 land and water.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. So I guess you're of that opinion, so we will mark you down as one.

And I think -- although, please speak up, gentlemen -- I've heard, I believe, Clay and John -- Clay Walker and John and myself would be finding that the borough standard boundaries have not been met.

Ely, are you of -- which mind are you?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: I believe they have.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: They have. Okay.

Well, let's go on with these other

Well, let's go on with these other standards.

Back to the beginning. That first one is
"community of interests." So if you go to -looking for the page on the final report. Okay.
Look on page 17 of the final report, not only
restates the standard in -- stated in the
regulations, but it has an entire discussion.
And here's the standard: On a regional scale -and this is repeated here on this checklist -on a regional scale suitable for borough
government, social, cultural and economic
characteristics and activities of the people in

a proposed borough must be interrelated and integrated in accordance with -- cites the statute. cites the constitution.

Now under that, and if you have got your

4

3

6

7 8

9

11 12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

book of regulations in front of you, this -this questionnaire just paraphrases what that states in the regulation. So let me read the paraphrase from the checklist you have in front The commission may consider relevant of you: factors including the compatibility of urban and rural areas within the proposed borough, the compatibility of economic and lifestyles and industrial or commercial activities; existence throughout the proposed borough of customary and supple -- transportation and communication patterns; the extent and a combination of spoke language differences -- must be "spoken" -spoken language differences throughout the proposed borough; the existence throughout the proposed borough of organized volunteer services such as fire departments or other emergency services; the communications media and land, water, and transportation facilities throughout. The proposed borough must allow for the level of communications in exchange necessary to develop

an integrated borough government. The commission may consider transportation schedules and costs, geographical and climatic impediments, telephone and teleconferencing facilities and electronic media used by the public.

And then, finally, in determining whether communication and exchange patterns are sufficient a commission may consider whether all communities within a proposed borough are connected to the proposed borough seat by public roadway, regular scheduled airline flights on at least a weekly basis, regular ferry service on at least a weekly basis, a charter flight service based one a proposed borough, other customary means of travel, including boats and snowmachines or sufficient electronic media communications and communication and exchange pattern will adequately facilitate interrelationships and integration of the people in a proposed borough.

So if you go from there, from page 17, to page 19, here's the conclusion of staff: As mentioned in the previous section, there is not presently a scheduled air taxi or marine highway

system service between the City of Hoonah and the communities of Elfin Cove or Northern Admiralty Island. The City and Borough of Juneau submitted evidence that the residents of Northern Admiralty Island, Funter Bay, Horse Island, and Colt Island frequently travel to Juneau for supplies or services. Personal watercraft are used throughout the region for personal and commercial use.

LBC staff determined the petition mostly meets the community of interest standard, though, because the population increase is so negligible. The point is rendered moot. Furthermore the Funter Bay community is in closer proximity to Juneau and has more direct contact with Juneau and does not truly constitute a community by the definition of -- cites the regulation.

So in between the opening lines of that discussion on page 17 through the conclusion on page 19, let me just open the floor, does anyone want to weigh in on what's been written here? In the report and your feelings?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I would.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Go ahead, John.

A quick analysis, COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: 1 Community interests bit, it is unified 2 3 rural area; everything tends to be rural. seem to have compatible lifestyles, most of --4 5 the transportation is customary, personal boats, The initial staff report indicated 6 et cetera. 7 that it mostly meets the standards, and as such 8 it is similar in most rural areas that there are going to be some areas of -- sorry about that. 9 (Indiscernible) in the organized borough. 10 11 would say the community interests pretty well 12 establishes that it passes this. The one caveat 13 regarding the close proximity of those -- the 14 area which had ties with Juneau, transportation 15 with Juneau, they are personally wanting to be part of the Hoonah, and as such they are also 16 17 rural, not urban as is the Juneau claim. would -- I would expect that they would meet 18 that community standard of interest as well. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, John. 21 Other comments? 22 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I guess I agree with 23 John. 24 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Elfin Cove weighed in 25 heavily on this one in saying that there was

insignificant ties with Hoonah and inability to actually get there to interact with borough government. It was either on this standard or another -- our staff reported that the charter kind of presumes that the members of the proposed assembly would necessarily be on site. In other words, would be present. They did have a teleconferencing provision -- I guess I'm talking about the communication section.

Let me rephrase that conversation to say that -- so this would be within the proposed borough. I guess I would say I -- I agree that I think the community of interest box would be checked "yes."

Clay or Ely, do you care to weigh in on this one?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yeah, thanks, Chairman.

The borough as proposed, again doesn't -you know, doesn't bring in the residents of Game
Creek and Elfin Cove and Funter Bay and that
area. And as such, it does seem that there's a
compatibility. Although I do share concerns of
representation of folks from, specifically,
Elfin Cove to participate in their local

government under this proposal which has been brought forward. And that's my main concern in terms of meeting this community of interest standard.

And the other is that the statute begins with "on a regional scale suitable for borough government" and, you know, that question as to whether this proposal really does bring regional government to the area.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clay.

I guess I was right. This does have to do with the communications issue. I mean, that's the difficulty in rural Alaska, be it where we live up in Southcentral or whether it's in Southeast Alaska, the proof that I am -- I haven't got it -- I'm sure there are Elfin Cove folks listening in, we do have that means.

Clay, your concern about representation, we've seen that comment in the Elfin Cove remarks. So, Clay, may I ask which way you're going to go on community of interest?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Great question. I had, in my prior analysis, I had circled "no" for the regional scale. And the fact that this proposal, you know, brings in so few additional

residents from -- from the proposed dissolution 1 2 of the City of Hoonah, that I didn't feel that 3 it truly met the regional scale. CHAIRPERSON WOOD: I can have a look at that 4 5 regulation. And it does specifically say "on a regional scale suitable for borough government." 6 "Must be interrelated" -- so. 7 Our staff concluded that "it would be a moot 8 point because the population increase is so 9 negligible. Furthermore, the Funter Bay 10 11 community is closer proximity to Juneau and has 12 more direct contact with Juneau, it does not 13 truly constitute a community by the 14 definition" -- names the regulation. 15 Well, I guess I would have to share Clay's concern about regional; is it on a regional 16 17 scale? And, again, my concern lies in not having these other communities a part of that 18 region. 19 20 So, Clay, I'm going to mark us as "no" on 21 that one. 22 Ely? 23 Larry, as you mark COMMISSIONER TROTTER: 24 that I just want to say I just disagree again.

This community's been there since before the

25

establishment of the state of Alaska. And they have been communicating quite effectively. And they have built an infrastructure of capital investment tourism, and it takes a lot of communication and interrelationships to do that. And, you know, I just can't -- I just can't say they're not a regional community that's existed for maybe even thousands of years. So, anyway -- I mean, they've been using boats there for a long time to get around and communicate and get people together. And I saw an awful lot of boats in Hoonah when I was there. And they have a highway, it's called water. And I mean -- you know, it's just -- I couldn't agree with that.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, I don't disagree that they are -- have been very effective historically in staying in touch with others, but take a look at the map, Clayton, we're not just talking about the area around Hoonah. This is, I think they had pointed out maybe, the third borough geographically in the state. So the community of interest --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: -- (indiscernible)
Anchorage Borough, it's a pretty big one. The

size of a borough is just, kind of, irrelevant. If you're talking about a community, though, they're a community, guys. I can't -- I can't say they're not a community; they're not a sufficient community, I just can't say that community.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ely, did you want to weigh in on this? I also agree with COMMISSIONER CYRUS: No. I mean, the majority of the land selected is of historical ancestral usage, and it is a bit challenging geographically compared to other I don't live anywhere near the coast. boroughs. and 100 miles away or so, but I don't know what it's like in Southeast Alaska, and primarily using boats to get around. A lot of the rural boroughs outside of the cities, they're not interconnected either in traditional ways, it's either -- you know, most have airports, some don't. But I don't believe communications are a barrier and I don't believe the geographical selections in the petition are an issue either.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. We'll mark you down as "yes."

So we have three that are feeling that that

standard has been met and two that are concerned. I will keep mulling that over. I'm sure Clay is, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's move on, though. (Indiscernible) Population -- turn to page 2 of the checklist, and in the report that would have begun on page Article X, section 3 of the Alaska Constitution calls for each borough to, quote, embrace an area and population with common interests to the maximum degree possible. then on our checklist it paraphrases some of this other language where it says the population of a proposed borough must be sufficiently large and stable to support the proposed borough government. In this regard the commission may consider relevant factors including census enumerations, durations of residency, historical population patterns, seasonal population changes, age distributions, contemporary and historical public schools enrollment data, all nonconfidential data from the Department of Revenue regarding applications for permanent fund dividends.

In determining whether the population of a proposed borough is sufficiently large and

stable to support the proposed borough government, the commission will presume that a minimum of 1,000 permanent residents is required unless specific and persuasive facts are presented showing that a lesser number is adequate.

If you go back to the final report, go to page 22, and just after it lists the population of Elfin Cove, Game Creek, Gustavus, Hoonah, Pelican, and Tenakee Springs, Whitestone Logging Camp. And the data from the U.S. census staff said this: The petitioner makes an evidence-based case that, even if the population standard is applied strictly, the current population is sufficient and stable enough to support the proposed borough.

Hoonah cites demographic statistics
suggesting all three of the communities within
the proposed boundary are modestly growing.
Further, the petitioner includes an affidavit
from a Juneau-based socioeconomic research
consultant stating her belief the region has a
population large and stable enough to support
borough government citing growth within the
tour -- excuse me -- tourism sector, demographic

trends, low unemployment, and school enrollment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While the petitioner included specific and persuasive facts that favor borough incorporation with a population of fewer than 1,000 residents, the language in section 3 of the local government article -- excuse me -- in Alaska's Constitution must be considered. standard does not look for a minimum population. Rather, the framers of Alaska's Constitution envision regional governments encompassing multiple communities to the maximum degree possible. Hoonah's petition extends its proposed boundaries to two outlying communities, Game Creek and Elfin Cove, and to northern Admiralty Island; however, it omits the communities of Pelican, Tenakee Springs, Gustavus. The addition of these communities would maximize the inclusion of communities as directed in the Constitution. The City of Hoonah is already a thriving municipality and the petition lacks persuading facts demonstrating the constitutional requirement has been met for a regional borough government.

I will go back to the beginning of that discussion on page 19. Here's the language from

the Constitution, Article X, section 3, calls for each borough to, quote, embrace an area in population with common interests to the maximum degree possible. And then if you look at the checklist, that's all paraphrased there.

Discussion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: John? Please.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Let me start by saying population is very close to 1,000, it's iust under it. It exceeds that 1,000 during the seasonal time. So it clearly has very close to what the minimum population is required. confidence and ability has been shown pretty extensively in the development of that cruise ship dock, the relationship with the native corporation, the cooperation with the cruise industry; they're a very competent set of people. I think they have the population, the technology, the skills needed for borough government. And in my mind, they pass the population requirement.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Clay? You were next.

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yeah, thanks. I agree with that assessment, but at the same time it's also back to one of those "yes" and maybe "no"

because, yes, I -- I do see, you know, relaxing that 1,000 requirement a little bit here, given the extensive development there and the influx of seasonal workers, and the amount of economic activity that happens there, is reflective of you know, a population greater than 1,000 people, that's no doubt. And yeah, the ability to sustain a local government as the first class City of Hoonah has in a thriving manner, demonstrated that, you know, they could translate that to a borough, I don't have any concerns there either.

But, you know, back to encompassing multiple communities to the maximum degree possible, that's where the rub is. And so that's why, you know, I will say "yes" on this one because I think -- I think it's fair to relax the thousand here, requirement, and say that, you know, the population as proposed is sufficiently large and stable to support the borough government, but do have concerns that if proposed boundaries, you know, don't include multiple communities to the maximum degree possible.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Clayton, did you have anything to add?

1 Thank you, Clay.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Not really. I agree with John, and the assessment also of Clay. And I just want to add that I was very impressed with the -- shall we say the cooperation among community members there and the very, very impressive development that they have engaged in, even down to their dump facilities. I mean, I was really impressed with the way they were handling things.

I have worked with some major corporations in my day and I wish -- I won't mention any names, but I wish they had been as efficient as those guys are. That's all there is to it.

Very, very efficient and very, obviously, hard working as a community. Now I don't know how you count the numbers of that because you have, you know, seasonal population, but, yeah, I was very impressed with what they've done. That's all there is to it.

So, I'd say "yes" on that one.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Ely?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: No. I agree, they meet the population minimums. And I don't see why it wouldn't be a self-sustaining borough, even

though the population amount is smaller. I do agree that it should be considered the other communities be included in the boundaries, however.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: I agree with that.

There's a quote from Mr. Vic Fischer -- I can't find it right now -- but my recollection is something along the lines -- and I don't quite understand why he said this, but construing the population verbiage from the constitution he said "it only has to do with population, not area." So I don't get that, because he clearly said area and population. But I'm going to go -- (indiscernible). This will be unanimous. I was very impressed: I share those comments.

For those of you that have been listening and haven't been to Hoonah at all, or maybe in recent years, it's well worth the time. Hats off again to all of the leaders there and the work they've been doing over the years, very impressive. I know that those other communities have also been doing some very impressive things, including Elfin Cove. And, of course, Pelican. I am not so familiar with Tenakee Springs. And Gustavus, growing community. Hats

1 off.

Let's move to "resources." This is on the checklist again on page 2.

And we will find that discussion in our final report on page 22. Staff writes: The third standard for evaluating an incorporation petition considers the resources within the proposed borough. The section begins, and then he writes -- Jed writes: In accordance with AS 29.05.031(a)(3), the economy of a proposed borough must include the human and financial resources necessary to provide the development of essential municipal services on an efficient, cost-effective level.

And rather than read that, I think I will go to the checklist. Let's go to the conclusion first.

Okay. That conclusion is on 25 and the beginning of 26. Now, staff goes through and summarizes some of these comments. You know, I think I will read this, this is quite well written, I think: Hoonah has seen dramatic growth in tourism, mainly due to the investment and development of Icy Strait Point, a cruise ship docking facility with capacity for three

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

large passenger ocean liners, a remodeled cannery that includes shopping and dining facilities, and a large forest canopy zipline tourist attraction and gondola transport. (indiscernible) city in its reply brief (indiscernible) -- excuse me -- defends the development from critics who label it pejoratively, (indiscernible) quote, industrial (indiscernible). LBC staff observed passenger ship facilities developed at the Icy Strait Point destination and they seemed entirely appropriately scaled within the context of the community. That is to say the facilities were grand in scale, well appointed and tasteful, but did not seem particularly outsized, industrial or out of proportion for the setting.

The petitioner argues successfully that the Icy Strait Point development continues to be a tremendous asset to the community and a true economic driver providing local jobs and tax revenue for the community.

Hoonah has also successfully utilized state passenger tax revenue for projects that not only offset the industry's impact on the community, but also enhances the elements that benefit

Hoonah residents.

The region around Hoonah and Icy Strait
Point, including the communities of Gustavus,
Pelican, and Tenakee Springs, all benefit from
commercial and sport subsistence and
recreational fishing opportunities.

Going on. Tourism, both the large -- excuse me -- tourism, both the large commercial passenger cruise ships as well as small-scale cruise ships and charter fishing vessel operations will continue to be an important component of the entire region's economy, and provide contributions to the communities of Elfin Cove, Pelican and Gustavus, as well as Hoonah.

LBC staff concludes that the entire region's economy is linked through its ties to Icy
Strait, Glacier Bay National Park, and Tongass
National Forest. Additionally, the regulation
states that the commission may also consider
other relevant factors including the need for
and availability of employable skilled and
unskilled persons to serve the proposed
government -- borough government, and a
reasonable and predictable level of commitment

and interest of the population in sustaining a borough government.

LBC staff received 24 comments from residents or business owners from Elfin Cove. Additionally, LBC staff received a respondent brief from Elfin Cove. Not a single comment received support -- excuse me. Not a single comment received supported borough government as proposed in the incorporation petition. And all recognized the community would be contributing tax revenue without receiving any service.

I am going to skip to the end of this. It says: Staff does not believe the petition demonstrates the reasonably predictable level of commitment and interests of the population in sustaining borough government, specifically from Elfin Cove and Game Creek. The petitioner claims the communities of Pelican, Gustavus, and Tenakee Springs were excluded because there was no interest from these communities and did not apply similar logic by excluding Elfin Cove and Game Creek.

Residents of Funter Bay, Elfin Cove, or the property owners on Northern Admiralty Island seem unlikely candidates to provide employable,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

skilled or unskilled persons to serve the proposed borough since the borough does not appear to be able to serve these communities.

LBC staff has determined this petition does not meet the third standard.

If you go to "resources" on page 2, it replicates much of what's been said here: economy of a proposed borough must include the human and financial resources necessary to provide the development of essential services on an efficient, cost-effective level. commission won't consider relevant factors including reasonably anticipated functions of the proposed borough, reasonably anticipated expenses and the ability of the proposed borough to generate and collect revenue at the local level, reasonably anticipated income of the proposed borough, reasonability and plausibility of the anticipated operating (indiscernible) budgets of the proposed borough, including receipt of the financial -- excuse me -- final organizational grant, completion of the transition, and on which the proposed borough will make its first full local contribution, and also the full economic base of the area within

the proposed borough, evaluations of taxable property within the borough, land use within the borough, existing and reasonably anticipated industrial, commercial, and resource development and personal income of residents.

The commission may also consider the need for and availability of employable skilled and unskilled persons to serve the proposed borough government, and a reasonably predictable level of commitment and interest of the population in sustaining a borough government.

So, gentlemen, the comments we received from -- as staff points out -- Elfin Cove, were not positive. Staff is concerned that lack of that interest anticipates that providing services to that community, at least, will be difficult. In fact, Hoonah has said that even though seasonal sales tax will be collected, there won't be services anticipated there. But they make mention of the mandatory ones; education, taxation, and planning.

Are there comments on this standard?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Mr. Chairman, this is
Clay Walker. Again, I kind of feel like my
answer is a "yes" with reservations in that the

proposed borough does include the human and financial resources necessary to provide for the development of essential municipal services on an efficient, cost-effective level, but there are some concerns.

And I'm not sure if this is the place to raise it or in the best interests of the state section, but the commitment to planning and -- and the stated concept of relying on DNR as the plotting authority going forward when, you know, a -- an effective regional borough government would take on planning and platting powers.

And -- and apply those to the entirety of the borough and would, you know, seek to engage residents of those other communities in the planning processes for land planning. So that was one concern I had in terms of, you know, carrying out those duties.

But overall I think it's mainly a "yes" here, that they have the human and financial resources to carry out the borough as proposed.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clay.

I was reading somewhere in the submittal by the petitioner that, if requested to do so by the commission, it would rephrase how they're

proposing to handle zoning -- planning and 1 2 zoning, and move away from relying on the 3 state -- I mean, the state standard. Jed, point of information. I'm looking 4 5 through the petitioner's submittal here. Am I 6 remembering correctly -- wasn't, Jed, there a --Mr. Tillinghast suggesting that they'd be 7 8 willing to amend their petition to, instead of basically relying upon state standards, to 9 develop their own? 10 11 JED SMITH: Mr. Chair, I don't have that 12 information directly in front of me. 13 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Let me keep looking. 14 I think -- I know there's been a conversation 15 about -- was it Petersburg Borough, in particular? Was that the right borough that had 16 17 continued to rely on the state to provide that service rather than to develop its own auspices? 18 (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech.) 19 20 That is correct. DNR is still JED SMITH: 21 providing some of that planning and platting to 22 the Borough of Petersburg. 23 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: I think my recollection 24 is correct. We can check on that later. Ιt

seems to me that even if that were a concern of

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the commission that my guess is Hoonah would be

okay, Clay, with developing its own planning and

zoning standards, independent from DNR.

Yeah, I also have been one that's been impressed with Hoonah's development of their resources. One of the concerns expressed in the comments is that, "Well, you can't hang your hat on cruise industry; that may come and go. if it goes, if it takes a nosedive, then where will the money come from to support the borough? And won't that become a burden for the entire boundary of the borough?"

But it seems to me that Alaska tourism has been going wild for years. And from what I could tell the day we visited, it's still that way; a lot of happy faces. Two cruise ships were in port. Hoonah told us about some of the successful things they've been doing, including -- this might be interesting to those of you that don't know, the actual Icy Point Strait is out of town, so it's not like maybe Ketchikan or Juneau where all these folks from the cruise ships are right there downtown, causing, you know, I mean friendly impacts that we can all, kind of, get along with, but some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tourists actually do walk downtown, and all that. But I did notice that they seemed to have a good handle on just how to engage in an entrepreneurial way, the best design, best operation for that particular resource that they do have.

Now, can we fairly -- can we decide, well -vou know, can we decide today and be concerned about resources premised on the thinking that maybe the cruise ship industry will suddenly turn off? I don't think so. I think more and more Alaskans are being able to show off their state because more and more people are coming here; voting with their feet, coming here on It's a safer place than lots of vacation. destinations around the world. So I'd tend to think that -- let's see, answering this one would be -- "the economy of a proposed borough must include the human and financial resources necessary to provide the development of essential municipal services on an efficient, cost-effective basis." Well, I think they've demonstrated that in terms of what they've been doing within their city.

Now, over time will they be able to provide

the service for Elfin Cove? That's been a concern that they have, and Elfin Cove expressed. And that reminds me to mention something rather odd. And I don't know why the regulations have been written like this; however, hats off to who -- all the prior commissioners and staff who wrote them. They are, on a whole, quite well done.

But I just, per chance, tripped on 3 AAC 110.273. Talks about legislative review method for detachments from boroughs. So interestingly: An area that meets the detachment standards specified in -- names the regulations -- may detach from a borough by the legislative review process if the commission also determines that any one of the following circumstances exists.

In one of those -- oh, two of those say this: Item 2, it is impossible or impractical for the borough to extend facilities or services to the area; 3, residents or property owners within the area have not received, and do not reasonably expect to receive directly or indirectly the benefit of borough government without significant additional tax

1 contributions.

So that's kind of expressed in a negative way on the detachment end. I don't know why that verbiage doesn't appear on the incorporation end but I didn't find it anywhere. So in other words, there's no real finding or consideration of whether the borough is extending the facilities it proposes to do so on a practical level to the entire area, or whether they are anticipating to extend services on a reasonable schedule to those that are in the area.

So I guess I want to add I'm kind of with Clay on a lot of these, is that I have concerns. I kind of see it, but then if I were in Elfin Cove I would probably be concerned, what's (indiscernible) there that I can anticipate.

Anyone want to weigh in on resources?

John?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes, sir.

I had the same two concerns regarding planning and Elfin Cove. I assume we will hear about planning before too long. But Elfin Cove, during the presentations at the hearing, after one of them I pulled Gene Hickey aside and

talked about the concerns coming out of there and a tax being collected from them. And, as I understood it, normally when you collect a tax from an area, it is to be spent in that area. And as such, what we ought to be looking at or asking about is is Elfin Cove going to become a service area within that borough? And as such, will the service area, board -- whichever they will have -- have some control of how the revenues raised in that area can be spent in that area?

Just throwing that out. I think it's something we need to be taking a look at and maybe discussing later.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. Well, this might be an appropriate time to talk about it, John. I think that it's a legitimate concern that folks have a reasonable anticipation of what the borough government can bring to them, at least in time. I realize that, for Hoonah's point of view is, look, we're trying to minimize the impact of government on folks that would just as soon not deal with another level of government. But by the same token, it's kind of like the detachment criteria. Apparently -- not just

apparently, according to the law -- a community that feels like over time they're not getting services, could actually implement this particular language that I just read to say that, look, it's impossible -- in fact, I think that language appears in some of the briefing, is that, look, it's not going to be possible or practical for the new borough to provide these services.

And then the -- this other one -- this other part of the regulation goes on, "the benefit of borough government without significant additional tax contributions," getting back to your point, John, about service districts is that, well, that's more than what our local community can afford. So it's complicated in that sense in trying to encourage folks to form boroughs when the issue is going to be -- one of the issues is going to be "how are we benefited, specifically?"

But back to this -- this economy, the resources question, how say you, John?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: You're asking me how I would go for that? I believe they have the resources available and ready, yes.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER I agree with John.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. So, Clay?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yes. With some reservations but, yes, they have the human and financial resources.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And, Ely?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: I also agree, yes, they do. You know, for example, I saw the Red Dog Mine quoted in the final report. The expiration on that mine is actually about six years from now, so the borough here will be losing a significant portion of its revenue base. And I dare say the proposed borough out of Hoonah would have a higher revenue base -- potential revenue base than our borough does up here in the Northwest Arctic.

There really is no expiration on tourism.

And I think, you know, that expanding it to regional services capability for them could provide additional avenues for tourists in Alaska. You know, the higher amount of funding they would get through revenue sharing could assist in, you know, maintenance or creation of new tourist bases or facilities there, and anything that -- and it wouldn't just benefit

that borough, but benefit the state by
increasing, you know, opportunities for tourism
coming into the state.

Like I said, I don't believe there really is
an expiration on tourism like there is at the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like I said, I don't believe there really is an expiration on tourism like there is at the Red Dog Mine in our region. So I do believe that there is, you know, a way for them to be self-sustaining in that regard.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Very interesting point. Thank you, Ely.

So for me, yeah, I guess I'm harkening back to our report that's been presented, "reasonably predictable level of commitment and interests of the population in sustaining a borough government." Well, we certainly know that there's concerns expressed by Elfin Cove and presumably by Game Creek.

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: For the Chair, I just had a question.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: I was just curious, what's the -- so the DNR providing, you know, planning services in other boroughs, is that something that is optional by the state, or is that something that the state does if the

borough does not? I mean, is there an obligation from there for the state to provide those services from DNR -- or through DNR?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Actually, to the contrary, Ely. And I'm sure Jed or Gene will correct me if I misstate this, but a mandatory power of an incorporated borough is to provide for education, taxation, and planning. And the concern has been expressed that -- and I think Jed confirmed that -- what I had said earlier is that Petersburg in particular, even after incorporating, has been relying upon DNR.

Jed, is it just the standards or are they actually relying on the state to continue with a function that they should have assumed as an essential service?

JED SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I did find petitioner's response. It's located in comments in response to the preliminary report. But what they allude to is that the Petersburg petition -- and, you know I'm not intimately familiar with that petition, per se, but Petersburg proposed something very similar to what Hoonah is proposing, in terms of exercising planning and platting and land use already

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

within in this, kind of, designated outside area, sort of leaving the larger area outside of that -- of (indiscernible) maybe not necessarily explicitly exercising that power on an areawide basis, I'll just say.

And so Hoonah, in a footnote in their reply brief to the preliminary report, stated they --I will just read it. They say that: Petitioner envisions that platting may involve area-specific variations to the same extent as the Petersburg charter that was approved by the commission. However, if the commission decides that the charter must expressly assume borough-wide platting functions immediately upon ratification, the petitioner would suggest that the commission consider requiring through 3 AAC 110.570(c) the amendment of section 7.03 set out in their appendix -- which they also submitted. The appendix provides that the borough will immediately begin platting areawide while outside of the Hoonah townsite service area, the borough would apply the Department of Natural Resources subdivision standards -- the footnote goes on to say: Rather than what are currently the City of Hoonah's standards. The

latter suggestion is made to honor the petitioner's commitment that, to the maximum extent legally permissible, it would avoid imposition of the regulatory burdens on those residing outside the Hoonah city limits.

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: I'm sorry. The question I had wasn't -- I understand that the borough -- the initial petition showed that that was their idea, but I read later that they had different comments on that.

My question was specifically to the state. Does the state have the obligation to provide those services if the borough does not?

JED SMITH: I mean, I think that would be a -- probably a legal question that I'm not necessarily prepared to answer. Again, as the Chair started, there are three mandatory areawide powers that a borough must exercise: Education; the collection of taxation, and platting, planning, and land use.

So platting, planning, and land use is a mandatory areawide power that a borough must exercise. What happens when a borough does not exercise that, I cannot say.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: And, Ely, I think that's

a very good question. I don't know if Gene
Hickey wants to weigh in on it, but ultimately
it would be an issue that would have to be dealt
with, I believe, between the state and the
borough. The borough's got on obligation,
mandatory requirement. If they're not doing it,
the enforcement end of that would have to be, I
would think, the state or maybe it just doesn't
get done at all.

Mr. Gene Hickey, would you like to weigh in on that?

GENE HICKEY: Thank you, Chair.

Yeah, I think that it's a mandatory power as Jed explained, and it should be exercised by the borough. How DNR would actually handle that, I'm not positive on. If an organized borough actually failed to exercise that mandatory power, I think the Chair is correct that that would be an issue between the state and DNR. I'm happy to look into that through DNR's -- my contact at Law for DNR but, at this point, it seems to me that it's a mandatory power that the borough would have to exercise. Whether it be as they initially suggested or as they've suggested in amending the petition on that

1 particular issue.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Ely, does that speak well to your question?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yeah, sort of. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: We can certainly take it up again, if you'd like. It's an interesting and important issue, certainly.

And thank you for your comments about tourism and what's happening over at Red Dog. That's very interesting.

So, about done with resources, here.

what I was kind of -- because this language that we referred to is discretionary for our consideration, "a reasonably predictable level of commitment and interest to the population in sustaining a borough" -- I am going to have to say that, you know, I get it. I think Elfin Cove has thoroughly demonstrated that they are not interested. In fact, have asked, predicated on the notion, to be excluded based on how these other three communities were excluded, but I think there's plenty of motivated folks within Hoonah extensively and have committed themselves to providing the type of leadership and

resources needed. So I am going to vote "yes" too.

So let's move on to "boundaries." Before we move on to boundaries, Ely, you made a comment a few minutes ago. And I think what you said was under boundaries -- or at least you were concerned about the boundaries that have been proposed, not including these three communities; is that correct? Should I mark you as concerned about under the boundaries? We've got Clayton indicated that he would vote -- that he felt that, yes, it had been -- boundaries had been established which were appropriate. There are three of us said that we were concerned and would vote "no" -- at least -- yeah, that felt that standard had not been satisfied.

Now, you had said, I think, in a comment here a minute ago that you felt like these other communities ought to have been included. Should I mark you also as a "no" on boundaries?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: I believe it would be a "yes," but I do share the concern about the boundaries and I do recognize that there's political implications from the borough, and including those communities if that -- I believe

	100
1	that was part of the concern in the final
2	report.
3	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. So I will just
4	leave you as a "yes" on boundaries. And then we
5	have myself, Clay, and John feeling like
6	boundaries had not been satisfied.
7	Okay. Going on to best "interests of the
8	state." You'll find that on page 4.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chair, can I
10	suggest a five-minute break?
11	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yes. So let's see, it's
12	1:00. Let's meet I should say let's go back
13	on the record at 1:15.
14	(Off record.)
15	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: It is 1:15. Are we ready
16	to go back on the record? All commissioners
17	present?
18	I see Clayton, and Clay, and John.
19	Ely, are you amongst us?
20	COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yeah, I am. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. Thanks.
22	Back on the record. I forgot to ask Grace
23	and Jed, are you ready for us to go back on the
24	record?
25	JED SMITH: We are.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. Thank you.

2

I forgot -- as I want to do -- to cover a couple of things I would like your indulgence to cover. One of those I should have brought up under boundaries, but I got to thinking about this Yakutat boundary on waters. Because it correlated with what was being requested in this particular -- I think the offshore boundary was some 90 miles.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

So staff got to looking into that for us. For those of you interested -- and it's an interesting question because of my curiosity, as well. If the state's jurisdictional boundaries is three miles under the Federal Submerged Lands Act, how could a borough possibly claim land or water beyond that? Well, it doesn't. Yakutat, the -- the final municipal certificate issued to the city and borough of Yakutat states with respect to the boundaries of the City and Borough of Yakutat, they extend it to the Gulf of Alaska notwithstanding the foregoing description. The corporate jurisdictional limits of the City and Borough of Yakutat extend only to the limits of the State of Alaska's jurisdiction under AS 44.03.10. So I forgot to

mention that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I forgot to bring up during the discussion on population has to do with something that I felt was well expressed in Mr. Tillinghast's opening comments on the September 5 hearing regarding this petition. don't have a good page number here because I'm sure all our pages are printed out differently, gentlemen, but here's what he wrote, "Third we will delineate the extent of the Huna Tlingit's historical territory throughout the borough and mostly" -- excuse me -- "and most importantly their ancestral homeland of Glacier Bay. let's be clear here, Hoonah has never contended that the reach of the Huna Tlingit's historical territory is dispositive. But the state's [sic] final report takes the opposite extreme, that their historical territory is irrelevant, is not something that this commission should even weigh in the balance. It is not one of those issues of political and social policy that our Supreme Court and Mobil Oil said are intrinsic to any borough formation decision.

"In our view that act of intentional blindness is unlawful, and it is certainly

unprecedented."

And he mentions a number of prior cases and concludes with, "this commission gave weight and sometimes controlling weight to the historical reach of the affected tribe's territory.

Particularly given Alaska's 2022 statutory recognition of Alaska's tribes and their occupation here since time immemorial, it would be disappointing to see this commission take such a regrettable step backwards."

I just wanted to assure everyone that I don't think that was at all the focus or intent of the final report, nor the intention of our staff. And we do want to celebrate the history of Alaska's Native peoples and recognize it as part of the importance of their interest in the Glacier Bay region. So thank you for letting me go back and make a couple of comments I neglected to make earlier.

Anybody got any additions to those?

Okay. Let's take up -- that is one of the final, and perhaps the most, important standard, I don't know if they've all been weighed this way, but it's discussed beginning on page 17 -- excuse me -- page 37 of the final report. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if you look on your checklist it's page 4, top of page 4. And I will read from the actual checklist that we've got. "In determining whether a corporation of a borough is in the best interests of the state, the commission may consider relevant factors, including whether incorporation promotes maximum local self-government as determined under 3 AAC 110.981; promotes a minimum number of local units as determined under 3 AAC 110.982; will relieve the state government of the responsibility of providing local services; and then is reasonably likely to expose the state government to unusual and substantial risks as the prospective successor to the borough in the event of the borough's dissolution."

So page 37 of the report -- final report -- so in addition to repeating what I have already read, the regulation having to do with best interests of the state embellishes on this or provides further detail. That's found at 3 AAC 110.981. "In determining whether a proposed boundary change promotes maximum local self-government under Article X, section 1 Constitution of the State of Alaska, the

commission will consider, number one, for borough incorporation, whether the proposed proposal would extend local government on a regional scale to a significant area and population of the unorganized borough; and -- then he lists Number 14 -- whether the petition proposes incorporation of a home rule municipality.

"The petitioner proposes" -- the final report states -- "to extend local government to a, quote, significant area and population of the organized borough, end of quote. While other proposal includes a significant geographic area, a significant population that could benefit from borough incorporation has been deliberately excluded." The reasons for the exclusion are somewhat unsatisfactorily explained in the petitioner's brief, Exhibit E, starting at page 25."

"Hoonah's communication with the three neighboring municipalities are documented with minimal context of the dialogue with those communities. Unlike a municipal annexation petition, there is no regulatory requirement to hold a public hearing prior to an incorporation

petition filing. What is clear from the petitioner and the response from the neighboring communities is that the region has not developed a coherent consensus on borough government. There are hints and suggestions in some of the public comments that residents both inside and outside the proposed boundary may be amenable to the idea, just not the one presented. There are no specifics on how to remedy the current proposal in such a way that could satisfy and balance decision-making throughout the entire region."

"LBC staff therefore recommends to the LBC that it be determined that this proposal does not meet the best interests of the state standard nor does it meet the maximum local self-government standard because the petition does not extend local government to a significant population."

The report goes in and cites that regulation.

"The surrounding, excluded communities share with the City of Hoonah's geographic proximity reliance of the area's natural resources and environment, transportation links, and

dependence on the Alaska Marine Highway System.

Since the petition does not quote, maximize an area and population with common interests, end of quote, LBC staff concludes that the petition does not meet the standard for a minimum number of local and government units."

So, gentlemen, based on my previous comments, I am going to say that I don't believe that standard's been met, from my point of view. How about yours?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I might as well speak up. Harrington here.

First of all, the state is constitutionally obligated to divide this area into organized and unorganized boroughs. They have failed miserably in that area. The unorganized borough is not a borough; it's a conglomeration of all the nonorganized. And in addition to that, the obstacles that have been put in the way of borough formation is not in the state's best interests, even though there's ones that did it. I am just going to put that on the record, and say we need to have the state do something if they can't let us do it.

I think, essentially, given this petition,

it is not perfect. It is a step in the right direction, but unfortunately with the communities that were left out, it leaves lots to be desired. I wish there was a step process to allow it, but I'm not going to fight you guys if you say "no." But I think this is something we need to take head-on with the State of Alaska. I will stop there.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: John, if I can quickly jump back in, say I agree with you 100 percent. The governor asked me to step on board this commission five years ago, I will be honest, even though in my legal practice I had covered a lot of ground, I hadn't really done much with the Local Boundary Commission, nor did I know there was this huge issue lying out there of borough formation.

And then, as I mentioned during last session's legislative consideration of a couple of bills that would even restrict -- put more restriction on borough formation, 65 years of history of this controversial issue. And I read part of that this morning that had to do with this one study done in '03. But getting -- digging out all these various -- what can I say?

-- folks that would really like to see the constitution's mandate be followed, they kind of left the scene (indiscernible), Vic Fischer, there really hasn't been a full-blown discussion of what the constitution requires. And you nailed it on the head.

Folks aren't familiar with it yet, there's a law review article published for Alaska but Duke University, it came out either earlier this year or late last year, that says exactly what you just said, John, is that the legislature needs to act to make sure that the constitution is followed. If the constitution needs to be changed, then that's under the consideration only the legislature can take up. I suppose you can think about an initiative process, but that's a different conversation.

So I guess I would join in expressing my concern as a relative newcomer compared to your tenure, John, to the commission with this sadness that this particular issue or incorporation, the framers thought that surely, by this time, there would be boroughs that would be incorporated, organized or unorganized. As I read this morning, a great majority of us live

in boroughs that were not voluntarily formed.

I forgot to mention that if you go into that '03 report that I alluded to, there's some interest history about what Clem Tillion said after the 1963 Mandatory Borough Act. He was expecting and anticipated that the rest of the state would also be considered for incorporation as boroughs, but that never happened. And as Hoonah has quite wisely set out after this feasibility study in, I think, '07 there was a move afoot to implement the Glacier Bay Region as a borough, but apparently that didn't get out of committee. I don't know what the result was.

But, again, I say with some sadness, this is not being done and we would certainly want to talk about what we can do to raise this issue again in our annual report. And that meeting is coming up, probably, in December.

So, other commissioners want to weigh in?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Thanks, Chairman Wood,
for that.

As I considered the best interests of the state, you know, you look for increased efficiencies and, yeah, transference of responsibility, and, yeah, even funding away

from the state, towards -- towards local governments. And when they form and take on new powers and exercise new powers -- we mentioned, you know, planning and platting. Education is mentioned in the final report, but, you know, we know that this -- the model Glacier Bay area constitutes basically currently three different school districts and, you know, consolidation of school districts. We do have 59 of them, including one of them -- I think the smallest in the state is the City of Pelican School District. There are inefficiencies in such a system. And best interests of the state is to create greater economies of scale and greater efficiencies.

And this proposal, while commendable in so many ways, doesn't hit that target. It doesn't create any new benefits to the state, greater efficiencies on some of bigger cost drivers. But ultimately I'd agree with the final report's conclusion that since the petition does not maximize an area in population with common interests, the staff concludes that the petition does not meet the standard for the minimum local number of government units. And that's --

that's a big one. So that's where, you know, I 1 2 agree with our -- the report on that, on that 3 finding. CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clay. 4 5 Clayton or Ely? 6 Clayton, are you muted, by chance? 7 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yeah, I was muted. 8 And I was going to let Ely go ahead. 9 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you. 10 Ely, do you care to comment on whether the 11 best interests of the state standard is met? 12 COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Again, it's hard to 13 quantify what -- you know, what the role of the 14 voters in that potential borough, the services 15 they may allocate funds to, what services they may provide that -- that would negate the 16 17 state's need to provide those services, is really hard to say, from my point of view. 18 Ι don't know if I have a "yes" or "no" on it at 19 20 this point. CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. That's fine. 21 22 Let's see, Clayton? COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Well, I've been 23 24 pondering this whole process a great deal. Ι 25 see a community in Hoonah that seems to be --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and, frankly, it has been recognized as an award-wining community that has developed these resources in an excellent and very, very, I think, progressive way. And -- and rather than us encourage that and the increase -- basically, looking at it as a business person -- the increase in wealth that has come to that community and could be spread throughout a regional area because of the -- I guess, the diligence and the wisdom that's being exercised in that community, and other communities -well. I had a friend who is a business law professor once and he talked about crabs in a barrel. And that you put a bunch of crabs in a barrel and one of the crabs starts to climb out of the barrel, and sees progress and development, and the other crabs climb up the back of that crab and pull him back down into the barrel.

And that's what's going on here, is Hoonah has done an exemplary job of resource management and getting community behind them. And now they want to extend that. And I'm not saying it's us, I think it is a structural problem with the whole idea of forming new communities or forming

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

new boroughs, but they just about got out of the barrel and they're being pulled back down by the very people that asked to join them, who ignored them, said, no, we don't want to join you, but now we don't want you advancing. That's not in the best interests of the state; it's simply not.

Now maybe my perspective is too business-oriented, you know, I teach business I am a -- I'm a guy that thinks entrepreneurialism and financial development by a community is a good thing, very good thing. But it seems to me that the state's interest is being exercised to squash that. And, you know, I mean I'm not saying that our perceptions are not accurate, but we five guys are going to decide what's going to happen to that entire That's what voting's for, guys. That's region. why the public should be allowed to vote, so that's why I would be in favor of granting the petition. Let the people decide. vote. That's what this is. It's not -- it's not the legislature deciding for them, it's not the five of us deciding for them, it's the people themselves.

Now, again, I'm saying that we have some difficulties with the structure. I'm not talking about us. It's not just -- it's -- obviously we're dealing with the situation we've been presented with. But somehow --

well, let me just say that there are some states that the first thing they did was form 200-some-odd counties when they first formed. And when we developed, you know, across the United States, what we did is we built a railroad and we gave a section here with a township, and a section here with a township, and then the next -- the next one went the other way, the other railroad. So we created sections of land with townships in them, but nobody was living there. But they had the structure, if they wanted to live there, that they could go out and do so.

Because one of the problems, guys, is I'm a professor, I get -- I get into all kind of ideas, but somehow we need to develop a system in Alaska that -- that will make and create or give the people a way to create a community if they desire, and then support that community.

It just -- anyway, I disagree with much in

the assessment of the report to the committee 1 2 and I don't know what I am going to do about 3 that. But I think to prospectively imagine what's going to happen and what is in the best 4 5 interests of the state, it's a crystal ball 6 gazing that I can -- have a hard time engaging 7 in. 8 So anyway, I said my piece. Thank you for listening. 9 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clayton. 10 11 If you have a copy of the map of the 12 proposed borough in front of you, Clayton, I

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I did have it. It's here.

would ask you to look at it.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Because here's the rub. You mentioned people should be able to vote.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yes, I have a map here of the Glacier Bay Model Borough Boundaries, is that the one?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. Well, no. I was talking about the borough map that's -- (indiscernible) by Hoonah. It would be part of their package or their petition. Let me describe it if you don't have it in front of

1 you.

2 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Well --

3 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Bring it up.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yeah, I said Proposed

Xunaa Borough Boundary. I see that.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Got it?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yeah, I've got it.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Lot of offshore water there, but it includes, if you can make it out, all of this area of Glacier Bay.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Over there to Funter Bay, Mansfield Peninsula, Horse and Colt Islands.

It's a big swath. I think I remember it would become the third largest geographic area of a borough in our state.

Now, you mentioned voting. Well, the people that would vote on this would -- would likely vote for it, because these -- these folks are represented by the petitioner, and I assume that they've got the support of their communities; some number over 900 people. I've got that number here somewhere. The ones that are -- (indiscernible - simultaneous speech).

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I am not saying in

this instance --

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: -- that voting is --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I'm not saying in this instance. When I'm talking about letting the people vote, there should be a way in this -- if you're going to have a vast area like this included in a municipal decision, then everybody in that area should be able to vote. I mean, it shouldn't be, you know, Glacier Bay being excluded or any of these other communities being excluded; they should all be included.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, Clayton, can I interrupt? That's where I wanted to get to with my comment was --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: -- these three communities have been (indiscernible) as they're likely not going to vote for it because -- for various reasons, everything from what service are we going to get? Or we don't want local government. Or we were -- never participated in discussions regarding the borough -- now, I know that's a contested area. Hoonah went to some great lengths to demonstrate -- and rightfully so -- that they had invited conversations with

Gustavus, Pelican, Tenakee, Elfin Cove, et cetera, Funter Bay, but the rub is that if you open -- see, this is a petition by local action, it's not a petition by legislative review. So, therefore, Hoonah was quite, you know, I would say, understandably concerned that if they include these others, or we should include them, as Mr. Tillinghast reminded us, this is dead on arrival; this is a no-go, because these other areas will likely vote it down.

Now, the other part of this equation is that, okay, if you say you're going to grant the petition, but now you have communities like Gustavus, Pelican, Tenakee Springs that have a common interest in the area, and they have been identified as such by the model borough boundaries -- I talked about that earlier today. And now they no longer have a say in what's happening, or necessarily a stronger voice in what's happening in Glacier Bay, for example, then that's equally unfair, inequitable.

So getting back to John's point is that we lack a mechanism to say to these communities, look, you need to get together and work this out, and then take your vote. And then find out

if you can reach accommodation on issues like. 1 2 hey, where is the borough seat going to be? 3 are communities going to be represented? How do you deal with PILT? How do you deal with 4 5 forest -- I forgot the acronym now -- but forest 6 revenues, shared fisheries tax, how's that going 7 to be dealt with? Because those communities do 8 have an interest. How are we going to be represented on it? Those are all questions that 9 haven't been worked out (indiscernible), 10 11 Clayton. And consequently, I just wanted to try 12 and point those things out. 13 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Well, I mean, I concur with you there on a lot of that. 14 Ι 15 recognize difficulties (indiscernible) is faced with. 16 17 But how long has it been since the constitution of Alaska was passed? 18 16 --19 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, passed and accepted 20 by the people? The convention was what, '56, **'**57 --21 22 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: 1959 --23 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: -- and then the people 24 voted on it. I want to say -- statehood was 25 '59.

Statehood. 1 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: okay. 2 Let's just use statehood. Since statehood, how 3 many boroughs have been created? Big picture. CHAIRPERSON WOOD: 4 19. 5 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: okay. 6 But it's --CHAIRPERSON WOOD: 7 COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I mean -- and I 8 hate -- it seems to me that the problem is is there's too many interests that are playing out 9 here. I know, for example, that the Glacier Bay 10 11 community, it's a lot of federal money. One of 12 these communities that's objecting has kind of a 13 nonprofit focus and they've got their system in 14 place and they're prospering, so is Glacier Bay. And it is, frankly, irrational for smallish 15 communities that are prospering to want to join 16 17 a municipality. I mean, why would you want to pay taxes to another entity that may not give 18 you services? I get that. You know, no 19 20 taxation without representation, we've heard 21 that before, haven't we? And it's -- I am just struggling with the 22 23 whole thing. I apologize, Larry. And it's just 24 it seems to me that it would be in the best

interests of the state to cherry-pick a

25

community that's making entrepreneurially good decisions, doing a good job for their community that is producing revenue.

I mean, I remember one of the conversations
I had with those guys. They were talking about
taxing the beverages sold on those cruise ships.
They were talking about millions of -- you know,
I'm not in favor of taxation, generally, okay.
But they were talking about millions of dollars
of revenue to the community, you know, by just
putting -- I'd have to call it an alcohol tax on
the beverages people take off the cruise ships.
It's a really good idea, by the way, from a
business law perspective. And it would give
revenues to that community, enabling them to do
things.

I guess -- I guess, maybe I come from an entrepreneurial family, and I like to see entrepreneurship rewarded. So anyway, I've said too much already. But I just think that the system's not working. I want to say that. The system of deciding how we have municipalities and cities and so forth in Alaska simply is not working. And I know I am a newcomer, but I'm looking back at the record. Frankly, there

ought to be a couple of hundred communities, whether you want to call them municipalities or cities or counties, or whatever, there should be a couple of hundred individual communities now in Alaska so they could develop, so they could develop their own systems, so they could put in place the opportunities.

Anyway, that's -- again, that's my opinion.

I'm sticking to it. Have a good one. I -
again, I may be ranting, but I don't like

ranting, so I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, Clayton, let me ask you the question of, do you feel that the best interests of the state standard have been met or not? I've marked "no" for Larry, John, and Clay.

How say you, sir?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Sorry. Was that directed at me? I thought you were talking to Clayton.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: It was Clayton.

But, Ely, why don't you go ahead --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I'm sorry. I had the mute on. I would say that this would benefit the State of Alaska to go forward with it. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it would benefit it and it would open up an opportunity for a community to grow, which, to me, both economically and in just about every other way. And I can't see that that would be a bad thing for the State of Alaska. So I think it is in the best interests -- (indiscernible).

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So I will mark you (indiscernible). That's right.

So, Clayton, is this the one where you were kind of undecided on best interests? I'm sorry. Ely?

I -- I tried to --COMMISSIONER CYRUS: No. I wanted to rephrase how I stated it earlier. It took me kind of a little bit to, you know, kind of come around to a way of stating it that But I appreciated the comments that made sense. Clayton made as far as, you know, the intent of the community and intent of the area. None of us are from that voting district. None of us can predict in the future how the assembly, how the voters may provide services, may choose not to provide services, that's completely up to the voters and the assembly within that proposed In the future, I don't think any of us borough. can predict what may or may not happen.

And I recognize that, you know, earlier we discussed about mechanisms for communities who don't feel they are receiving adequate services from a borough mechanism for them to leave. I feel, and I agree with Clayton, that I think it's in the state's best interests to allow the formation of a new borough. Because, you know, I think we have to recognize that, you know, a community took an initiative to do this work, to do the legwork, to do -- get it to petition, to the point where it is now where we're discussing it. And that's just not happening anywhere else in Alaska, really, at this point.

And there's so many communities in Alaska that aren't in an incorporated borough. And the final report, to me, it seemed a little biased against, you know, formations of this type. And I just want to applaud the community for putting the petition together and the work that they put into it, because it's just not -- I just don't see this happening, really, anywhere else in Alaska, and it's unfortunate. And I agree that, you know, it's been decades since the formation of the state, and we still have so many, you know, communities not within boroughs as was

intended.

And I also agree with the comments that petition does have some flaws, but I believe in the intent of the petition. And the intent of the petition was to better the area, provide more (indiscernible).

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So to recap, Ely, would you be thinking this satisfies the best interests of the state's standard, even though it excludes the communities of Gustavus, Tenakee Springs, and Pelican from its boundaries?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: May I address that while he's thinking?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Ely, did you -- okay.

Well -- okay. Clayton, I have you down as saying "yes," you would say the standard is met, even though the communities that I mentioned are excluded. Did you want to talk some more about that?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Well, I just wanted to say that the communities have been excluded because they decided to be excluded. They had to fish or cut bait, and they decided they wanted to cut bait; they didn't want to fish. You know, it's a free country --

	127
1	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well
2	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: and they decided
3	they didn't want to join this. And then they
4	come along and say, well, we don't want to join
5	it; we don't want it happening either.
6	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well
7	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: And to me the issue
8	is not are they being excluded, the issue is
9	they have voluntarily excluded themselves from
10	this, and then they want to stop it.
11	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Clayton?
12	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: That's the way I look
13	at this. It's it's
14	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: we don't know
15	(Indiscernible - simultaneous speech.)
16	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: you know, it's not
17	something Hoonah's doing to them, it's something
18	they did to themselves. They chose it.
19	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: I think
20	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I don't like it.
21	I'm sorry. It's a free country, you know.
22	Anyway, I'm sorry. I'm going to try to be
23	quiet.
24	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So you may have missed
25	something in the report, the final report, that

there have been comments from these communities that they didn't -- I can remember explicitly a comment from Gustavus that they were wondering why Hoonah went ahead with this when the last conversation they had was still interest in borough formation. So when you say "they excluded themselves," we don't have a mechanism like that in place, nor do we have evidence in the record that firmly establishes that these three communities by -- you know, will always say no.

In fact, if you go through the letters -and I hope you have -- that have come in from
all of these other locations, there are people
who say, yes, we don't want to, we don't want to
be involved: leave us alone.

And if I can find it --

Jed, maybe we should read it. But in the report there is a statement regarding comments where our staff had written that the comments that seemed to be most pertinent -- and I forgot how he expressed that -- are the ones where folks were suggesting that it would be open to those discussions, Clayton. So I don't want our conversation to go forward without clarifying

that. You know, it's not like a court thing
where -COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I know --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I know -(indiscernible - simultaneous speech.)

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I'm just saying we've read different parts of the record. I mean, I've seen things in the record that indicate otherwise than what those letters indicate. And mostly from -- obviously, from Hoonah. So, you know, that's -- that's a question of fact. Too bad we don't have a jury; right? Anyway.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Jed, can I ask for a point of information? In the report, I think there is a comment regarding this very issue of comments regarding people that were open to further discussion about borough formation. Can you help me find that, or is my memory just going awry here again?

JED SMITH: Mr. Chairman, it would take me a few minutes to sift through some of the comments and find the citation you're referencing, but I believe that is somewhere in the report. Stand by. I can find that information for you.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: While you're looking, let me go back to Ely and ask, Ely, did you have a

feeling on whether you felt the best interests of the state were served by or met by the petition, even though it excluded these three communities? Were you a "yea" or a "no" on that?

So far I have Clayton as a "yes." Larry, John, and Clay as a "no" for the reasons that have been explained.

JED SMITH: Commissioner Cyrus actually dropped off right after his last comments.

Commissioner Harrington has his hand up.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Oh. John?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes, sir. I am -I saw the same comment in there about their
willingness to move -- continue discussions.
But the part that I saw was we're not -- we were
open to this discussion, just not this one,
meaning this application. Whereas, I think if
we can move forward with this, I would say we
need to put a stipulation in it that if the
borough is -- if we approve the borough, they
are required to make -- extend it -- you know,
extend to those three communities for inclusion
in it and go into discussions about that.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: You mean consider a

condition be placed on the petition?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Similar to what the LBC did to Ketchikan regarding Hyder, but not so specific as to say "you must do it within five years," but to submit -- requiring them to reach out to the three communities and start dialogs on how they could be part of this borough.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Would that place those communities on equal footing with Hoonah or would that put them at a disadvantage?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Well, there's -once you have them part of it, they
(indiscernible) Hoonah. So it's going to be
representative if they get the three communities
into that borough. And then you definitely have
the improvement of services, and education, and
potential development of that whole area.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So -- just so I understand, you would be talking about the possibility of extending this boundary to include those three communities, number one, so you'd amend the boundaries. Number 2, you'd place a condition that Hoonah reach agreement with those three communities before, what, they

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

got their certificate of incorporation?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Nothing we do can be that binding. I would say that in good faith we should be saying to them we view this borough as including those three communities and, as such, they don't want to be in at the moment but you need to spend the time and energy to extend an olive branch, if you will, by way so they can be included in the bigger borough.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. So I'm still not -- I've got to get my head around this. you saying that you amend the boundaries or you tell Hoonah that, look, you've got to consider your willingness to annex these communities if you could develop an agreement on (indiscernible) I mean, help -- just not quite following you.

They've alreadv COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: said in their -- some of that information that they realize that it would be best to have all of those communities together in the borough, but that was essentially a no-go, as I remember reading, but it's been a while, so my head may be foggy, but to continue those conversations so that they understand this isn't a closed book,

we're getting started, now we can open it up to include these three communities. And they could be added at piecemeal or all at once. Again, it's only -- the only way I see we can get this going.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So just to reiterate -sorry to be slow on this -- but you would kind
of grant this petition the way it's been written
with the condition that Hoonah invite these
three communities to be a part of further
conversations about joining the borough and, I
guess, by annexation at that point?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: That's correct.

Strongly in the principle of one man, one vote.

And I also believe very strongly in the principle of no taxation without representation; it's been around a long time. And to give maximum representation in the area is something that I certainly would agree with; it makes a whole lot of sense. Now, Hoonah might not like that because they're in the minority once you incorporate those other communities. But it does seem that those communities are part of this region, and for some reason they've been

left out.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: (Indiscernible) the point that several of us have been saying pretty much all of our meeting today is that, yes, it's a region, but anyone's definition. But the problem, John, I have with that thought is that, number one, if I were in one of those communities I would wonder what sort of motivation there would be for Hoonah to really want to come to the table on key issues like we mentioned earlier, like representation, taxes, sharing of revenues, PILT, et cetera, et cetera.

It would be preferable, in my mind, if you could have a level playing field in any negotiation so that parties would be -- it would be incumbent upon those parties to become motivated to resolve those things.

Now, we're talking about a local option petition. So it's not just about these community leaders being on board, then the next stage would be a campaign. Meantime, we have these other disincentives that you talked about in part, John, like the moment a borough is formed, now there's going to a contribution requirement by the Department of Education

regarding public education that's going to impact, in particular, Gustavus and Tenakee Springs. So --

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: There is another option, and that would be for the Local Boundary Commission to send letters to those three communities saying if you are interested in joining this, give us an application for the, you know, legislative review, and we'll get this done.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. I --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Do they have a motion for intervention option in the rules?

(indiscernible) intervene in the proceedings? I don't think they do, do they?

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: No. There's no such -- but I don't know who the intervening would be done by anyway, Clayton.

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I mean, if they're interested, I've done that.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So, for those that are listening, I think you see the aggravation and the frustration of the rules that sort of bind our hands. And we've been talking about the standards today, and we need to move forward

with it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The motion on the floor has to do with granting the petition as presented to the commission. We've been talking about the standards that are impacted by incorporation, and now on best interests. And I -- I -- you know, I mean, I've been thinking about, okay, so if the petition is not granted, mention was made in the final report of revising and updating the feasibility study. But I kind of feel that there's been, I think three feasibility studies, other studies, including the model borough boundary study. This has been studied pretty heavily. But what I would prefer to see is a mechanism that says, hey, let's get together, something needs to be done. And to get people all motivated toward borough government when borough government formation has been controversial in our state since 1959. Again, I read part of the history. The only real -- the greater number of us live in boroughs that were not formed voluntarily and that's what we've been talking about all day long.

So converting --

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Excuse me, could I --

	137
1	I hate to interrupt, but could we take a short
2	break? It's after 2:00.
3	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah, sure. That's fine.
4	How about we meet again at 2:25?
5	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: It will only take
6	five minutes, as far as I'm concerned.
7	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Off the record.
8	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: 2:25 is great. I
9	will be right back.
10	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Too late. 2:25.
11	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: 2:25. Got it.
12	(Off record.)
13	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Grace has started the
14	recording. We are back on the record, which I
15	note for the record it's about 2:26 PM. We have
16	been at it from 10 AM this morning. So,
17	gentlemen, thank you for your patience, and I
18	appreciated the conversation.
19	For those who are listening, thanks for
20	hanging in there, too.
21	I think we had discussed the best interests
22	of the state standard pretty thoroughly.
23	Anybody got any closing thoughts? I've got
24	marked on my sheet here that Clayton was he
25	feels like the best interests of the state had

been met by the petition.

On the "no" side of things I've got Larry, John, and Clay.

Ely, I don't know if you had any further thoughts about whether the best interests of the state were served by the -- the petition. And, again, it does not include these three communities that we've been talking about.

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So you would say that, yes, it has been? Best interests?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. All right, gentlemen. Now, if you keep looking on the sheet, the checklist, this is not a dissolution of a city, so we don't cover that one, so turn to page 5. Does the petition include a practical plan that demonstrates the capacity of the municipal government to extend essential municipal services into the boundaries proposed for change in the shortest practical time after the effective date of the proposed change?

well, look at page 38 of your final report at the top. "The petitioner satisfies the requirement of providing a transition plan,

noting that it intends only to provide non-areawide services within the former townsite service area. The petitioner acknowledges there is no need to provided education because there are no school-aged children in the community of Elfin Cove, and the Chatham REAA has said it does not have any correspondence students within the proposed boundary.

"Hoonah's transition plan also notes a seven-member borough assembly, including the mayor, would be elected at large, as would a five-member school board.

The petitioner has not stated its intention to either hire a full-time assessor or to contract with an assessor to develop a borough-wide full value determination." Which they would have to do.

I don't think we need comment on that unless somebody feels like we should.

Statement of nondiscrimination, which is the next item. I'm looking at page 38 of the report, "The petitioner includes the following statement of nondiscrimination in section 17 of the petition: Borough incorporation and the concomitant dissolution of the City of Hoonah

will not discriminate against either Alaska
Natives or any minority." The report says, "LBC
staff recognizes the statement meets the
standard, but also recognizes the concern from
residents of Elfin Cove and Game Creek, who
express skepticism that a representative from
their community would be elected to an at-large
borough assembly."

And we did talk about that. And that, again, is the concern about how -- how would these folks from Elfin Cove, Game Creek -- which are notably smaller communities -- how would they be represented on the borough assembly.

Any further comment on that particular item?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Small communities have a difficult time getting elected to an areawide position, correct. But I think in both cases the whole service area model makes a lot of sense, given they -- and Hoonah, the City of, and the other communities really, by rights, should have service area established so that there is a representative elected from those areas to speak for the communities to the

Ι

1

assembly and bring those issues of what needs to happen for public services in those areas.

3

5

6

7

8

So I realize they have not -- I have not seen any service area discussion in their application. That doesn't mean it's not there, because there's a whole lot of stuff there we had to go through. But I would really like to -- to push for a more specific set on -- of information regarding service areas in that

9 10

borough.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, John.

12

11

something the commission would want to consider

suppose if the petition is granted, that may be

14

13

appending to its order.

1516

Any other comments on statement about nondiscrimination?

17

18

The next one, if you look at your report page 38: determination of essential municipal

19

services. And this is from the regulation

20

3 AAC 110.970(b): The commission may determine

21

essential municipal services for a borough to

22

include (5) other services that the commission

2324

considers reasonably necessary to meet the borough governmental need of the residents of

25

the area.

This is a comment from the report: The petitioner is proposing to provide non-areawide services to the Hoonah townsite only. Residents of Game Creek and Elfin Cove raised questions about the lack of services borough government would provide to their communities.

And, indeed, they did. So there's going -it proposes a seasonal sales tax and the
questions coming in from the small communities,
or at least Elfin Cove, is what services can we
anticipate. And the answer is that none were
anticipated areawide, at least for the near
term.

Any comments about that one?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Thank you, Chairman. This is Clay Walker. I understand the delivery of those essential services. At the same time, Elfin Cove, Game Creek, you know, have a right to representation, and what -- whether or not they actually have a representative on the borough assembly from that community, but I didn't see much in the petition, as proposed, that would guarantee access, you know, whether it be virtually or just, you know, access to the assembly and representation through economic

development.

It looks like Elfin Cove has done a fair amount on a nonprofit basis and they would then need to work with the borough to further economic development and some of those needs that they have there in terms of footpaths and such. And there would need to be that collaboration.

And, you know, I'd love to see some structure that's in the charter that would -- that would, you know, guarantee that everybody -- that those communities are represented in terms of economic development.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clay. I remember reading in Hoonah's materials that the concern would be if Elfin Cove or these other communities, small communities, had representation on the assembly that might be a disproportionate influence on all that was being considered at the assembly level.

I was reading -- I think I mentioned this earlier -- that one possible solution is to have a voter district made up of maybe that community. And then everybody in the borough votes on that particular seat. In effect, it's

an area-wide seat, but you have to live in a particular district to qualify for it. And that might meet some -- you know, any constraints of some of the voter registration requirements.

But, again, I think that if this petition goes forward, the commission would want to consider the potential of, you know, attaching those conditions to the -- to its order.

The next thing listed on page 38 is the regulation 3 AAC 110.981, determination of maximum local self-government: For borough incorporation whether the proposal would extend local government on a regional scale to a significant area and population of the unorganized borough.

And the report states: The petition proposes to expand local government in area but not significantly in population. The current population for the City of Hoonah is 885. The population of the proposed borough would increase by fewer than 75 residents, yet extend its governance over 10,000 square miles beyond the current city limits.

Hoonah can achieve maximum local self-governance by adopting a home rule charter

for the City of Hoonah rather than incorporating as borough government. LBC staff recommends that adopting a home rule charter is the appropriate course under the Alaska State Constitution.

So this is sort of the opposite of what I said about if the petition is granted. Well, if the petition is not granted, this is a recommendation that staff has made that, I guess, we can either agree with or not, but it would presume that the majority of the commission determines that the petition would not be acceptable, would not be granted.

And we talked to some degree about this question earlier, which, again, is for borough incorporation whether the proposal would extend local government on a regional scale to a significant area and population of the unorganized borough.

So unless someone wants to weigh in on that again, I think we discussed it pretty well earlier.

Likewise, on 3 AAC 110.982, bottom of the page 38, determination of minimum number of local government units. The report says: The

petition on this standard is neutral, and an affirmative LBC action technically does not reduce the minimum number of local government units. By dissolving the City of Hoonah and creating a borough government one local government unit is replaced by another. This standard would more appropriately be satisfied by consolidating with one or more of the region's school districts, paren, Pelican City School district and/or the Chatham REAA, close paren.

Now, the rest of all these things on our checklist, I think we've addressed, or don't -- need not be redressed for the purposes of this proceeding.

And for the folks that are listening, if you're wondering why we're going through the checklist, that's a very good question. Number one, it keeps us on course; I think we've done a good job of that. And, number two, it indicates where the commissioners are in terms of our decision-making. Because after we get finished today, the staff or commissioner, if a commissioner volunteers, we will begin the process of preparing a written decision draft.

And this will provide some guidance for that person, or persons, who are drafting so they know where the commissioners were coming from. That doesn't mean that the written decision necessarily is going to exclude, as I said earlier today, all of the other information and testimony, the records, the report, the briefing. It just means that this questionnaire helps fill in the blanks in terms of the author of those written decisions, and later the commission's editing that written decision whether we've underscored all the major considerations of our decision.

So, gentlemen, I am getting real close to calling the question, but before I do, I'm going to go back through this questionnaire and kind of reiterate where we landed, or I think we landed.

So if you can, look at your questionnaire, the first item on page 1 is "community of interests." And on this I've got John, Clayton, and Ely voting yes. Clay Walker, and Larry, no. Not really voting, we just indicated our views on that one.

"Population" was unanimous; everyone was a

yes on population. That's page 2. 1 2 Clay had reservations on "resources," which 3 was -- Clay Walker, on page 2, but he also voted yes, so, again, that was unanimous. 4 "Boundaries." I have Clay Walker, John, 5 Larry feeling like, no, this standard was not 6 7 satisfied. Clayton and Ely voting -- or not 8 voting -- indicating that, yes, it was. "Best interests of the state." I have 9 Clayton and Ely feeling like, yes, this petition 10 11 does satisfy that standard. Larry, John, and 12 Clay, indicating otherwise. 13 Okay. Gentlemen, have I repeated that 14 appropriately? Any changes? 15 COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Can you repeat on the boundaries what you said? 16 17 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yeah. Okav. On "boundaries," John, I have Clayton and 18 Ely feeling like, yes, boundaries standard was 19 20 satisfied. I have Clay Walker, John 21 (indiscernible) feeling like it hadn't been. 22 Any other questions? 23 I want to give an opportunity before we call 24 the question for everybody to provide closing 25 But as part of mine, I wanted to read comments.

from our final report, just briefly. I know the day's getting long and we are all getting tired.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is actually from the last paragraph of the report: There are many reasons why regional government in Glacier Bay could be in the best interests of the state and of the communities. There even appear to be signs some of the communities may be open to borough government. The region's communities have commonalities that are suitable for regional government, whereby a borough government would distribute representation equally among the communities and interests in the region. An at-large assembly as proposed in the charter would not necessarily achieve that goal and could be a barrier to proper regional representation for the smaller communities. Staff recommends that the petition be denied but that future consideration be paid to the petitioner if a new proposal with greater community support and inclusion be brought forward.

That's the final report.

Are there other comments before we address the question? Again, the motion on the floor is to -- would be to grant the petition. So any

closing remarks? We've had a really good
conversation and covered a lot of ground. Any
final thoughts before we vote?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Chairman, I'll go and

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Chairman, I'll go and thank the commission and staff, and everybody on this Zoom who has been on this Zoom for a long time today, for all their attention to this important petition and proposal. And I so respect Hoonah, the community, in so many ways and appreciate their leadership on this issue, and so appreciate their hospitality, as well.

But, you know, I, as -- sworn as a commissioner, will be voting in terms of what I do think is in the best interests of the state and in the long term on this question and the way it's currently proposed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Here. Here. Thank you, Clay.

Other comments before we vote?

Okay. I want to call the question on the motion. Does everybody understand the motion? So voting "yes" would mean, obviously, the motion -- I'm sorry, the petition would be granted as presented to the LBC. A vote "no" would be just the opposite of that, it would be

	151
1	the petition is denied.
2	For this, roll call vote is required, and
3	I'm going to ask for staff, Jed Smith, to
4	administer a roll call vote.
5	JED SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
6	Commissioner Harrington?
7	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes.
8	JED SMITH: Commissioner Cyrus?
9	COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yes.
10	JED SMITH: Commissioner Trotter?
11	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yes.
12	JED SMITH: Commissioner Walker?
13	COMMISSIONER WALKER: No.
14	JED SMITH: Chair Wood?
15	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: No.
16	Oh. Let me ask Commissioner Harrington, did
17	you understand because you had suggested
18	otherwise during our conversation; did you mean
19	to vote the way you voted?
20	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I'm sorry?
21	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Did you intend to vote
22	that the petition be granted as proposed?
23	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I'm hoping we can
24	talk about some addendums to this, but, yes, I'm
25	in favor of granting the petition, but there are

	152
1	so many concerns I have moving forward that if
2	we're going to get to a sound basis, we've got
3	to start with the borough to work with.
4	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: What were the results of
5	the vote?
6	JED SMITH: The motion passes, three votes
7	to two; the petition is accepted.
8	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Are there other motions?
9	Commissioner Harrington, if I'm sorry,
10	are there other questions? I'm sorry, are there
11	other motions? I mean, the majority has
12	accepted the petition as presented and you were
13	suggesting, based on your last comments, that
14	you had concerns that you felt
15	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I have concerns
16	yeah. And I was hoping to add an addendum if
17	I may throw another motion on the table?
18	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: That's what I am
19	suggesting. If you have another motion, this
20	would be the time.
21	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I have to think
22	about that for a while.
23	I will pass for now, but go ahead.
24	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Mr. Jed Smith, would you
25	explain the rest of the process, how this is

going to unfold with the written decision, please.

JED SMITH: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. As the commission concludes its business today, the commission will have 30 days to issue a written decision, that puts the release of a written decision on approximately Thursday, December 12. And at that point, the department will notify the (indiscernible) to begin the process of scheduling an election in the region on this matter.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So as I understand the bylaws, after the written -- the draft of the written decision is available to us commissioners, we have eight days to convene another meeting to edit the draft, if there are edits, and then get it issued by the deadline; correct?

JED SMITH: We'll begin circulating a draft as soon as one is prepared, but the final draft should be released by Thursday, December the 12th.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: And then let me -- do you have -- let me look for the bylaws here.

GENE HICKEY: Chair Wood, it's Gene Hickey,

	154
1	if I can for a moment.
2	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Sure, Mr. Hickey. Thank
3	you.
4	GENE HICKEY: Would it be possible to go
5	into executive session on a legal issue before
6	we move any further?
7	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Absolutely. Is there a
8	motion to convene into executive session to
9	obtain legal (indiscernible) from the Department
10	of Law?
11	GENE HICKEY: That would be pursuant to the
12	Open Meetings Act 44.62.310(c) for the purpose
13	of obtaining legal advice on a legal issue that
14	I see with respect to the issues before the
15	commission.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved.
17	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: So moved.
18	Is there a second?
19	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Second.
20	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Was that Mr. Harrington?
21	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: It was.
22	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okay. It's been moved
23	and seconded that we move into executive session
24	for the purposes of receiving legal advice. I
25	will let Mr. Gene Hickey's description suffice

	155
1	for the minutes.
2	Is there any objection to the motion?
3	Hearing none, that motion passes.
4	We're going to adjourn into executive
5	session, folks.
6	And, Jed, I think you have to set up a
7	separate call; is that correct?
8	JED SMITH: Yeah. I think thank you,
9	Mr. Chair. What I am going to try to do right
10	now is suggest that we keep this Zoom meeting
11	open. Commissioners, you can log off of this
12	Zoom meeting. I will send you an invitation for
13	a Teams meeting here. So that should be on its
14	way now.
15	COMMISSIONER WALKER: A Zoom, not a Teams;
16	right?
17	JED SMITH: Correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: No, it's a Teams going
19	to be a Teams meeting.
20	COMMISSIONER WALKER: Teams. Okay. I'll
21	switch platforms.
22	JED SMITH: So we will keep this Zoom
23	meeting open for the members of the public, and
24	return when the executive session has concluded.
25	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I have never done a

1 Teams meeting, so I have a caveat. I will try 2 to get there. 3 JED SMITH: It may be something -- there should be a phone number you can just call in 4 5

to.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: okay. Thank you. (Off record - executive session held.) CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Okav. We're back on the record at 3:21 PM.

I'll go ahead and turn the microphone over briefly to our attorney, Mr. Gene Hickey, from the Alaska Department of Law. Mr. Hickey.

Thank you, Chair Wood. Just GENE HICKEY: to clarify for the commission and the public what the executive session was for, there seemed to be a little confusion concerning the -- going through the standards and then the vote on the approval of the petition. As the commission went through the standards, it didn't take a formal vote on any of the standards as to whether or not they had met -- been met or not met.

And I was a little concerned with Commissioner Harrington indicating that he had concerns on the record about some of those

standards. In particular, discussions concerning boundaries and best interests of the state. And there was an indication, I think by the Chair, just paraphrasing that people were either for or against whether a particular standard had been met.

And I just wanted to clarify with

Commissioner Harrington that he did actually

find that the standards for both resources -
I'm sorry, for boundaries and best interests of

the state were, in fact, met. And I think he

should put that on the record just so it's clear

that -- that he understood his vote was to

approve the petition and that those standards

had, in fact, been met, based upon the evidence

that he reviewed.

So I'd like to turn that over to Commissioner Harrington, if we could.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Thank you, sir.

And I apologize for any confusion that may have taken place. I believe that the best interests of the state clearly is to establish this borough. And I believe that the standards have all been met, including the boundaries. I do have concerns, and I will bring that up in a

motion after we are finished with this, and nothing binding regarding this petition, merely a position with the LBC regarding moving forward.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Mr. Harrington. And thank you, Mr. Hickey.

Mr. Harrington, did you have a motion?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes, sir. I move that the LBC put on the record that we view the boundaries of this -- the ideal boundaries of this area would include those three communities that were left out. Nothing having to do with this petition, merely that it is our attitude that those three should eventually be included in this borough.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Just to clarify, did you have any additional verbiage about how that might be accomplished?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Was there a question I missed? Sorry, my hearing's --

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, so far I have that you reviewed the boundaries to be ideal, would include these three communities that should eventually be part of the borough. But I'm just asking if that is the end of that, or did you

have some mechanism in mind?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: At this point we have no binding way to get there. I would like to put it -- to make it binding but they can be ignored. I would encourage the future borough assembly to seek out both -- all three of those communities and attempt to include them in the borough, as would save a monumental list of problems if they would, but I don't think we can bind them to do that.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Mr. Smith, do you have a good sense for the verbiage of that motion? If so, could you repeat it?

JED SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I just have the commissioner Harrington moved that the LBC put in the record that it view the boundaries as -- the ideal boundaries would include neighboring communities, presumably Pelican, Tenakee Springs, and Gustavus, and that the borough should eventually include those communities.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Correct. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Jed.

Commissioner Harrington, is that an accurate

restatement of your motion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Do we have a second of the commissioner's motion?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I second the motion.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Trotter.

Discussion?

Well, even though I voted against the motion of the -- I should say the petition as presented, I find that that is a very important concern. And as we started out today, boundaries is the crux of this case, or of this situation. And Commissioner Harrington is correct, we don't have a vehicle on regulation or statute right now that provides the commission with the ability to mandate a conversation, but I agree with him that sometime -- or sometime soon those -- that Hoonah -- and -- and again, I joined in Commissioner Walker's and others positive comments about the leadership there that they take the bull by the horns and initiate these conversations, make them happen, look for ways that they can amend their charter, if need be,

	161
1	to deal with some of these key issues that I
2	mentioned earlier today, like how are we going
3	to represent these people? How are they going
4	to provide services? All those things that
5	would naturally come up, and have come up in
6	those borough feasibility studies. So end of
7	speech. I'm going to be voting for this motion.
8	Any other comments?
9	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Just hear, hear.
10	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: I'm sorry, is that
11	Commissioner Trotter?
12	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yes. I was just
13	saying "hear, hear," as they would say, over in
14	jolly 'ol England. Hear, hear, good speech.
15	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Commissioner.
16	Any other comments before we take a vote?
17	Mr. Smith, could you do a roll call vote,
18	please?
19	JED SMITH: Commissioner Harrington?
20	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes.
21	JED SMITH: Commissioner Cyrus?
22	COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Yes.
23	JED SMITH: Commissioner Trotter?
24	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Yes.
25	JED SMITH: Commissioner Walker?

1 COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: And Chair Wood? 3 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Yes. That motion carries, five-zero. 4 JED SMITH: 5 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Wanted to also, for those 6 that are listening, and for us here on the 7 commission, to remind everybody that we are 8 dealing with the decisional meeting regulation at 3 AA C 110.570, and that describes what 9 Mr. Smith has already told us about the 10 11 decisional written statement. 12 Also, lots of folks aren't familiar with the 13 fact that it is a fact we have bylaws. And the bylaws at Article VII discusses draft decisions 14 and how that's handled. 15 Mr. Smith has already 16 gone over that process. Minority opinions are 17 discussed in section 2 of the bylaws. reconsideration requests that are discussed in 18 the -- the main regulation that I mentioned. 19 20 Any further comments on this item before we move 21 on? Hearing none, let's go to 22 okay. 23 announcements. 24 Mr. Smith? I think you announced earlier 25 that we've got an annual report coming up.

JED SMITH: Mr. Chair, I don't really have 1 2 any information at this time. Typically the 3 commission does convene in early January to adopt its annual report to the legislature. 4 Obviously, my hand's busy with this draft 5 written decision first. But as I said, that 6 7 should be released by December the 12th, at 8 which point we can begin circulating the draft of the annual report to the legislature. 9 information on a future meeting date will be 10 11 forthcoming. 12 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Jed. So no 13 further announcements. 14 I forgot to mention earlier that our hearing to adopt the written decision will be a public 15 So look for that if you would like to 16 17 continue following this. Closing comments? Commissioners? 18 closing comments before we adjourn? 19 20 COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Yes, sir. 21 CHAIRPERSON WOOD: John. 22 COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Two, actually. 23 Thoughts for moving forward with the report to 24 the legislature, I hope we can include something 25 having to do with reducing obstacles and

getting, finally, the mandate of constitutional action of dividing the state into boroughs, organized and unorganized.

Secondly, my wife and I have had continuous conversation, and I will be submitting my resignation shortly to the governor and indicating I am willing to serve until such time he appoints a replacement, but that I will be leaving this body, after 15 years or so.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, I think we all feared that, John. Thank you for your service. But you're right, I mean, change is inevitable. We want to, when the time comes, honor you for your dedicated service and, I don't know, maybe a brain meld or whatever they used to call that on -- what is it? -- Star Trek? Yeah, Star Trek. We sure appreciate you, John. And I also join in your comments earlier.

I want to thank everyone involved today. It has been a long day, and it's been a very important and useful conversation. And I appreciate the fact -- and it was a fact -- that everybody calmly stated their point of view and went from there. So, any other comments before we close out?

COMMISSIONER TROTTER: I would just have to say I'm going to miss John at these meetings, number one. And number two, Larry, you did an excellent job managing the meeting, as usual. I did not know of your mediation practice. And now it makes a whole lot more sense why you do such a good job with meetings. You're at heart a mediator.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Well, thank you, Clayton. Appreciate the comments. And back at you; appreciate your comments, too, and your knowledge.

Clay, would you like to say something, or Ely?

COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Apologies for running late this morning; I had the plane up this morning and was a little delayed getting back to Kiana. And sorry for jumping off the call this afternoon. We had a power outage and it also knocked out our cell phones, so I wasn't able to call back in after I got disconnected. And thank you for the service of 15 years on the LBC board. Hopefully they will be a little slow in accepting your resignation so we still have you for a few more meetings, but thanks again. And

thanks to the Chair for running a good meeting.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Ely. No apology needed. Those are very legitimate issues. Thanks for hanging in there and providing your, as always, excellent observations and questions.

clay?

COMMISSIONER WALKER: Yeah. Thanks,
Chairman Wood, for doing a great job
mediating/running this meeting, and to the whole
commission for their thoughtful consideration of
the petition, and to Commissioner Harrington for
your long service to the state, to the Local
Boundary Commission. And I echo Ely's thoughts
that we'd like to keep you as long as we can,
and then do want to give you the proper, you
know, send-off, because you've been such a
valuable member to this commission. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Thank you, Clay. Very well said also.

And, again, I reiterate my appreciation to all those folks that hung on with us here today, appreciate your presence and your quiet participation.

I will now entertain a motion to adjourn.

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

	167
1	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Move to adjourn.
2	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Second?
3	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Second.
4	CHAIRPERSON WOOD: Any objection to the
5	motion to adjourn?
6	Hearing none, we stand adjourned.
7	Everyone, have a good evening, and thank
8	you.
9	COMMISSIONER CYRUS: Thanks, everyone.
10	COMMISSIONER TROTTER: Happy Thanksgiving
11	and Merry Christmas.
12	(Meeting adjourned.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	60:7;114:16;159:25	166:25:167:15	92:1,7;108:10;	46:14;77:8;86:25;
-	achieve (2)	166:25;167:1,5 adjourned (2)	111:20;112:2;125:5,	127:4
L	144:24;149:15	167:6,12	22;126:2;133:20;	
	*			alter (2)
[GMT20241112-191407_Recordingm4a] (1)	acknowledges (1)	administer (1)	145:10;160:18	6:5,8
2:3	139:3	151:4	agreed (1)	alteration (1)
[sic] (1)	acronym (1)	Admiralty (4)	30:18	6:18
102:16	120:5	64:3,5;73:15;81:24	agreement (2)	altered (2)
	across (2)	ado (2)	131:24;132:15	5:22;6:7
$\mathbf{A}$	20:5;115:9	7:13;46:15	agrees (2)	alternative (1)
	Act (7)	adopt (2)	20:20;44:8	30:8
<b>AA</b> (1)	25:9;36:13;101:15;	163:4,15	ahead (12)	alternatives (1)
162:9	102:24;109:12;110:5;	adopted (2)	10:23;31:17;38:7;	8:18
AAC (19)	154:12	11:14;14:16	55:23;64:25;93:20;	although (3)
3:13,16;11:15,24;	action (9)	adopting (2)	112:8;123:22;128:4;	17:12;61:5;66:23
13:11;14:5;43:4;	17:24;25:19;31:11;	144:25;145:3	140:16;152:23;	always (3)
46:20;53:14;54:3;	36:10;38:22;48:20;	advancing (1)	156:10	22:4;128:10;166:5
58:22;88:9;95:17;	119:3;146:2;164:2	114:5	air (1)	amazing (1)
104:9,10,22;141:20;	actions (1)	advice (2)	63:25	15:7
144:10;145:23	55:4	154:13,24	airline (1)	Ambler/Kobuk/Shungnak (1)
ability (8)	activities (4)	affected (2)	63:12	16:20
9:3,17,19,25;74:13;	13:2;14:12;61:25;	47:6;103:5	airports (1)	amenable (1)
75:7;82:15;160:17	62:13	affecting (2)	70:19	106:7
able (11)	activity (1)	9:9;40:5	Alaska (38)	amend (4)
20:24;21:21;28:13;	75:5	affidavit (1)	6:1,3;7:7;15:21;	85:8;131:23;
45:8;47:21;82:3;	actual (3)	72:20	18:15;20:13;23:1;	132:12;160:25
87:12,25;116:17;	45:21;86:20;104:2	affirmative (4)	35:22;36:1;42:1;43:7;	amending (1)
118:8;165:20	actually (15)	6:10;7:11;38:24;	46:22;47:15;56:7;	97:25
absent (1)	47:20;58:14;66:2;	146:2	67:13,15;69:1;70:15;	amendment (2)
13:21	87:1;91:3;92:10;94:4,	afford (1)	71:7;86:13;92:21;	6:15;95:17
absolute (2)	14;97:15,17;130:9;	91:16	101:21;104:25;107:1;	amendments (1)
60:16,17	142:20;149:3;157:8;	afoot (1)	108:8;109:8;115:22;	39:2
absolutely (2)	163:22	110:11	120:18;122:23;123:5,	amends (1)
26:15;154:7	add (8)	afternoon (1)	25;124:5;125:13,14,	6:19
accept (3)	41:19;47:22;48:3,	165:19	22;140:1;145:4;	Americans (1)
2:15,20;6:6	17;75:25;76:4;89:13;	again (39)	156:12	41:25
acceptable (2)	152:16	3:6;5:19;16:15;	Alaskan (1)	Among (4)
31:24;145:13	added (1)	23:25;37:17;47:9,24;	35:9	11:25;19:10;76:5;
accepted (3)	133:3	48:21;50:7,10;52:5;	Alaskans (6)	149:12
120:19;152:7,12	addendum (1)	66:19;68:17,24;	36:8,11,14,16;	amongst (3)
accepting (1)	152:16	77:19;78:3;83:24;	42:22;87:12	53:5;54:23;100:19
165:24	addendums (1)	96:16;98:7;110:14,	Alaska's (7)	amount (5)
access (2)	151:24	17;112:12;115:1;	37:7;73:7,9;101:24;	15:7;75:4;77:1;
142:23,24	addition (3)	123:8,10;129:18;	103:6,7,15	92:21;143:3
accessible (1)	73:17;104:18;	133:3;136:19;137:4;	alcohol (1)	analysis (3)
21:18	107:18	138:7;140:10;144:5;	122:11	21:16;65:1;67:23
accommodated (2)	additional (7)	145:15,21;148:4;	allocate (1)	ancestral (2)
26:18;38:2	6:25;45:19;67:25;	149:24;160:20;	112:15	70:11;102:13
accommodation (1)	88:25;91:13;92:20;	165:25;166:21	allow (6)	Anchorage (5)
120:1	158:17	against (5)	14:1;23:19;49:10;	15:22;35:7;38:1;
accommodations (1)	Additionally (2)	31:5;125:17;140:1;	62:24;108:5;125:6	42:13;69:25
40:10	80:19;81:5	157:5;160:9	allowed (2)	and/or (1)
accompanied (1)	additions (1)	age (1)	55:5;114:19	146:10
27:15	103:20	71:19	allude (1)	annex (5)
accomplished (1)	address (2)	aggravation (1)	94:20	30:10,23;39:25;
158:18	126:12;149:23	135:22	alluded (2)	50:4;132:14
accordance (8)	addressed (1)	ago (4)	35:17;110:3	annexation (4)
12:3,13;43:6;46:22;	146:13	15:11;99:5,18;	alludes (1)	29:6;31:10;105:23;
47:14;60:6;62:2;78:9	adequate (2)	108:12	46:4	133:12
according (2)	72:6;125:3	agree (26)	alluding (1)	annexations (1)
55:14;91:1	adequately (1)	23:25;41:13;47:16;	36:25	29:22
accordingly (1)	63:19	48:16,17;55:9;65:22;	alone (1)	announced (2)
33:10	adjourn (5)	66:12;69:14;70:9;	128:16	4:7;162:24
accurate (3)	155:4;163:19;	74:23;76:2,23;77:2,5;	along (4)	announcements (2)
- \-/				

LBC Aunaa Borough De	ecisional Meeting			November 12, 2024
1 52 22 1 52 12		2011 71 0 77 2	1.17.0	00.05.00.10.11.15
162:23;163:13	appropriately (3)	29:11;51:9;55:3;	147:9	82:25;92:12,14,15
annual (4)	79:12;146:7;148:14	69:10,20;70:16;80:2;	authorities (1)	Based (6)
110:17;162:25;	approval (2)	87:16;124:15;132:11;	15:3	37:2;63:15;98:21;
163:4,9	6:11;156:18	133:18	authority (1)	107:7;152:13;157:15
anticipate (2)	approve (5)	arrival (1)	84:10	bases (1)
89:17;142:11	6:8;7:19,23;130:21;	119:9	availability (3)	92:24
anticipated (10)	157:14	Article (13)	46:9;80:22;83:7	basically (4)
32:21,24;82:13,14,	approved (3)	11:8,9,18;12:3,9;	available (6)	58:9;85:9;111:7;
17,19;83:3,19;110:6;	55:9,15;95:11	37:6;48:8;71:7;73:6;	15:5,8;28:24;56:1;	113:5
142:12	approximately (2)	74:1;104:24;109:8;	91:25;153:14	basis (10)
		162:14	*	
anticipates (1)	24:11;153:7		avenue (1)	20:1;29:18;39:25;
83:15	arbitrary (2)	artificial (1)	51:9	60:25;63:13,14;
anticipating (1)	16:17;17:21	17:21	avenues (1)	87:22;95:5;143:3;
89:10	Arctic (2)	aside (1)	92:20	152:2
anticipation (1)	16:25;92:16	89:25	avoid (2)	Bay (36)
90:18	area (84)	Assembly (17)	19:24;96:3	15:21;16:3,10;
Apologies (1)	9:25;11:22;12:7,18,	31:1,2;45:22,22;	award-wining (1)	18:25;19:2,5,17;
165:15	22;13:1,23;14:7,14;	66:6;124:20,23;	113:2	28:16;29:14;30:7;
apologize (4)	15:22;16:18,20;17:8;	139:10;140:8,13;	away (3)	33:9,14;34:1;42:4;
18:24;121:23;	18:6;30:11,19,23;	141:1;142:21,25;	70:14;85:2;110:25	49:25;52:11;59:21;
123:11:157:20	33:5,21;34:1,3,17,24;	143:18,20;149:13;	awful (4)	64:5,14;66:21;68:10;
apology (1)	35:4,6;37:4;41:9,24;	159:6	15:6;26:20;60:23;	80:18;81:23;102:13;
166:3	49:8;51:6;57:16;60:2;		69:11	103:17;110:11;111:6;
		assessment (3)		
apparently (4)	65:3,14;66:22;67:9;	74:24;76:3;116:1	awry (1)	116:19;117:10,12;
52:20;90:25;91:1;	69:20;71:9;74:2;	assessor (2)	129:18	118:9;119:2,20;
110:12	77:12,13;82:25;	139:14,15		121:10,14;149:5
appear (4)	88:12,21,22;89:9,12;	asset (1)	В	become (5)
19:4;82:3;89:4;	90:4,4,7,8,10,11;95:2,	79:19		49:18;86:11;90:6;
149:7			hook (51)	
	2,22;105:4,11,13;	assist (1)	back (51)	117:15;134:16
appears (2)	107:3,14,16;111:6,22;	92:23	4:1;5:12;11:4;	began (2)
46:25;91:6	113:9;117:10,15;	assume (3)	13:20;16:15;27:6;	41:22;49:13
appending (1)	118:6,8,23;119:15;	89:22;95:13;117:20	28:14,17,18,19;29:17;	begin (12)
141:14	124:18;126:5;131:18;	assumed (1)	31:13;43:24;44:2,5,6,	10:13;29:20;32:5;
appendix (2)	133:19;139:3;140:20,	94:15	21;45:3,16;46:15;	37:20;39:18;43:13;
95:18,19		assure (1)		48:7;95:20;146:24;
	23;141:4,25;144:14,		49:14;50:17;51:25;	
applaud (1)	17;145:18;158:11	103:11	52:5;61:15;72:7;	153:9,19;163:8
125:18	areas (21)	at-large (2)	73:24;74:25;75:13;	beginning (6)
application (3)	13:8;15:21;20:12;	140:7;149:13	91:13,21;93:11;	26:5;50:9;61:15;
130:18;135:8;141:5	29:2,4,6;33:20;35:22;	attach (1)	100:12,16,22,23;	73:24;78:19;103:24
applications (2)	36:16,21;37:4,8;	6:5	103:18;108:10;	begins (3)
15:20;71:22	39:23;41:14;62:11;	attached (1)	113:18,18;114:2;	10:7;67:5;78:8
applied (1)	65:8,9;119:10;	58:16	119:22;122:25;	begun (1)
72:14	140:25;141:2,9	attaching (3)	129:25;137:9,14;	71:6
apply (3)	area's (1)	39:2,2;144:7	147:16;156:8;165:10,	behalf (1)
81:21;84:13;95:22	106:24	attempt (1)	17,21	48:23
appointed (1)	area-specific (1)	159:7	background (1)	behind (1)
79:14	95:10	attendance (2)	20:6	113:22
appoints (1)	areawide (7)	14:14;33:20	backwards (1)	belief (1)
164:8	20:1;95:4,20;96:18,	attention (2)	103:10	72:22
appreciate (8)	22;140:19;142:12	29:10;150:7	bad (3)	benefit (10)
22:12;150:10,11;	area-wide (1)	attitude (1)	40:2;124:5;129:11	28:23;79:25;80:4;
164:17,22;165:10,11;	144:1	158:14	bait (2)	88:24;91:11;92:25;
166:23	argue (1)	Attorney (2)	126:23,24	93:1;105:14;123:24;
appreciated (2)	42:10	2:9;156:11	balance (2)	124:1
124:16;137:18	argues (1)	attraction (1)	102:20;106:11	benefited (1)
		` /		
appreciation (2)	79:17	79:4	ball (1)	91:19
22:24;166:21	arguing (2)	attributes (1)	116:5	benefiting (1)
approach (5)	18:18;25:10	14:10	barrel (5)	23:8
25:21;36:3;39:20;	argument (1)	audio (3)	113:14,15,16,19;	benefits (1)
41:17;46:2	3:19	5:23,24;13:11	114:2	111:18
appropriate (5)	arguments (2)	auspices (1)	barrier (2)	best (38)
		85:18		
2:14;18:6;90:16;	14:22;45:12		70:21;149:15	6:4;7:8;10:9;19:3;
99:13;145:4	around (11)	author (1)	base (4)	22:15;32:9;84:7;87:4,
	I .	i e	i e	I .

4;100:7;104:5,19;	5 7 11 15 17 17 5 11	107:15;109:23;110:1,	137:2	16:18;34:15;35:8;
	5,7,11,15,17;17:5,11,			
106:15;107:20;	19;18:4,5,15;19:6,8,	8;114:1;121:3;	breaking (1)	122:11;123:2;148:23;
110:22;111:13;	18,23;20:11,13,20;	125:25;136:21;164:2	17:6	150:20;151:2,4;
112:11;114:6;116:4;	21:7,9,11,17,23,24,	borough's (4)	Breaks (2)	155:7;156:4;161:17;
121:24;123:13;124:6,	25;22:2,5;25:7,9,13,	9:17;53:10;97:5;	5:13,14	164:15;165:18,21
10;125:6;126:8;	16;26:10;27:24;28:5;	104:16	brief (5)	called (6)
130:1;132:20;136:6;	29:5;30:5,9,12,17,21,	borough-wide (3)	26:1;79:5;81:6;	3:13;14:25;18:8;
137:21,25;138:5,11;	22;31:1,2,24;32:12,	9:10;95:14;139:16	95:7;105:18	20:12;37:6;69:13
148:9;149:5;150:14;	14;33:1,3,4,5,7,10;	both (9)	briefing (6)	calling (1)
157:2,10,21	34:2;35:13,23;36:6,	24:22;50:22;80:7,8;	5:4;14:21;27:4;	147:15
better (7)	13,14,17;37:19;	106:6;124:3;140:19;	49:17;91:6;147:8	calls (3)
9:16;22:5;25:6,11;	39:19;40:13,22;41:4,	157:9;159:6	briefly (3)	17:15;71:8;74:1
47:21,24;126:5	9;43:5;45:9,10,11,16,	bottom (3)	3:13;149:1;156:11	calmly (1)
beverages (2)	22;46:21;47:4,13,23;	59:25;60:1;145:23	bring (10)	164:23
122:6,12	48:3;50:7,21,22;	Boudaries (1)	7:15;23:19;38:22;	came (6)
beyond (2)	51:11,25;52:4;54:4,6,	13:10	66:20;67:8;90:19;	16:2;30:24;35:7;
101:16;144:22	8;55:2;57:5,10,15,18,	boundaries (108)	102:2;117:3;141:1;	40:9;45:3;109:9
biased (1)	21;58:14;59:14,20,	8:23,25;10:9,13,18,	157:25	Camp (1)
125:16	22;61:8,23;62:1,11,	23;12:7,17;13:5,5,12;	brings (1)	72:11
big (5)	14,19,20,24;63:1,10,	19:24;20:11;21:24;	67:25	campaign (1)
	11,15,21;64:3;65:10;			
21:12;69:25;112:1;		22:13;23:2;24:4,18;	Bristol (3)	134:21
117:14;121:3	66:2,12,19;67:6;68:6;	28:10;29:18;30:5,12;	15:21;16:3,10	can (71)
bigger (5)	69:22,25;70:1;71:8,	32:5,7,11,11;33:2,4,8,	broad (1)	4:11,18;5:11;9:15;
21:12,23;49:22;	13,14,25;72:1,16,24;	10,14,19,21,21,22;	55:18	11:15;17:16;25:13;
111:19;132:9	73:3,23;74:2,19;	35:13;39:3,9,12,17;	broader (1)	27:4;29:17;32:2;33:6;
bills (1)	75:11,20;76:25;78:8,	40:23;41:4;43:5,6,11,	17:20	34:9;36:23;38:2;44:5;
108:20	11;80:24;81:2,8,16;	11;44:22;46:16,16,21,	broke (1)	45:7,7,24;47:17;53:7;
bind (2)	82:2,2,8,14,15,18,20,	21;47:13,14;49:20;	24:15	54:1;55:12;60:24;
135:23;159:10	23;83:1,2,3,8,11;84:1,	50:11;51:1,14,16;	brought (5)	68:4;85:24;86:25;
binding (4)	11,14,21;85:15,16,22;	53:1,11,13;54:5,6;	19:15;29:10;67:2;	87:7,7,8;89:17;90:10,
132:3;158:2;159:3,	86:10,12;87:18;	56:24;57:6,22,22;	101:4;149:20	19;91:16;98:6;100:9;
4	88:14,20,24;89:7;	58:2;59:1,13,21;	budgets (1)	108:9,25;109:15,16;
bit (9)	90:7,19;91:8,12;	60:21;61:8;73:13;	82:20	110:16;116:6;117:9;
5:18;11:5;18:21;	92:11,13,15;93:1,14;	75:21;77:3;99:3,4,6,7,	built (2)	118:12;120:1;124:20,
20:6;29:25;65:2;	94:1,7;95:20,22;96:8,	10,12,20,23;100:4,6;	69:3;115:10	25;128:2,17;129:12,
70:12;75:2;124:14	13,18,22,23;97:5,15,	101:5,13,19;116:20;	bull (2)	16,23;130:19;132:2,
blackened (1)	16,23;98:17;99:24;	119:17;126:11;	37:19;160:23	8;133:1,4;142:10;
57:2	101:15,18,20,23;	131:23;132:12;	bullets (1)	144:24;145:10;
blanks (1)	102:11,23;104:4,15;	138:20;148:5,16,18,	59:16	147:19;148:15;
147:9	105:2,5,12,15;106:4;	19;157:2,10,24;	bunch (1)	151:23;154:1;155:11;
blindness (1)	107:16,17,20;108:17,	158:10,10,22;159:17,	113:14	156:4;159:4,9;
102:25	21;110:5,12;112:14;	17;160:13	burden (2)	160:25;163:8,24;
Board (6)	116:12,19,22;117:5,	Boundary (30)	36:22;86:11	166:15
44:8;90:8;108:11;	16;118:22;119:16;	3:15;11:17;12:1;	burdens (1)	Canada (1)
134:20;139:12;	120:2;124:24;125:4,	15:10;16:4;20:20;	96:4	30:21
165:23	7,15;128:6;129:16;	23:3;28:18,19,19;	Bush (1)	candidates (1)
boats (5)	130:21,21;131:8,16;	30:25;31:4;37:3;	34:16	81:25
63:16;65:5;69:9,12;	132:4,9,21;133:11;	46:24;54:15;57:10;	business (6)	cannery (1)
70:16	134:23;136:12,17,18;	59:19;72:19;86:12;	81:4;113:6,12;	79:2
body (1)	139:10,24;140:8,13;	101:6,8;104:23;	114:9;122:14;153:4	canopy (1)
164:9	141:10,21,24;142:5,	106:7;108:15;117:5;	businesses (2)	79:3
<b>bold</b> (2)	21;143:4,24;144:11,	131:21;135:5;136:13;	19:25;41:16	capabilities (1)
31:15;57:2	15,20;145:2,15,19;	139:8;166:14	business-oriented (1)	49:23
			, ,	
bones (1)	146:5;149:8,11;	box (5)	114:9	capability (2)
37:23	152:3;157:23;158:15,	53:3,12,17;54:22;	busy (1)	29:3;92:19
book (2)	24;159:5,8,20;161:6	66:13	163:5	capacity (3)
62:5;132:25	Boroughs (33)	brain (1)	bylaws (5)	22:25;78:25;138:18
Borough (291)	12:10,12;14:25;	164:15	153:13,24;162:13,	Cape (1)
7:24;8:14,19,23,25;	15:1,15,19;16:16,22;	branch (1)	14,17	33:13
9:7,14,16,19;10:4;	17:1,2,4;18:7;26:22;	132:8	7 -	capital (1)
11:1,20,23;12:5,5,6,	27:2;36:3,9,11,20;	break (7)	C	69:3
18,24;13:4,6,12,14;	37:14;70:13,17;	4:5;5:17;43:14;	aall (15)	care (3)
14:15,16;15:3;16:3,3,	88:11;91:18;93:23;	44:24;45:2;100:10;	call (15)	20:16;66:15;112:10
	1	l	l	1

LBC Xunaa Borough Do	ecisional Meeting			November 12, 2024
carries (1)	84:22;85:13,23;	53:12;55:12;56:14;	clarifying (1)	13:18
162:4	90:15;92:2,6;93:9,20;	61:22;62:8;71:5,11;	128:25	code (1)
carry (2)	94:4;96:25;98:2,6;	74:5;78:3,16;104:1,3;	class (3)	5:16
31:21;84:21	100:3,11,15,21;101:1;	138:15;146:13,18	12:24,24;75:8	cogent (1)
carrying (1)	108:9;112:4,9,21;	cherry-pick (1)	Clay (43)	51:23
84:18	116:10,16,21;117:3,6,	121:25	4:15,16,16;22:9;	coherent (1)
case (13)	8,12;118:2,12,16;	children (2)	23:24;24:19;26:6;	106:4
4:16;17:10,18;18:3;	120:19,23;121:4,6;	42:18;139:5	47:7,11;61:6,7;66:15;	cold (1)
25:10;27:6,23;51:19;	120.19,23,121.4,0, 123:12,21;124:7;	42.18,139.3 choice (1)	67:10,18,20;68:20;	10:6
52:8;55:16;57:11;	123.12,21,124.7, 126:7,14;127:1,6,11,	60:7	71:3;74:22;76:1,3;	collaboration (1)
72:13;160:13				143:8
	14,19,24;129:12,24; 130:12,25;131:9,19;	<b>choose (1)</b> 124:21	83:24;84:22;86:2; 89:14;92:2;100:5,18;	collect (2)
<b>cases (7)</b> 27:7;29:9;52:6,16;			112:4;123:16;130:7;	82:16;90:3
	132:10;133:6;134:2;	chose (1) 127:18	138:3;142:16;143:14;	
58:7;103:2;140:20	135:11,16,21;137:3,7,			collected (2)
causing (1)	10,13;138:10,13;	chosen (1) 25:24	147:22;148:2,3,5,12,	83:18;90:2
86:24	140:16;141:11;		20;150:18;165:13;	<b>collection (1)</b> 96:19
caveat (2)	143:14;148:17;	Christmas (1)	166:7,19	
65:12;156:1	150:17;151:15,21;	167:11	Clay's (1) 68:15	Colt (3)
<b>celebrate (1)</b> 103:14	152:4,8,18,24;153:12,	Chuck (1)		29:14;64:6;117:13
	23;154:2,7,17,20,22;	30:14	Clayton (34)	combination (1)
cell (1)	155:18;156:8;158:5,	circled (1)	43:19;44:23;53:10;	62:16
165:20	16,21;159:11,24;	67:23	56:4,6,16;69:19;	combining (1)
census (3)	160:3,6;161:10,15;	circles (1)	75:24;99:10;100:18;	52:1
33:20;71:16;72:11	162:2,3,5;163:12,21;	50:10	112:5,6,22;116:10,12;	comfortable (1)
centered (1)	164:10;165:9;166:2,	circulating (2)	118:12;120:11;	39:11
25:5	19;167:2,4	153:19;163:8	123:12,20,21;124:9,	coming (10)
certainly (11)	challenges (1) 25:18	circumstances (3)	17;125:5;126:15;	52:24;53:15;87:13,
10:19;15:18;24:24;		55:7,8;88:17	127:11;128:24;130:6;	14;90:1;93:3;110:18;
28:3;59:2;93:15;98:6,	challenging (1)	citation (1)	135:18;137:24;	142:9;147:3;162:25
8;102:25;110:15; 133:20	70:12 chance (4)	129:21 <b>citations (2)</b>	147:21;148:7,10,18; 165:9	commendable (1) 111:16
certificate (2)	3:3;20:15;88:9;	6:1,3	clean (1)	comment (21)
101:17;132:1	112:6	cited (1)	4:19	6:18,23,25;19:21;
cetera (5)	change (17)	48:1	clear (4)	24:5;46:23;47:8;49:3;
55:17;65:6;119:2;	5:21;6:6,9,12,22,	cites (5)	41:2;102:14;106:1;	67:19;81:6,8;99:4,17;
134:12,12	23;7:5,9,10,12;11:17;	62:2,3;64:18;72:17;	157:12	112:10;118:14;128:3;
Chair (23)	12:1;51:11;104:23;	106:20	clearly (6)	129:14;130:14;
2:17;5:11;24:12;	138:21,22;164:12	cities (9)	4:10;27:16;30:3;	139:18;140:14;142:1
38:6,8;85:11;93:18;	changed (1)	29:2;39:21;48:3;	74:11;77:12;157:22	commented (1)
94:17;96:17;97:12,	109:14	50:6;55:3,6;70:17;	Clem (1)	41:3
18;100:9;151:5,14;	changes (2)	122:23;123:3	110:4	commenters (1)
153:3,25;155:9;	71:19;148:14	citing (1)	clerk (1)	29:19
156:13;157:4;159:14;	chapter (3)	72:24	60:19	comments (51)
162:2;163:1;166:1	6:2,4;7:7	City (31)	Cleveland (1)	3:5;5:3;10:20;
Chairman (12)	character (1)	7:24;8:22;14:25;	30:10	18:17;24:7,8;26:14;
7:21;22:8,12,23;	15:19	17:14,15;27:1;47:4;	climatic (1)	28:14,15;34:6;46:3;
47:10;66:18;83:23;	characteristics (1)	52:3;58:15;64:1,3;	63:3	47:9;50:11;65:21;
110:20;129:19;	61:25	68:2;73:19;75:9;79:5;	climb (2)	77:15;78:20;81:3;
142:15;150:4;166:9	charter (11)	87:24;95:25;96:5;	113:15,17	83:12,22;86:7;94:19;
CHAIRPERSON (159)	45:9;63:14;66:4;	101:18,19,23;106:23;	close (8)	96:10;98:9;102:5;
2:18,24;7:22;8:1,3;	80:10;95:11,13;	111:11;138:16;	14:19;42:9;65:13;	103:18;106:6;107:8;
22:6;23:24;24:13;	143:10;144:25;145:3;	139:25;140:21;	74:9,11;146:10;	124:16;126:2;128:1,
31:12;32:1,4;38:7,11,	149:14;160:25	144:19,23;145:1;	147:14;164:25	19,20;129:15,20;
17;43:17,23;44:1,5,	Chatham (7)	146:4,9	closed (1)	130:10;141:15;
10,16,21;47:7,16;	33:13,24;40:18;	claim (2)	132:25	142:14;148:25;
48:5;51:17;53:9,22;	41:5;57:11;139:6;	65:17;101:15	closer (2)	149:23;150:19;
54:2;55:11;56:3,15,	146:10	claims (2)	64:15;68:11	152:13;160:22;161:8,
20,23;57:1,8,14;	check (3)	17:25;81:18	closing (5)	16;162:20;163:18,19;
58:20,24;59:10;	53:17,17;85:24	clarification (1)	137:23;148:24;	164:18,24;165:10,11
60:20;61:2,12;64:25;	checked (2)	4:1	150:1;163:18,19	commercial (6)
65:20,24;67:10;68:4;				
	54:22;66:14	clarify (3)	coast (1)	32:24;62:13;64:9;
69:16;70:7,23;74:7,	54:22;66:14 checklist (18)	clarify (3) 156:14;157:7;	coast (1) 70:13	32:24;62:13;64:9; 80:5,8;83:4
69:16;70:7,23;74:7, 22;75:24;76:22;77:5;				

EDC Munua Dorough De	ceisionai meeting			11010111501 12,202
2.15.5.20.6.5 10	167:1,3,9,10	120-2 7-121-12 16	127.6.156.22	00.15.100.10.100.14.
3:15;5:20;6:5,10,		120:3,7;121:12,16;	137:6;156:23	98:15;108:19;109:14;
14,19,24;7:4,9,11;	commissioners (16)	123:1,4;125:2,14,25;	concerning (2)	149:18;166:11
11:19;12:4;13:23;	2:12;3:2,21,24;4:5,	126:10,17,21;128:1,	156:16;157:2	considerations (3)
14:23;15:11;16:4;	9;44:6;54:24;88:7;	10;130:4,23;131:6,10,	concerns (20)	4:23;59:16;147:13
25:22;30:2,22,25;	100:16;110:19;	15,22,25;132:5,14,21;	22:21;26:18;39:7;	considered (9)
31:4;32:18;33:4;37:3;	146:21;147:3;153:15;	133:2,10,23,24;134:8;	51:8;53:1;58:1;66:23;	11:25;24:6;31:14;
39:1;48:2;49:7;52:25;	155:11;163:18	135:7;138:8;140:12,	75:12,21;84:5;86:6;	59:18;73:7;77:2;
55:5;59:15;60:11;	commissioner's (1)	17,22,25;142:6,9;	89:14,21;90:1;93:16;	110:7,22;143:20
62:9;63:2,9;71:15;	160:4	143:12,17,17;149:6,8,	152:1,14,15;156:25;	considering (1)
72:2;80:20;82:12;	commissions (1)	9,12,17;158:12,23;	157:25	40:22
83:6;84:25;86:1;	45:13	159:7,18,21	concluded (2)	considers (2)
88:15;95:12,12,16;	commission's (2)	community (64)	68:8;155:24	78:7;141:23
102:19;103:3,9;	51:23;147:11	9:24;10:8;18:5;	concludes (7)	consolidating (1)
104:5;105:1;108:12,	commitment (8)	19:14;23:1;29:12;	37:3;48:6;80:16;	146:8
15;109:20;135:6;	29:23;80:25;81:15;	41:21;42:23;58:9;	103:3;107:4;111:23;	consolidation (1)
136:4;141:13,20,22;	83:10;84:8;93:13;	61:16;64:11,14,17;	153:4	111:8
144:6;145:12;150:5;	96:2;98:16	65:2,11,19;66:13;	conclusion (5)	constitute (4)
153:4,5;154:15;	committed (1)	67:3,21;68:11,13;	63:23;64:20;78:16,	6:11;17:11;64:17;
156:14,18;160:17;	98:24	69:7,23;70:2,3,4,5,6;	18;111:21	68:13
162:7;163:3;166:11,	committee (3)	76:6,16;77:25;79:13,	concomitant (1)	constitutes (1)
14,18	15:18;110:13;116:1	19,21,24;81:10;	139:25	111:7
<b>COMMISSIONER (182)</b>	common (23)	83:16;91:1,16;	concur (1)	Constitution (26)
2:22,23;5:10;7:21,	12:8,19;20:3;34:3,	112:25;113:2,8,11,22;	120:14	5:25;6:2;7:6;11:5,
22,23;19:13;20:17;	7,10,17,24;35:11,15;	114:12;115:23,24;	condition (11)	19;12:4,10;15:17;
22:8;30:3;31:18;32:2;	37:5;41:8,10,14,14;	121:11;122:1,2,10,15;	5:22;6:6,16,19,20,	16:6;37:7;43:7;46:23;
38:9,15;43:13,21,25;	42:6,7;57:16;71:9;	124:2,18;125:9,18;	22,24;29:24;131:1,	47:15;62:3;71:8;73:7,
44:7,8,13,17,19;	74:3;107:3;111:22;	134:20;139:5;140:7;	24;133:9	9,19;74:1;77:10;
46:25;47:10;48:4;	119:15	142:21;143:24;	conditioned (1)	104:25;109:5,12,13;
50:14;53:7,20,24;	commonalities (2)	147:20;149:20;150:9	6:7	120:18;145:5
54:25;55:22;56:7,13,	19:7;149:9	community's (1)	conditions (3)	constitutional (5)
18,22,25;57:7,13;	communal (1)	68:25	6:8;39:3;144:8	11:8;18:10;36:18;
58:18,21,25;59:24;	37:21	compared (2)	confidence (1)	73:22;164:1
60:23;61:11;64:24;	communicate (1)	70:12;109:19	74:13	constitutionally (1)
65:1,22;66:17;67:22;	69:10	compatibility (3)	confirmed (1)	107:13
68:23;69:24;70:9;	communicating (1)	62:10,12;66:23	94:10	constitution's (1)
74:8,23;76:2,23;	69:2	compatible (1)	conform (5)	109:2
83:23;89:20;91:23;	communication (6)	65:4	13:7,13;32:12;54:7;	constraints (1)
92:1,3,7;93:18,21;	62:15;63:8,18;66:9;	competent (1)	59:1	144:3
96:6;98:4;99:21;	69:5;105:20	74:17	confusion (2)	construing (1)
100:20;107:11;	communications (6)	completely (1)	156:16;157:20	77:9
110:20;112:7,12,23;	14:12;62:22,25;	124:22	conglomeration (1)	consultant (1)
116:14,18;117:2,4,7,	63:18;67:12;70:20	completion (1)	107:17	72:22
11,25;118:3,15;	communities (124)	82:22	connected (1)	contact (3)
120:13,22;121:1,5,7;	14:9;19:4,5,7,10,	complicate (1)	63:11	64:16;68:12;97:21
123:18,23;124:12;	17;20:2;21:9;22:17,	45:15	connections (2)	contained (3)
126:12,20;127:2,7,12,	19;23:9,13,20;24:15;	complicated (1)	5:7,9	5:25;6:2;7:6
16,20;129:3,5;130:9,	25:12;26:4;27:19;	91:16	consensus (1)	containing (1)
11,13;131:2,12;132:2,	28:25;29:12;33:15;	component (1)	106:4	19:19
18;133:13,14;135:4,	34:11;35:14,24;	80:12	consequently (1)	contains (3)
12,19;136:25;137:5,8,	37:24;39:8;40:11;	conceived (1)	120:11	13:25;49:9;60:3
11;138:9,12;140:15,	41:5;45:7;48:18;	16:12	consider (25)	contemporary (1)
17;142:15;146:23,24;	49:18,23;50:17;51:3,	concept (4)	11:20;12:4;29:13;	71:19
148:15;150:4,13;	10;52:9,19;54:16;	15:20;16:1,16;84:9	31:15;32:18;33:4;	contended (1)
151:6,7,8,9,10,11,12,	57:9;58:16;59:22;	concern (17)	54:14;59:15;60:11,	102:14
13,16,20,23;152:9,15,	63:10;64:2;68:18;	34:5;67:2,18;68:16,	12,15;62:9;63:2,9;	contention (1)
21;154:19,21;155:15,	72:18;73:11,13,16,17,	17;84:17;85:25;88:2;	71:16;80:20;82:12;	37:24
20,25;156:6,24;157:8,	18;75:14,22;77:3,21;	90:17;94:9;99:22;	83:6;95:16;104:6;	contested (1)
18,19;158:8,19;159:2,	80:3,13;81:18,20;	100:1;109:19;140:4,	105:1;130:25;132:13;	118:23
15,22,25;160:2,5,6,	82:3;84:15;98:22;	10;143:16;160:12	141:13;144:6	context (3)
14,21;161:9,11,12,15,	99:8,19,25;105:23;	concerned (12)	considerable (2)	37:6;79:12;105:22
19,20,21,22,23,24,25;	106:3,22;108:3;	41:18;52:22;71:2;	22:10;59:6	continue (5)
162:1;163:20,22;	113:11,25;117:21;	83:14;87:8;89:16;	consideration (8)	80:11;94:14;
165:1,15;166:8,12;	118:10,17;119:13,23;	99:7,9,14;119:6;	19:13;55:18;89:7;	130:15;132:24;
	, ,	, , , ,	, -,,	, ,

EBC Mundu Borough B	eeisionai wieeting		1	110101111111111111111111111111111111111
163:17	101:7	58:17;66:21;72:9;	138:22;163:10	17:6;34:7;35:10;
continued (1)	correspondence (1)	73:14;81:17,22;	dated (1)	64:17;68:14;134:5
85:17	139:7	93:17;140:5,11;	15:9	definitions (1)
continues (1)	cost (1)	142:4,18	day (4)	16:24
79:18	111:19	criteria (3)	76:12;86:15;	degree (11)
continuing (1)	cost-effective (9)	17:18;18:8;90:25	136:23;164:20	12:20;34:4;41:10;
23:16	14:3;32:17;49:12;	critical (1)	days (3)	56:9;57:17;71:10;
continuous (1)	54:12;60:25;78:14;	9:5	4:20;153:5,15	73:11;74:4;75:14,23;
164:4	82:11;84:4;87:22	criticism (1)	day's (1)	145:14
contract (1)	costs (1)	34:19	149:2	delayed (1)
139:15	63:3	critics (1)	dead (1)	165:17
contrary (3)	count (1)	79:7 <b>cruise</b> ( <b>12</b> )	119:8	delegates (2)
13:22;18:1;94:5 contrary-wise (2)	76:17 <b>counties (2)</b>	41:18;74:14,16;	<b>deadline (1)</b> 153:17	16:11;36:18 <b>delegation (1)</b>
28:6;39:16	115:8;123:3	78:24;80:9,10;86:8,	deal (7)	21:18
contrast (1)	country (3)	16,23;87:10;122:6,12	3:6;45:23;90:23;	deliberately (1)
36:10	42:17;126:25;	crux (9)	112:24;120:4,4;161:1	105:15
contributing (1)	127:21	10:16,17,19;20:17;	dealing (3)	deliberating (1)
81:10	couple (5)	22:13;31:18;36:24;	45:19;115:4;162:8	3:21
contribution (2)	101:3;103:18;	39:10;160:13	dealt (2)	deliberations (1)
82:24;134:24	108:19;123:1,4	crystal (1)	97:3;120:7	28:11
contributions (3)	course (7)	116:5	debate (1)	delineate (1)
80:13;89:1;91:13	40:18;43:18;47:23;	cultural (5)	27:17	102:10
control (2)	60:10;77:23;145:4;	13:2;14:11;35:3;	decades (1)	delivers (1)
51:21;90:9	146:19	41:23;61:24	125:23	20:4
controlling (1)	court (3)	culturally (1)	December (4)	delivery (1)
103:4	4:25;102:22;129:1	37:10	110:18;153:7,21;	142:16
controversial (2)	Cove (37)	cultures (3)	163:7	demands (1)
108:22;136:19	33:15;48:24,24;	32:20;42:17,20 <b>curiosity (1)</b>	<b>decide (4)</b> 87:7,8;114:17,21	48:9
<b>convene (3)</b> 153:15;154:8;163:3	58:16;64:2;65:24; 66:21,25;67:16,19;	101:12	decided (3)	<b>demographic (2)</b> 72:17,25
convention (3)	72:9;73:14;77:23;	curious (1)	126:22,23;127:2	demonstrate (2)
16:11;36:18;120:20	80:14;81:4,6,17,21,	93:21	decides (2)	41:22;118:24
conversation (13)	23;83:13;88:1,2;	current (6)	18:6;95:12	demonstrated (3)
29:15;49:13;66:10;	89:16,22,23;90:6;	9:2;40:21;72:14;	deciding (3)	75:10;87:23;98:19
85:14;109:17;128:5,	93:16;98:19;119:1;	106:9;144:18,23	114:23,24;122:22	demonstrates (3)
25;137:18;150:2;	139:6;140:5,11;	currently (5)	decision (22)	35:19;81:14;138:18
151:18;160:18;164:5,	142:4,10,18;143:2,16	23:13,22;95:25;	4:21,22,22,24;5:5;	demonstrating (2)
21	cover (5)	111:7;150:16	10:17;25:23;27:11;	42:19;73:22
conversations (7)	13:20;32:9;101:2,4;	customary (3)	30:4;51:23;102:23;	demonstration (1)
23:16;46:6;118:25;	138:16	62:14;63:16;65:5	118:7;146:25;147:4,	22:25
122:4;132:24;133:11;	covered (2)	cut (4)	11,13;153:1,6,7,14;	Denali (2)
160:24	108:13;150:2	28:13;56:8;126:23,	163:6,15	16:15;44:9
converting (1)	crab (1)	24	<b>Decisional (3)</b> 3:14;162:8,11	<b>denied (3)</b> 19:12;149:18;151:1
136:24 <b>cooperation (3)</b>	113:18 crabs (4)	cutting (4) 28:16,17,18,19	decision-making (2)	denying (1)
23:12;74:16;76:5	113:13,14,15,17	Cyrus (25)	106:11;146:22	39:3
copy (4)	create (6)	38:9,15;44:19;	decisions (6)	departed (1)
3:5;47:21;53:20;	21:23;39:13;	61:11;70:9;76:23;	9:4;18:14;40:5;	15:5
116:11	111:14,18;115:22,23	92:7;93:18,21;96:6;	122:2;147:10;162:14	department (10)
Cordova (1)	created (5)	98:4;99:21;100:20;	declared (1)	3:24,25;6:17,21;
18:2	12:6;16:8;29:1;	112:12;123:18;	2:6	71:21;95:22;134:25;
core (1)	115:14;121:3	124:12;130:9;138:9,	dedicated (1)	153:8;154:9;156:12
9:1	creates (1)	12;151:8,9;161:21,	164:14	departments (2)
corporate (1)	22:17	22;165:15;167:9	defends (1)	4:4;62:21
101:22	creating (5)	D	79:6	dependence (1)
corporation (3)	16:3;19:24;21:3;	D	defined (1)	107:1
33:22;74:16;104:4	22:1;146:5	Jana (1)	14:5	depends (1)
corporations (1) 76:11	creation (1) 92:23	dare (1)	<b>defining (1)</b> 39:18	17:24
correctly (1)	creatures (1)	92:13 data (3)	definitely (1)	depth (1) 48:6
85:6	18:7	71:20,21;72:11	131:16	derived (2)
correlated (1)	Creek (11)	date (2)	definition (6)	40:7,12
	(11)			10.7,12

describe (1)	LDC Aunaa Dorough Do				140vember 12, 202-
described (1)	describe (1)	82:10;83:4;84:3;86:5;	27:2;38:12,18;39:7;	documentation (1)	
described (1)	116:25	87:20;113:17;114:11;	44:22;90:14;125:11	55:23	E
dialogs (1)	described (1)	131:18;143:1,5,13		documented (1)	E
131.7	14:16	dialogs (1)	4:12;7:16,18;8:7,	105:21	Fagle (1)
162-9	describes (1)	131:7	10;10:17;20:8;38:19;	Dog (3)	
description (2)   105.22   53.41.65.91.26.12.05   64.20.73.25.73.46.5   64.20.73.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.		dialogue (1)			
10122215425   ddifferences (5)   design (1)   design (1)   design (1)   design (1)   desire (3)   2951   desire (4)   2951   desire (5)   desire (6)   2951   desire (6)   2951   desire (7)   2951   desire (8)   2951   desire (8)   2951   desire (8)   2951   desire (8)   2951   desire (9)   2951   desire (9)   2951   desire (1)   2951   desire	description (2)	0 1			
design (1)         34:13:42:11:52:18:         78:4:102:31:09-4;         done (20)         49:219:40:100:23:35:56;         49:219:40:100:23:109-4;         done (20)         49:219:40:100:23:35:56;         49:219:40:100:23:109-4;         done (20)         49:219:40:100:23:55:66;         49:219:40:20:23:55:66;         59:7:76:19:88:89:79.9         49:219:40:19:101:71:11:43:22         49:219:40:100:23:55:76;         59:7:76:19:88:89:79.9         49:219:40:100:23:55:76;         69:72:418:13:42:21         49:219:40:100:23:55:76;         69:72:418:13:42:21         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:32:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;         49:219:40:40:20:33:55:76;		differences (5)			
62:17.18 designated (t) 95:1 95:1 95:1 40:15.16:115:24 desired (2) 40:15.16:115:24 desired (3) 25:10.258.73-96.10: 25:10.258.73-18 destination (1) 27:13 27:13 28:17:140:18 destination (1) 27:13.73:18 destination (1) 28:17:140:18 destination (1) 28:17:140:18 destination (1) 28:17:140:18 destination (1) 28:17:140:18 destination (1) 38:17:140:18 destination (1) 38:18:140:10:18:12 destination (1) 38:18:140:10:18:18 destination (1) 38:18:140:10:18:18 destination (1) 38:18:140:10:18:18 destination (1) 38:18:140:10:18:18 destination (1)				done (20)	
designated (1)         different (13)         160-8         59:77:61:98:88:8979;         152:1134:11:143:22         152:134:11:143:22         152:134:11:143:22         152:143:11:143:22         152:143:11:143:22         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         153:10:142:142         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:11:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:122         160:15:143:14:143:143:143:1422         160:15:143:143:143:143:143:143:143:143:143:143					
95:1 desire (3) 40:15.16:115:24 desired (3) 81:91:71:01:81: desired (2) 50:9:108:4 desired (2) 50:9:108:4 desired (3) 17:25:37:18 destination (1) 17:25:37:18 destination (1) 18:11-17:19:15 18:11-18:1	designated (1)	different (13)	160:8	59:7;76:19;88:8;97:9;	
desire (3) 40:15.16.115:24 desired (2) 50:91.08.4 desiring (1) 27:13 desiring (1) 36.15:10.28 difficult (2) 36.15:10.28 difficult (3) 36.53:71.81.34:22 difficult (4) 83:17.140:18 difficult (5) 83:17.140:18 difficult (6) 83:17.140:18 difficult (1) 83:16 destination (1) 87:16 detach (1) 88:14 detach (1) 13:10 dining (1) 48:41:10:145:24 determine (1) 141:20 determine (1) 141:20 determine (7) 43:44:619:64:10; 88:12,389:33:00:25 directed (3) 30:22;73:19;123:19 directed (3) 30:22;73:19;123:19 directed (3) 13:11 disagree (6) 11:16:12:163:24;104:32,58 62:25;85:10,18; 62:25;85:10,18; 13:18;143:22 directed (1) 22:21 disappointing (1) 13:10 disagree (6) 11:16:12:163:24;104:32,58 62:25;85:10,18; 14:21:19:00 disrict (9) 10:19 disrict (1) 10:21:19 disrict (5) 10:21:11 11:20 determine (6) 11:16:12:13:21;13:55:25 64:15:68:12 disrict (9) 10:19 disrict (1) 10:21:19 10:21:19 10:21:19 10:21:11:21:21:19 10:21:19 10:21:11:21:21:19 10:21:11:11:11 10:21:11:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 10:21:11 1		8:19;17:10;18:1;	discussions (6)	98:12;108:14,24;	
4015.1,6i15.24   52:1258.7;96:10;   128:24;130:15.24;   135:10,18,20;136:16;   136:18;   102:28   136:15;   102:8   136:15;   102:8   136:15;   102:15   136:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;   136:15;   122:15;	desire (3)	27:8;41:17;42:17,20;	41:2;118:22;	110:15;113:21;	
169:17:111:7;129:6   50:91:08:4   desiring (1)   36:63:19:028   36:63:71:18:134:22   desirent (2)   36:15:102:8   difficult (2)   36:63:71:18:134:22   desirent (3)   36:15:102:8   disprent (4)   50:2:115:2:120:15   disproportionate (1)   desartament (3)   88:13:89:3:90:25   detachments (1)   detain (1)   13:10   desirent (3)   desired (3)   13:19   desartament (3)   88:13:89:3:90:25   detachments (1)   detain (1)   16:24	40:15,16;115:24	52:12;58:7;96:10;	128:24;130:15,24;	135:10,18,20;136:16;	
differently (2)   disincentives (3)   door (1)   disolitical (2)   disparate (1)   disparate (1)   dispositive (1)   d	desired (2)	109:17;111:7;129:6	157:1	143:2;146:19;155:25	
desiring (1)   36.15;10.28   36.6;37;18;134;22   46.5	50:9;108:4	differently (2)	disincentives (3)	door (1)	
Despite (2)   17-25-37:18   difficult (2)   17-25-37:18   difficulties (3)   68tination (1)   79:11   destination (1)   24-26-71:3   difficulties (3)   24-26-71:3   dispositive (1)   102:16   disproprisonate (1)   126:15   138:19-20:18.57-4;   61:3,70:24.76.8;   113:18,114-2:119:10;   62:16   disproprisonate (1)   126:15   downtown (2)   disproprisonate (1)   126:15   downtown (2)   downtown (3)   downtown (2)   downtown (2)   downtown (2)   downtown (3)   downtown (2)   discoving (1)   divided (1)   divid	desiring (1)	36:15;102:8	36:6;37:18;134:22	46:5	
Despite (2)	27:13	difficult (2)	disparate (1)	doubt (1)	
destination (1)   79:11   destinations (1)   22:2;67:13   difficulty (2)   24:2;67:13   disproportionate (1)   13:19   13:18;114:2;119:10; 108:25   13:88:14   diligence (1)   dissent (1)   13:10   detachments (1)   13:10   disproportionate (1)	Despite (2)		15:24	75:7	
destination (1)         50:2:115:2;120:15         difficulty (2)         disproportionate (1)         13:19;20:18:57:4;         eastern (1)         28:18         eastern (1)         28:18         eastern (1)         28:13         destinations (1)         13:19;20:18:57:4;         disproportionate (1)         13:19;20:18:57:4;         eastern (1)         28:13         eastern (1)         28:13         destinations (1)         13:19;20:18:57:4;         destinations (1)         28:13         destinations (1)         13:18;20:18:57:4;         destinations (1)         13:18;20:18:57:4;         destinations (1)         13:18:18:11:18:18:18:18:18:18:18:18:18:18:	17:25;37:18	difficulties (3)	dispositive (1)		` '
destinations (1)   87:16   detach (1)   108:25   13:19   dissent (1)   108:25   13:10   dissolution (4)   88:1389.39:02.5   detachments (1)   88:11   detail (1)   21:7:29:21;55:25;   detail (1)   21:7:29:21;55:25;   detail (1)   13:10   dissolution (4)   directed (3)   30:22;73:19;123:19   directed (3)   30:22;73:19;123:19   determination (4)   139:16;141:18;   144:10;145:24   determine (1)   43:4;46:19;64:10;   82:4;104:8,10:106:14   determines (5)   52:1;6:14;75;   88:16;145:12   determines (6)   11:16;12:1;6:37;   77:22   disappointed (1)   28:21   disappointed (1)   28:21   disappointed (1)   28:21   disappointed (1)   10:32:15;139:15   determining (6)   11:16;12:1;6:37;   77:24;104:3,22   develop (8)   62:25;85:10,132;   15:9   15:21;133:56;   13:21;5;139:15   developed (4)   79:10;106:3;113:2;   15:9   09:20;106:3;113:2;   15:9   09:20;106:3;113:8;   16:14;23:16;25;   development (30)   99:20;106:13;18:8   16:21;1					
destinations (1) 87:16 detach (1) 88:14  88:14  88:1893:90:25  104:21  108:25  113:10  113:10  113:18, 1389:390:25  113:19  13:181:16:14:15;  146:25:153:13,16, 19:20,162:145:15,8 146:24  113:10  113:18, 138:15,39:25  146:41  16:24  16-25  16-32  1					
887:16 detach (1)         digging (1)         dissent (1)         126:15 downtown (2)         22:23:166:14 economic (11)         22:23:166:14 economic (11)         48:13:893:90:25 diligence (1)         dissolution (4)         13:10         86:23:87:1 downtown (2)         86:23:87:1 draft (8)         46x62:387:1 draft (8)         22:22:3:166:14 economic (11)         61:24:62:12:75:4;         79:20;13:2;14:11;         46:25:153:13,16, 19:20:162:14;163:5,8         146:24 distribute (2)         15:17;147:2 dissolving (1)         416:25:153:13,16, 19:20:162:14;163:5,8         416:24 distribute (2)         15:17;147:2 dismatic (1)         42:16:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:		*			
detach (1)   108:25   68:14   diligence (1)   dissolution (4)   68:1;104:16;   138:15;139:25   detachement (3)   13:10   dissolution (4)   68:1;104:16;   138:15;139:25   detachement (1)   88:11   detail (1)   21:7;29:21;55:25;   104:21   64:15;68:12   direct (5)   direct (6)   21:7;29:21;55:25;   104:21   detail (1)   discretion (2)   21:22;108:2   direct (3)   30:22;73:19;123:19   direction (2)   21:22;108:2   direct (1)   distribute (1)   13:11   disargee (6)   38:144;214:18;   14:120   determined (7)   43:4;46:19;64:10;   88:16;145:12   determines (5)   5:21;61:14;7:5;   68:24;69:16;115:25   disappointing (1)   103:9   divide (1)   103:9   divide (1)   103:9   divide (1)   103:9   10:11   discretionary (1)   9:20;124:11; discuss (2)   29:63:8c:2   29:63:8c:2   29:63:8c:2   39:15;139:15   developed (4)   79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9   discuss (2)   29:63:8c:2   29:63:8c:2   29:63:8c:2   49:11;13:10; 49:11;13:10; 40:22;137:2;145:21; 15:19   disvolution (4)   68:1;104:16; 138:15;139:15   discretionary (2)   dissribution (3)   10:24;111:40:35:17   discretionary (1)   distribute (2)   15:17;147:2   discretionary (1)   distributions (1)   78:22   divide (1)   13:11;123:143:23:18;13:19   discretionary (1)   divide (1)   13:11;13:13:13:17;134:25;135:13   13:17;134:25;135:13   13:17;134:25;135:13   13:17;134:25;135:13   13:17;134:25;135:13   13:17;134:33:20   economic (1)   9:20;13:2;14:11; (4:4)   discribution (4)   distribute (2)   15:17;147:2   diramatic (1)   distributions (1)   78:22   diraw (1)   10:11;12   distributions (1)   10:11;12   dis					
88:14 detaelment (3) 88:13,89:3;90:25 detachments (1)  88:13,89:3;90:25 detachments (1)  detail (1) 16:24 details (1) 13:10 detail (1) 16:24 determination (4) 139:16;141:18; 144:10;145:24 determine (1) 43:4;46:19;64:10; 88:12,104:8,10;106:14 determining (6) 11:16;12:1;63:7; 79:2 disadvantage (1) 43:4;46:19;64:10; 88:62;3;87:1 4dic25;153:13,16, 19,20;162:14;163:5,8 4distribute (2) 19:9;149:11 distribute (1) 21:22;108:2 distributions (1) 78:22 111:14 economy (7) 43:4;46:19;64:10; 88:16;145:12 determining (6) 11:16;12:1;63:7; 71:24;104:3,22 determining (6) 11:16;12:1;63:7; 71:24;104:3,22 determining (6) 11:16;12:1;63:7; 71:24;104:3,22 develop (8) 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 62:25;85:10,18; 115:9 115:21;23:5,6; 132:15;139:15 developed (4) 79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9 98:14 discribinare (1) 103:9 100:24 111:14 115:01 1					*
Action				,	
88:13,893;90:25 direct (5) 88:11 detail (1)					
88:11 detail (1)					
detail (1)         21:7;29:21;55:25;         distribute (2)         15:17;147:2         37:10;124:3         37:10;124:3         47:10;147:2         37:10;124:3         48:10;147:2         47:10;19         47:12         47:					143:5,13
104:21					economically (2)
details (1)         directed (3)         distributed (1)         78:22         draw (1)         cconomy (7)           determination (4)         139:16;141:18;         21:22;108:2         71:19         draw (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12,         12:15;78:10;80:12,         17:828:8;87:18;91:21         edirw (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12,         17:828:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12,         17:828:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12,         17:828:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         15:316         edit (1)         15:21:42:11         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8,         45:24;91:14;111:8, <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>37:10;124:3</td></td<>					37:10;124:3
16:24   30:22;73:19;123:19   direction (2)   distributions (1)   5:1   drawn (1)   12:15;78:10;80:12, 17:19   distributions (1)   10:19   determine (1)   14:120   determined (7)   43:4;46:19;64:10; 82:4;104:8,10:106:14   disappointed (1)   disappointed (1)   11:16:12:1;63:7; 71:24;104:3,22   develope (8)   16:22:58:10,18: 13:15;21;123:56; 132:15;139:15   developed (4)   79:10;106:3;113:2; 15:9   disconnected (1)   15:21;123:56; 132:15;139:15   developed (4)   79:10;106:3;113:2; 15:9   developed (4)   15:29;20:3;15:19; 15:9   developement (30)   9:20,21;10:11;13.8, 162:17,18   discusses (1)   162:14   74:15   docking (1)   docking (1)   discusses (1)   162:14   74:15   docking (1)   docking (1)   discuss (2)   9:20,21;10:11;3.8, 162:17,18   discusses (1)   162:14   74:15   docking (1)   docking (1)   docking (1)   discuss (1)   discuss (2)   9:20,21;10:11;3.8, 162:17,18   discusses (1)   162:14   74:15   docking (1)   docking					economies (1)
determination (4)         direction (2)         distributions (1)         5:1         drawn (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12, 17;82:8;87:18;91:21         drawn (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12, 17;82:8;87:18;91:21         drawn (1)         12:15;78:10;80:12, 17;82:8;87:18;91:21         drawn (1)         10:19         drawn (1)         17:82:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         17:82:8;87:18;91:21         distributions (1)         10:19         drawn (1)         17:82:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         17:82:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         17:82:8;87:18;91:21         edit (1)         153:16         edit (1)         153:16         editing (1)         13:11         43:43;61;14;11:8, 19:21         19:20;26:3;46:13         driver (1)         17:9:20         disting (1)         47:11         editing (1)         147:11         edit (1)         147:11         111:19         42:16         42:16         42:16         42:16         42:16         42:16         42:16         42:16 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>111:14</td>					111:14
139:16;141:18;   144:10;145:24   directly (2)   district (9)   district (9)   district (9)   14:15;40:17;42:15,   19:20;26:3;46:13   driver (1)   153:16   edit (1)   153:16   editing (1)   153:16   editing (1)   153:16   editing (1)   153:17   editermines (5)   5:21;6:14;7:5;   disappointed (1)   28:21   28:21   determining (6)   11:16;12:16;37;   71:24;104:3,22   disconnected (1)   disconnected (1)   disconnected (1)   disconnected (1)   divided (1)   15:21;123:5,6;   132:15;139:15   developed (4)   79:10;106:3;113:2;   15:9   developing (2)   29:6;86:2   disconnect (8)   5:12:2;16:103:24;   162:17,18   162:17,18   162:17,18   discussing (11)   discussing (1)   disconsing (1)   discussing (1					
144:10;145:24   determine (1)					
determine (1)         141:20         85:12;88:23         14:15;40:17;42:15, 19;111:12;124:19; 19:20;26:3;46:13         dream (3)         153:16         editing (1)         editing (1)         disting (1)         deting		*			
141:20   determined (7)   131:11   131:11   131:23;144:2;146:10   districts (5)   5:21;6:14;7:5;   88:16;145:12   determining (6)   11:16;12:163:7;   71:24;104:3,22   develop (8)   62:25;85:10,18;   132:15;139:15   developed (4)   79:10;106:3;113:2;   15:9   developing (2)   29:6;86:2   developing (2)   29:6;86:2   developing (3)   9:20,21;10:1;13:8,   16:14*, 23:16   17:18*, 49:11;54:10;59:4;   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   16:14*   10:14*   1					
determined (7)         43:4;46:19;64:10;         131:11         disagree (6)         43:23;144:2;146:10         driver (1)         79:20         47:11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
43:4;46:19;64:10;       82:4;104:8,10;106:14       disagree (6)       53:19;54:23;55:1;       45:24;91:14;111:8,       79:20       edits (1)       153:17       education (10)       40:13,14;83:21;       education (10)       40:13,14;83:21;       42:16       4:14       40:0pped (1)       40:13,14;83:21;       42:16       4:14       40:0pped (1)       130:10       40:13,14;83:21;       42:16       4:14       40:0pped (1)       130:10        40:13,14;83:21;       42:16       4:14       40:0pped (1)       130:10       40:0pped (1)       14:14;43;32:0       40:0pped (1)       14:14;43;32:0       40:0pped (1)       40:0pped (1)       40:0pped (1) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
82:4;104:8,10;106:14 determines (5) 5:21;6:14;7:5; 88:16;145:12 determining (6) 11:16;12:1;63:7; 71:24;104:3,22 develop (8) 62:25;85:10,18; 132:15;139:15 discretionary (1) 198:14 discretionary (1) 164:2 79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9 developed (4) 79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9 developing (2) 29:6;86:2 developing (3) 29:6;86:2 development (30) 9:20,21;101:1;13:8, 162:17,18 developed: 10:3;15:20 discussed (8) 5:1;22:16;103:24; 162:17,18 discussing (1)  DNR's (1) 111:19 drop (1) 40:14(1) 4:14 dropped (1) 130:10 due (1) 130:10 due (1) 130:10 due (1) 109:8 dump (1) 164:2 76:8 duplication (1) 11:12 durations (1) 11:12 durations (1) 11:24;11:15,19 efficient (11) 13:17;134:25;135:1 139:4 educational (2) 14:14;33:20 effect (3) 69:17;84:11;138:22 effective (3) 69:17;84:11;138:22 effectively (2) 41:21;69:2 efficiencies (3) 110:24;111:15,19 efficient (11) 131:17;134:25;135:1 139:4 education (10) 40:13,14;83:21; 94:89:619;111:4; 130:10 due (1) 130:10 131:17;134:25;135:1 139:4 education (1) 11:12:1 12:11 12:11 130:10 1					
determines (5)         68:24;69:16;115:25         9;146:9         111:19         deoucation (10)         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         deteration (10)         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         education (10)         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         130:10         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         130:10         40:10         40:10         40:11:19         education (10)         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         130:10         40:10         40:10         40:11:19         education (10)         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         130:10         40:10         40:10         130:10         40:11:19         education (10)         40:8;96:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         130:10         40:10         40:10         40:10         40:11:19         education (10)         40:13,14;83:21; 94:896:19;111:4; 131:17;134:25;135:1         130:10         40:10         40:10         40:11:19         40:10         40:10         40:10         40:10         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20         40:14:14;33:20					
5:21;6:14,7:5;         disappointed (1)         diverse (1)         drop (1)         40:13,14;83:21;         41:14         40:14         40:10         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         41:14         40:14         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         41:14         40:10         40:13,14;83:21;         41:14         40:14         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         41:14         40:14         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         40:13,14;83:21;         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:14         40:13,14;83:21;         41:14         41:14         41:14         40:13,14;83:21;         40:14         41:14         40:14         40:14				, ,	
88:16;145:12					
determining (6)         disappointing (1)         divide (1)         dropped (1)         13:17;134:25;135:1           71:24;104:3,22         disconnected (1)         divided (1)         130:10         139:4           develop (8)         165:21         12:11         78:23         14:14;33:20           62:25;85:10,18;         discretionary (1)         dividends (1)         109:8         27:23;47:3;143:25           132:15;139:15         discriminate (1)         164:2         76:8         27:23;47:3;143:25           developed (4)         140:1         164:2         76:8         69:17;84:11;138:22           developing (2)         discussed (8)         93:22;94:3,3,12;         duplication (1)         11:12           development (30)         5:1;22:16;103:24;         97:15,19,21         71:17         10:24;111:15,19           development (30)         5:1;22:16;103:24;         97:20         42:5;45:2;46:7;         49:12;54:11;54:33:17;           16;14:2;32:16,25;         49:11;54:10;59:4;         dock (1)         74:15         108:18;151:18         15;78:13;82:11;84:44;           60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;         discussing (11)         dock (1)         40ties (1)         87:21					
11:16;12:1;63:7; 71:24;104:3,22  develop (8) 62:25;85:10,18; 115:21;123:5,6; 132:15;139:15 developed (4) 79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9 developing (2) 29:6;86:2 development (30) 9:20,21;10:1;13:8, 16:14:2;32:16,25; 49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11)  103:9 disconnected (1) 165:21 divided (1) 12:11 78:23 109:8 dividends (1) 71:23 dividends (1) 71:23 dividends (1) 10:2:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 11:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 11:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 11:10 12:11 100:10 12:11 100:10 11:10 12:11 139:4 educational (2) 14:14;33:20 effect (3) 27:23;47:3;143:25 effective (3) 69:17;84:11;138:22 effectively (2) 41:21;69:2 effectively (2) 41:21;69:2 efficiencies (3) 110:24;111:15,19 efficient (11) 13:9:4 educational (2) 14:14;33:20 effective (3) 69:17;84:11;138:22 effectively (2) 41:21;69:2 efficiencies (3) 110:24;111:15,19 efficient (11) 13:74:3;32:17; 49:12;54:11;76:13, 15;78:13;82:11;84:4: 87:21					
71:24;104:3,22   disconnected (1)   165:21   divided (1)   78:23   Duke (1)   14:14;33:20   effect (3)   27:23;47:3;143:25   discuss (2)   10:3;15:20   discussed (8)   79:10;106:3;113:8, 16;14:2;32:16,25; 49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   discussing (11)   10:3;15:18   discussing (11)   divided (1)   78:23   Duke (1)   78:23   Duke (1)   10:14:14;33:20   effect (3)   27:23;47:3;143:25   educational (2)   14:14;33:20   effect (3)   27:23;47:3;143:25   effective (3)   69:17;84:11;138:22   effective (4)   11:12   effective (3)   69:17;84:11;138:22   effective (3)   69:17;84:11;138:22   effective (3)   69:17;84:11;138:22   effective (3)   11:12   efficiencies (3)   11:12   efficiencies (3)   11:17;14:3;32:17;   efficient (11)   13:17;14:3;32:17;   efficient (11)   13:17;14:3;32:17;   49:12;54:11;76:13, 15;78:13;82:11;84:44:   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   discussing (11)   efficient (1)   87:21   efficient (1)   efficient (1)				- · · ·	
develop (8)         165:21         12:11         78:23         14:14;33:20           62:25;85:10,18;         discretionary (1)         dividends (1)         Duke (1)         14:14;33:20           115:21;123:5,6;         98:14         71:23         109:8         27:23;47:3;143:25           developed (4)         140:1         discuss (2)         164:2         76:8         69:17;84:11;138:22           developing (2)         discussed (8)         93:22;94:3,3,12;         11:12         41:21;69:2           development (30)         5:1;22:16;103:24;         97:15,19,21         71:17         71:17         71:17         71:17         71:17         71:17         71:24;111:15,19         67:24;111:15,19         67:24;111:15,19         67:24;111:15,19         67:24;111:15,19         67:24;14:2;32:16,25;         67:27;14:14:75:3;76:7;         60ck (1)         74:15         74:10;89:24;102:2;         74:10;89:24;102:2;         74:13;82:11;84:44         74:15         108:18;151:18         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11;84:44         15;78:13;82:11	, , , , ,				= - '
62:25;85:10,18;         discretionary (1)         dividends (1)         Duke (1)         effect (3)         27:23;47:3;143:25         effective (3)         27:23;47:3;143:25         effective (3)         69:17;84:11;138:22         69:17;84:11;138:22         69:17;84:11;138:22         69:17;84:11;138:22         69:17;84:11;138:22         69:17;84:11;138:22         69:17;84:11		` '			* *
115:21;123:5,6; 132:15;139:15  developed (4) 79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9  developing (2) 29:6;86:2  development (30) 9:20,21;10:1;13:8, 16:14:2;32:16,25; 49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; 109:8  discriminate (1) 164:2  DNR (10) 84:9;85:20;86:3; 93:22;94:3,3,12; 97:15,19,21  DNR's (1) 97:20  developing (8) 11:12  durations (1) 10:24;111:15,19 efficient (11) 13:17;14:3;32:17; 49:12;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; 162:14  discussed (8) 71:23  dividing (1) 164:2  DNR (10) 84:9;85:20;86:3; 93:22;94:3,3,12; 97:15,19,21  DNR's (1) 97:20 4urations (1) 10:24;111:15,19 efficient (11) 13:17;14:3;32:17; 49:12;54:11;76:13, 15;78:13;82:11;84:4: 87:21					*
132:15;139:15   discriminate (1)   140:1   164:2   76:8   69:17;84:11;138:22     15:9   developing (2)   29:6;86:2   discussed (8)   5:1;22:16;103:24;   09:20,21;10:1;13:8, 16;14:2;32:16,25; 49:11;54:10;59:4;   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   162:14   60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;   discussing (11)   dividing (1)   164:2   76:8   dump (1)   76:8   duplication (1)   69:17;84:11;138:22   effective (3)   69:17;84:11;138:22   effectively (2)   41:21;69:2   durations (1)   71:17   durations (1)   71:17   during (8)   10:24;111:15,19   efficiencies (3)   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15,19   11:24;111:15					
developed (4)       140:1       164:2       76:8       69:17;84:11;138:22         79:10;106:3;113:2;       discuss (2)       DNR (10)       duplication (1)       11:12       41:21;69:2       effectively (2)       41:21;69:2         developing (2)       discussed (8)       93:22;94:3,3,12;       97:15,19,21       71:17       durations (1)       110:24;111:15,19         development (30)       125:2;137:21;145:21;       DNR's (1)       during (8)       efficient (11)         9:20,21;10:1;13:8, 16;14:2;32:16,25;       discusses (1)       dock (1)       74:15       74:10;89:24;102:2;       49:12;54:11;76:13, 15;78:13;82:11;84:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;       discussing (11)       docking (1)       duties (1)       87:21					
79:10;106:3;113:2; 115:9 discuss (2) 10:3;15:20 developing (2) 29:6;86:2 5:1;22:16;103:24; 125:2;137:21;145:21; 16;14:2;32:16,25; 49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11) 10:3;15:20 duplication (1) 11:12 duplications (1) 11:12 durations (1) 11:12 durations (1) 11:12 durations (1) 11:17 durations (1) 11:2 durations (1) 11:2 effectively (2) 41:21;69:2 efficiencies (3) 11:17 during (8) 11:17 during (8) efficient (11) 13:17;14:3;32:17; 49:12;54:11;76:13, 108:18;151:18 during (1) 15:78:13;82:11;84:4; 108:18;151:18 during (1) 87:21					
115:9 developing (2) discussed (8) 93:22;94:3,3,12; durations (1) 71:17 development (30) 125:2;137:21;145:21; 16;14:2;32:16,25; discusses (1) 49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11) development (30) 10:3;15:20 durations (1) 71:17 during (8) efficient (11) 13:17;14:3;32:17; 49:12;54:10;59:4; 162:14 dock (1) 74:10;89:24;102:2; 162:14 dock (1) 74:10;89:24;102:2; 163:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11) during (1) during (1) 87:20 dock (1) 74:10;89:24;102:2; 162:14 discussing (11) during (1) 87:21					
developing (2)         discussed (8)         93:22;94:3,3,12;         durations (1)         efficiencies (3)           29:6;86:2         5:1;22:16;103:24;         97:15,19,21         71:17         110:24;111:15,19           development (30)         125:2;137:21;145:21;         DNR's (1)         during (8)         efficiencies (3)           9:20,21;10:1;13:8, 16;14:2;32:16,25;         162:17,18         97:20         24:5;45:2;46:7;         13:17;14:3;32:17;           49:11;54:10;59:4;         60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;         162:14         74:15         108:18;151:18         15;78:13;82:11;84:4;           60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;         discussing (11)         docking (1)         duties (1)         87:21					
29:6;86:2  development (30)  9:20,21;10:1;13:8, 16:14:2;32:16,25; 49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;  29:6;86:2  5:1;22:16;103:24; 97:15,19,21  DNR's (1) 97:20  during (8) 24:5;45:2;46:7; 74:10;89:24;102:2; 108:18;151:18 dock (1) 74:15  docking (1)  duties (1)  71:17  during (8) 24:5;45:2;46:7; 74:10;89:24;102:2; 108:18;151:18 15;78:13;82:11;84:4; 87:21					
development (30)       125:2;137:21;145:21;       DNR's (1)       during (8)       efficient (11)         9:20,21;10:1;13:8,       162:17,18       97:20       24:5;45:2;46:7;       13:17;14:3;32:17;         16;14:2;32:16,25;       discusses (1)       dock (1)       74:10;89:24;102:2;       49:12;54:11;76:13,         49:11;54:10;59:4;       162:14       74:15       108:18;151:18       15;78:13;82:11;84:4;         60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7;       discussing (11)       docking (1)       duties (1)       87:21				* *	
9:20,21;10:1;13:8, 162:17,18 97:20 24:5;45:2;46:7; 16;14:2;32:16,25; <b>discusses (1) dock (1)</b> 74:10;89:24;102:2; 49:11;54:10;59:4; 162:14 74:15 108:18;151:18 docking (1) <b>duties (1)</b> 87:21					
16;14:2;32:16,25; discusses (1) dock (1) 74:10;89:24;102:2; 49:12;54:11;76:13, 15;78:13;82:11;84:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11) docking (1) duties (1) 87:21					
49:11;54:10;59:4; 162:14 74:15 108:18;151:18 docking (1) 15;78:13;82:11;84:4; 60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11) docking (1) 87:21					
60:9;74:14;75:3;76:7; discussing (11) docking (1) duties (1) 87:21					
70 10 24 70 7 10					
cincidity (1)					
					omercinity (1)

LDC Auliaa Bolough De	- Tribinal Meeting	1	1	140vember 12, 202-
41:21	9:8;122:15	79:11	55:16;65:6;119:1;	excludes (1)
effort (3)	enclave (2)	entirety (1)	134:12,12	126:10
26:7;46:11;52:24	27:17;30:20	84:13	ethnicity (1)	excluding (1)
eight (2)	enclaves (17)	entities (1)	32:20	81:21
37:4;153:15	13:25;19:24;27:23;	49:17	evaluating (1)	exclusion (2)
either (18)	28:2;30:6;39:13,21;	entitlement (1)	78:6	22:16;105:16
4:3;8:19;21:15,21;	49:9,18,22;50:20;	9:18	evaluations (1)	Excuse (12)
38:1;41:1;48:24;66:3;	55:15;57:24;58:5;	entity (1)	83:1	10:5;54:12;55:20;
70:18,19,22;75:12;	59:18;60:3,13	121:18	even (22)	72:25;73:6;79:6;80:7;
109:9;127:5;139:14;	encompass (3)	entrepreneurial (2)	16:19;19:4;28:13;	81:7;82:21;102:12;
140:1;145:10;157:5	8:24;9:1;33:14	87:4;122:18	29:13;69:8;72:13;	103:25;136:25
elected (4)	encompassing (2)	entrepreneurialism (1)	76:8,25;83:17;85:25;	executive (8)
139:11;140:7,18,24	73:10;75:13	114:11	94:11;101:16;102:19;	4:5;154:5,8,23;
election (2)	encourage (5)	entrepreneurially (1)	107:21;108:13,20;	155:4,24;156:7,15
14:15;153:10	34:23;37:18;91:17;	122:1	110:25;126:9,17;	exemplary (1)
electronic (2)	113:5;159:5	entrepreneurship (1)	130:3;149:7;160:9	113:21
63:5,17	end (11)	122:19	evening (1)	exercise (8)
elements (4)	30:24;53:16;56:6;	enumerations (1)	167:7	32:3,5;96:18,23,24;
31:14;53:15;54:5;	81:12;89:3,5;97:7;	71:17	event (1)	97:17,23;111:3
79:25	105:12;107:3;158:25;	environment (1)	104:16	exercised (3)
Elfin (37)	161:6	106:25	eventually (3)	97:14;113:10;
33:15;48:24,24;	ends (1)	environmental (1)	158:14,24;159:20	114:14
58:16;64:2;65:24;	39:19	32:23	everybody (10)	exercising (3)
66:21,25;67:16,19;	enemy (3) 22:4;48:15;50:15	environments (1) 9:10	30:18;31:6;118:8;	18:13;94:24;95:4
72:9;73:14;77:23; 80:14;81:4,6,17,21,	energy (1)	envision (1)	143:12,24;148:24; 150:5,21;162:7;	exert (1) 22:19
23;83:13;88:1,2;	132:7	73:10	164:23	Exhibit (1)
89:15,22,23;90:6;	enforcement (1)	envisions (1)	everyone (8)	105:18
93:16;98:18;119:1;	97:7	95:9	9:16;20:19;33:6;	exhibits (1)
139:6;140:5,11;	enforcing (1)	equal (1)	103:11;147:25;	34:23
142:4,10,18;143:2,16	29:24	131:10	164:19;167:7,9	existed (1)
eliminate (1)	enfranchise (1)	equally (4)	everyone's (1)	69:7
54:17	9:7	19:10;52:10;	25:3	existence (2)
else (4)	engage (2)	119:21;149:12	evidence (5)	62:13,19
28:9;51:12;125:12,	84:14;87:3	equation (1)	3:19;5:2;64:4;	existing (5)
21	engaged (1)	119:11	128:8;157:15	8:21;21:25;32:20,
Ely (35)	76:7	error (1)	evidence-based (1)	23;83:3
38:12,21;40:19,23;	engaging (1)	16:6	72:13	exists (1)
44:12,12;45:3;61:10;	116:6	essence (1)	evolving (1)	88:17
66:15;68:22;70:8;	England (1)	39:20	18:7	expand (2)
76:22;92:6;93:10;	161:14	essential (18)	exactly (1)	30:5;144:17
94:5;96:25;98:2;99:4;	enhance (1)	13:17;14:2,21;20:1,	109:10	expanding (1)
100:19;112:5,8,10;	9:20	5;32:16;49:11;54:11;	example (3)	92:18
123:22;124:11;126:7,	enhances (1)	59:4;78:13;82:10;	92:8;119:20;121:10	expect (3)
14;129:25,25;138:4;	79:25	84:3;87:21;94:16;	examples (1) 17:2	21:14;65:18;88:23
147:22;148:7,10,19; 165:14;166:2	enjoyed (1) 58:13	138:19;141:18,21; 142:17	exceeds (1)	expecting (1)
Ely's (1)	enlightening (1)	essentially (6)	74:10	expenses (1)
166:14	18:17	21:24;30:10,20;	excellent (4)	82:15
email (3)	enough (5)	51:14;107:25;132:22	59:8;113:3;165:4;	experience (3)
2:13;55:25;56:11	13:3;59:3;60:8;	establish (4)	166:5	22:11;25:2;30:4
emailed (1)	72:15,23	16:9;21:13;30:9;	except (2)	experts (1)
56:14	enrollment (2)	157:22	8:21;51:14	36:1
embellishes (1)	71:20;73:1	established (5)	exchange (3)	expiration (3)
104:20	ensuring (1)	12:13;33:10,21;	62:25;63:8,18	92:9,17;93:5
embrace (7)	45:5	99:13;140:23	exclude (1)	explain (2)
12:18;34:2;37:4;	entertain (2)	establishes (2)	147:5	4:23;152:25
41:9;57:15;71:9;74:2	2:15;166:25	65:12;128:9	excluded (15)	explained (3)
emergency (1)	entire (9)	establishing (1)	23:9;81:19;98:21,	97:14;105:17;130:8
62:21	8:21;12:11;61:20;	50:19	22;105:16;106:22;	explains (2)
employable (3)	80:12,16;86:11;89:9;	establishment (3)	118:10,11;126:18,21,	3:16;5:6
80:22;81:25;83:7	106:11;114:17	16:2,14;69:1	22;127:8,9;128:7;	explicitly (3)
enabling (2)	entirely (1)	et (5)	130:3	26:2;95:4;128:2
	1	1	1	1

EBC Munita Borough B	ecisional miceting		1	110/0111001 12, 2021
expose (1)	32:18,23;60:12;	feet (1)	59:11	15:25
104:13	62:10;71:16;80:21;	87:14	firmly (1)	followed (3)
express (1)	82:12;104:6	felt (8)	128:9	28:14;109:2,13
140:6	facts (6)	35:20;36:21;99:11,	first (17)	following (5)
expressed (11)	27:13;52:7;58:8;	15,18;102:4;130:1;	12:24;16:1,2;28:12,	12:23;88:16;
8:16;24:20;25:5;	72:4;73:3,21	152:14	20;30:13;51:6;52:23;	132:17;139:22;
36:19;86:6;88:3;89:2;	factual (1)	ferry (1)	61:15;75:8;78:17;	163:17
93:16;94:9;102:4;	52:18	63:13	82:24;107:13;115:7,	footing (1)
128:22	failed (2)	few (7)	8;147:20;163:6	131:10
expressing (1)	97:17;107:15	3:8;36:4,12;67:25;	Fischer (7)	footnote (2)
109:18	fails (1)	99:5;129:20;165:25	15:4,4,14;27:2,7;	95:6,24
expressions (1)	7:5	fewer (3)	77:6;109:3	footpaths (1)
24:25	fair (6)	7:11;73:4;144:21	<b>fish</b> (3)	143:6
expressly (1)	9:15;26:25;28:1;	field (1)	38:4;126:23,24	foregoing (2)
95:13	45:21;75:17;143:2	134:14	fisheries (3)	37:2;101:21
extend (17)	Fairbanks (1)	fight (1)	41:15;42:7;120:6	foreseeable (1)
11:21;23:4;88:20;	38:1	108:5	fishing (3)	40:1
89:10;101:20,23;	fairly (2)	figure (1)	9:3;80:6,10	forest (5)
105:3,10;106:18;	19:25;87:7	45:10	fits (1)	45:5;79:3;80:19;
113:23;130:22,23;	fairness (1)	filed (1)	25:7	120:5,5
132:7;138:19;144:12,	23:11	40:3	Five (10)	forests (1)
21;145:16	Fairweather (1)	filing (1)	30:23,23,24;53:6;	33:23
extending (3)	33:13	106:1	54:23;108:12;114:16,	forget (1)
33:12;89:8;131:21	faith (1)	fill (1)	24;131:5;137:6	48:8
extends (1)	132:3	147:9	five-member (1)	forgetting (1)
73:12	familiar (5)	final (32)	139:12	5:13
<b>extensive (1)</b> 75:3	52:13;77:24;94:22; 109:7;162:12	5:3;8:12;18:11,21,	five-minute (1) 100:10	forgot (12)
	families (1)	23;26:7;34:8;52:17;		24:8;40:7,9;48:15;
<b>extensively (2)</b> 74:14;98:24	42:18	61:17,18;72:7;78:5; 82:21;92:9;100:1;	<b>five-zero (1)</b> 162:4	100:22;101:2,25; 102:2;110:2;120:5;
extent (6)	family (3)	101:17;102:17;	fixed (1)	102:2;110:2;120:3;
17:23;49:4;62:16;	35:8;42:12;122:18	103:13,22,25;104:17;	56:10	form (7)
95:10;96:3;102:10	far (6)	105.13,22,23,104.17, 105:9;111:5,20;	flaws (1)	2:11;20:3;22:5;
extract (1)	39:6;50:19;124:17;	125:16;127:25;136:9;	126:3	26:22;91:17;111:2;
27:5	130:6;137:6;158:21	138:23;149:1,22;	fleshes (1)	115:7
extreme (1)	favor (4)	150:3;153:20	5:5	formal (2)
102:17	73:3;114:20;122:8;	finally (8)	flexibility (1)	52:23;156:20
102.17	151:25	7:4;9:23;11:6;	18:9	formation (14)
${f F}$	feared (1)	14:18,19,19;63:7;	flexible (1)	15:3;26:11;37:19;
<del>_</del>	164:11	164:1	18:9	45:9;54:4;102:23;
faced (1)	feasibility (4)	financial (8)	flight (1)	107:20;108:17,21;
120:15	110:10;136:10,11;	78:11;82:9,21;84:2,	63:14	125:7,23;128:6;
faces (1)	161:6	20;87:19;92:5;114:11	flights (1)	129:16;136:18
86:16	features (1)	<b>find</b> (21)	63:12	formations (1)
facilitate (2)	32:22	11:15;17:17;19:11;	floor (11)	125:17
26:10;63:19	February (1)	47:12,17;49:5,15;	7:16,17;8:6;38:13,	formed (6)
facilitating (1)	20:14	52:16,18;77:7;78:4;	23;39:4,5;46:17;	36:9,11;110:1;
25:3	federal (3)	89:5;94:18;100:8;	64:21;136:2;149:24	115:8;134:24;136:22
facilities (10)	33:20;101:14;	119:25;128:17;	focus (2)	former (1)
32:22;62:23;63:5;	121:11	129:17,21,23;157:9;	103:12;121:13	139:2
76:8;79:3,10,13;	feel (8)	160:11	foggy (1)	forming (4)
88:20;89:8;92:24	39:11;59:20;68:2;	finding (4)	132:24	21:11;36:3;113:25,
facility (1)	83:24;123:13;125:3,	24:2;61:8;89:6;	folks (25)	25
78:25	5;136:10	112:3	24:22;26:9;34:14,	forth (2)
fact (21)	feeling (9)	fine (3)	25;35:8,21;37:18;	4:2;122:23
15:7,15;35:13;41:4;	26:11;55:14;70:25;	30:18;112:21;137:3	46:4;48:23;66:24;	forthcoming (1)
52:5,6;58:10,11;	100:5;130:1;148:6,	finish (1)	67:17;86:22;90:18,	163:11
59:20;67:24;83:17;	10,19,21	42:25	22;91:17;98:23;	forward (18)
91:5;98:20;128:12;	feelings (2)	finished (2)	109:1,7;117:19;	19:15;21:16;23:20;
129:10;157:11,15;	53:5;64:23	146:22;158:1	128:23;140:11;	24:2;31:8,20;39:12;
162:13,13;164:22,22	feels (5)	fire (1)	146:16;155:5;162:12;	67:2;84:10;123:25;
factors (11)	26:1;46:10;91:2;	62:21	166:22	128:25;130:19;
11:25;12:16;14:13;	137:25;139:19	<b>firm</b> (1)	follow (1)	135:25;144:6;149:21;
-	1	1	1	<u> </u>

LBC Aunaa borough De	ecisional Meeting	T		140vember 12, 2024
152:1;158:4;163:23	133:10;138:4;140:14;	5:14	19:2;73:10;111:2	77:25;80:3,14;81:18;
found (2)	143:4;154:6;162:20;	given (6)	governor (2)	119:1,14;126:10;
15:1;104:21	163:13	10:2;18:6;75:2;	108:11;164:6	128:3;135:2;159:20
four-page (1)	Furthermore (2)	103:6;107:25;140:21	Grace (2)	guy (1)
15:13	64:14;68:10	gives (1)	100:22;137:13	114:10
framers (3)	future (13)	18:13	grand (1)	guys (7)
12:10;73:9;109:22	9:4,25;19:13;20:24;	Glacier (24)	79:14	70:3;76:14;108:5;
framework (1)	22:18,20;29:21;40:1;	18:25;19:2,5,17;	grant (8)	114:16,18;115:19;
11:8	124:20,24;149:18;	28:16;33:9,14;34:1;	7:10;38:19;39:5;	122:5
frankly (5)	159:5;163:10	42:4;49:24;52:11;	56:17;82:22;119:12;	
40:6,12;113:1;		59:21;80:18;102:13;	133:8;149:25	H
121:15;122:25	G	103:17;110:11;111:6;	granted (8)	
free (2)		116:19;117:10;118:9;	31:23;136:8;	Haines (5)
126:25;127:21	gaining (1)	119:20;121:10,14;	141:12;145:7,8,13;	27:9;28:1;50:6,21;
frequently (1)	47:2	149:5	150:24;151:22	52:1
64:6	Galena (1)	goal (1)	granting (3)	half (3)
Friday (1)	18:2	149:15	114:20;136:3;	5:15,15;30:10
2:10	Game (11)	goes (8)	151:25	hand (2)
<b>friend (1)</b> 113:12	58:16;66:20;72:9;	16:13;17:14;78:19;	great (8) 22:25;43:25;67:22;	35:2;130:11
friendly (1)	73:14;81:17,22; 93:17;140:5,11;	86:9;91:11;95:24; 106:20;144:5	109:25;112:24;	handle (6) 25:14,15,17;85:1;
86:24	142:4,18	gondola (1)	118:24;137:8;166:9	87:3;97:15
front (7)	Gateway (6)	79:4	greater (9)	handled (1)
53:21,24;62:5,8;	30:5,12,17,21;31:1;	good (26)	9:19;19:14;21:9;	162:15
85:12;116:12,25	50:37:27,77,21,31:17	4:11;17:1;22:4;	75:6;111:14,14,18;	handling (1)
frustrate (1)	gave (3)	25:7;31:7;43:9;48:15;	136:21;149:19	76:10
29:20	16:5;103:3;115:11	50:15;87:3;97:1;	greatly (1)	hands (3)
frustrated (1)	gazing (1)	102:7;114:12,12;	28:21	31:10;49:5;135:24
50:8	116:6	122:1,2,13;123:9;	gross (1)	hand's (1)
frustrating (1)	Gene (12)	132:3;146:18,20;	16:6	163:5
29:7	89:25;94:5;97:1,10,	150:1;159:12;161:14;	ground (2)	hang (1)
frustration (4)	12;153:25,25;154:4,	165:7;166:1;167:7	108:14;150:2	86:7
26:20;35:20;37:17;	11,25;156:11,13	goodness (1)	grounds (1)	hanging (2)
135:23	general (1)	43:17	9:3	137:20;166:4
full (11)	34:17	governance (1)	grow (1)	happen (7)
13:8,16;14:1;32:15;	generally (5)	144:22	124:2	5:9;40:1;114:17;
49:11;54:10;59:4; 60:9;82:24,25;139:16	13:7,13;32:12;54:7; 122:8	governing (1) 29:3	growing (2) 72:19;77:25	116:4;124:25;141:2; 160:24
full-blown (1)	generate (1)	government (85)	growth (2)	happened (6)
109:4	82:16	8:19;11:10,21;12:2;	72:24;78:23	7:2,3;18:19;27:10;
full-time (1)	generates (1)	13:4,15;15:17;18:25;	guarantee (2)	52:12;110:8
139:14	20:4	19:6,8,9;20:4,22;	142:23;143:11	happening (7)
function (1)	gentleman (1)	21:11;23:17,21;28:5;	guess (21)	23:13;98:10;
94:15	48:23	32:14;36:2,22;43:3;	20:17;28:8;35:24;	119:19,20;125:12,21;
functional (2)	gentlemen (13)	46:19;47:1,2,3;49:19,	50:10;58:6;60:20;	127:5
17:12;19:18	5:14;10:2;20:7;	24;51:7;54:9;57:19,	61:2;65:22;66:8,12;	happens (4)
functioning (1)	24:1;35:18;61:6;	20;61:24;63:1;66:3;	67:11;68:15;86:1;	4:6;50:5;75:5;
31:25	83:12;102:9;107:7;	67:1,7,9;68:6;71:15;	89:13;93:11;109:18;	96:23
functions (2)	137:17;138:14;	72:2,24;73:6,23;	113:9;122:17,17;	happy (3)
82:13;95:14	147:14;148:13	74:20;75:8,20;80:24,	133:12;145:10	86:16;97:20;167:10
fund (1)	geographic (3)	24;81:2,8,16;83:9,11;	guests (1)	hard (4)
71:23 <b>funding (2)</b>	105:13;106:23; 117:15	84:11;88:24;90:19, 22,23;91:12;93:15;	24:23 guidance (1)	76:15;112:12,18; 116:6
92:21;110:25	geographical (4)	104:11,14;105:3,10;	147:1	harken (2)
funds (2)	14:7;32:22;63:3;	106:4,18;107:6;	Gulf (1)	11:4;27:6
56:8;112:15	70:21	111:25;118:21;	101:20	harkened (1)
Funter (8)	geographically (3)	136:17,18;138:19;	Gustavus (29)	49:14
29:14;64:5,14;	34:12;69:22;70:12	142:5;144:13,17;	19:19,21;20:21;	harkening (1)
66:21;68:10;81:23;	geography (7)	145:2,17,25;146:3,5,	23:14;27:20,25;	93:11
117:12;119:2	12:15;13:7,13;	6;149:5,8,10,11	28:16;33:12,16;	HARRINGTON (60)
further (14)	14:11;32:13;54:7;	governmental (3)	34:21;39:14;40:16;	2:23;5:10;8:3;
7:13;46:5,11,15;	59:1	28:3;39:24;141:24	42:4;47:22;48:22;	20:17;22:9;30:3;
72:20;104:21;129:16;	gets (1)	governments (3)	49:4;50:6;72:9;73:17;	31:18;32:2;48:4;
	Í.	Í.	Ì	Î.

LDC Aunaa Borough De	- The control of the	I	I	110Veiliber 12, 2024
50:14,14;64:24;65:1;	38:12	150:9;160:20	identified (5)	76:7;77:21,22
74:8;89:20;91:23;	Hickey (13)	Hoonah's (17)	10:25;24:3;35:14;	improve (1)
		7:24;9:13,24;19:22;	59:21;119:16	9:23
107:11,12;130:11,13;	89:25;97:2,10,12;			
131:2,12;132:2,18;	153:25,25;154:2,4,11;	22:24;26:19;39:18;	identify (5)	improvement (1)
133:13;135:4;140:15,	156:11,12,13;158:6	46:2;73:12;86:5;	4:13,17;21:6;25:6;	131:17
17;148:15;151:6,7,16,	Hickey's (1)	90:20;95:25;105:20;	33:8	inability (1)
20,23;152:9,15,21;	154:25	106:23;127:17;139:9;	ignore (1)	66:1
154:19,20,21;156:24;	High (1)	143:15	35:13	inaudible (1)
157:8,18,19;158:6,7,	42:14	hope (6)	ignored (2)	2:7
8,19;159:2,15,22,25;	higher (2)	16:21;24:20;46:13,	114:3;159:5	inbox (1)
160:2,14;161:19,20;	92:14,21	13;128:13;163:24	imagine (1)	56:16
163:20,22;166:12;	highway (3)	Hopefully (2)	116:3	include (32)
167:1	63:25;69:13;107:1	20:8;165:23	immediate (1)	12:15;13:8,15,25;
hat (1)	hints (1)	hoping (2)	9:10	14:8;20:21;32:14;
86:Ź	106:5	151:23;152:16	immediately (2)	33:11;41:5;48:12;
hate (3)	hire (1)	horns (2)	95:14,20	49:10;54:9,16;60:5;
51:4;121:8;137:1	139:14	37:19;160:23	immemorial (1)	75:22;78:11;82:8;
Hats (3)	historic (4)	Horse (3)	103:8	84:1;87:19;119:7,7;
77:18,25;88:6	8:24;9:2;35:4;	29:14;64:5;117:13	impact (4)	131:22;133:2;138:7,
head (3)	41:23	hospitality (2)	42:3;79:24;90:22;	17;141:22;158:11,23;
109:6;132:11,23	historical (7)	22:24;150:11	135:2	159:7,18,21;163:24
	70:11;71:17,20;	,		
heading (1)		hour (3)	impacted (1)	included (10)
15:16	102:11,15,18;103:4	5:15,15;43:15	136:5	9:8;23:7;59:23;
head-on (1)	historically (1)	house (1)	impacts (1)	73:2;77:3;99:19;
108:7	69:18	14:14	86:24	118:7,11;132:9;
health (1)	history (5)	hovered (1)	impediments (1)	158:15
42:23	21:4;103:14;	24:18	63:4	includes (8)
hear (10)	108:22;110:4;136:20	how's (1)	impetus (1)	14:13;33:24;41:6;
4:1;23:12,15;89:22;	hit (1)	120:6	29:23	72:20;79:2;105:13;
161:9,9,13,13,14,14	111:17	huge (1)	implement (2)	117:9;139:22
heard (4)	hold (1)	108:16	91:3;110:11	including (22)
24:25;34:19;61:6;	105:25	human (6)	implementation (1)	4:9;9:21;32:19;
121:20	home (4)	78:11;82:9;84:1,20;	15:25	33:19;51:10;62:10;
Hearing (13)	12:24;105:7;	87:19;92:4	implemented (1)	63:16;71:16;77:23;
3:1,19;24:21;46:8;	144:25;145:3	humorous (1)	36:3	80:3,21;82:13,20;
50:18;53:22;89:24;	homeland (1)	47:19	implications (1)	86:19;99:8,25;104:6;
102:6;105:25;155:3;	102:13	Huna (4)	99:24	111:10;132:5;136:12;
162:22;163:14;167:6	honest (1)	8:24;9:3;102:10,15	importance (3)	139:10;157:24
hearing's (1)	108:12	hundred (2)	9:5;49:1;103:16	inclusion (4)
158:20	honor (2)	123:1,4	important (7)	19:15;73:18;
heart (1)	96:1;164:13	hung (1)	3:23;80:11;98:8;	130:23;149:20
165:7	Hoonah (78)	166:22	103:22;150:8;160:11;	income (2)
heartened (2)	8:22;19:19;21:7;	hunting (1)	164:21	82:17;83:5
23:12,15	23:14;24:22,25;25:5,	9:2	Importantly (2)	incorporate (4)
heavily (2)	25;26:10;27:15;28:1,	Hyder (4)	25:15;102:12	12:23;21:8;23:3;
65:25;136:14	21,22;33:11,15;	30:6,19;50:21;	impose (1)	133:23
held (1)	34:21;35:4,20;40:2,	131:3	6:8	incorporated (3)
156:7	15;41:20;46:10;50:8;		imposed (3)	94:7;109:24;125:15
help (3)	51:1,5;52:21;58:13,	I	8:20;25:8;60:4	incorporating (2)
10:3;129:17;132:16	15;64:1;65:16;66:1;		imposes (1)	94:12:145:1
helpful (2)	68:2;69:12,20;72:9,	Icy (6)	6:20	incorporation (46)
18:18;20:9	17;73:20;75:9;77:17;	78:24;79:10,18;	imposition (1)	6:13,16,21;7:24;
helping (2)	78:22;79:22;80:1,2,	80:2,17;86:20	96:4	8:14,16,17;9:7,23;
3:6;40:13	15;83:17;86:1,17;	idea (5)	impossibility (1)	10:4;11:2,20;12:5,21;
helps (2)	92:13;94:24;95:6,21;	45:17;96:9;106:8;	48:1	13:24;14:24;17:19;
25:2;147:9	96:5;98:24;102:14;	113:25;122:13	impossible (3)	20:13;33:3;35:23;
Here's (7)	110:9;112:25;113:20;	ideal (4)	48:19;88:19;91:5	36:7,17,20;40:22;
27:21;54:5;61:21;	116:23;118:23;119:5;	50:25;158:10,22;	impractical (2)	45:16;49:8;53:10;
63:23;73:25;102:9;	128:4;129:9;131:10,	159:17	48:13;88:19	59:14;60:3,22;73:4;
116:16	14,24;132:13;133:9,	ideas (2)	impressed (6)	78:6;81:9;89:5;104:7;
Hey (3)	21;134:9;139:25;	15:25;115:21	41:19;76:4,9,19;	105:2,7,15,25;109:22;
5:16;120:2;136:15	140:21;142:3;144:19,	identifiable (1)	77:15;86:5	110:7;132:1;136:5;
5:10;120:2;130:15 <b>Hi</b> (1)	24;145:1;146:4;	17:12	impressive (3)	139:24;144:12;
III (I <i>)</i>	24,143.1,140.4,	17.14	mipressive (3)	137.44,144.14,

LDC Aunaa Dorough Do	- Cisional Meeting	T	T	November 12, 2024
145:16	inequitable (1)	10:8;34:24;36:5;	102:22	38:6,8;44:19;56:3,13;
incorporations (3)	119:21	42:6,22;64:11;65:19;	introduce (1)	78:9;85:4,6,11,20;
37:13;52:3;55:2	inevitable (1)	66:13;67:3,21;69:23;	34:25	94:5,10,13,17;96:14;
increase (5)	164:12	81:1,20;83:10,15;	introductory (1)	97:14;100:23,25;
64:12;68:9;113:5,7;	influence (3)	98:16;103:16;110:4;	2:8	128:18;129:12,19;
144:21	9:4;35:3;143:19	114:13;119:15;120:8;	investment (2)	130:9;151:3,5,8,10,
increased (1)	influx (1)	128:5	69:4;78:23	12,14;152:6,24;153:3,
110:23	75:3	interested (5)	invitation (1)	12,14,132.0,24,133.3, 19;155:6,8,17,22;
	information (11)	51:18;98:20;	155:12	156:3;159:14,24;
increasing (1) 93:2		101:11;135:7,20		
	3:25;15:8;85:4,12;		invite (2)	161:19,21,23,25;
incumbent (1)	129:13,23;132:19;	interesting (6)	3:9;133:9	162:4;163:1,12
134:16	141:9;147:6;163:2,10	15:1;86:19;93:9;	invited (1)	job (7)
Indeed (2)	infrastructure (2)	98:7,11;101:12	118:25	59:8;113:21;122:2;
36:8;142:7	9:13;69:3	interestingly (1)	involve (1)	146:20;165:4,7;166:9
independent (2)	initial (2)	88:12	95:9	jobs (1)
55:3;86:3	65:6;96:8	interests (66)	involved (6)	79:20
independently (1)	initially (1)	6:4;7:8;10:9;12:8,	35:1;40:4,22;42:21;	John (46)
31:5	97:24	19;19:3,10;22:15;	128:16;164:19	22:6;24:3;29:16,24;
indicate (2)	initiate (1)	29:13,23;32:10;34:3,	involving (2)	31:17;32:1;48:14;
129:7,8	160:23	7,10,17;35:11,15;	29:2;45:4	50:13,14;51:17;
indicated (3)	initiated (1)	37:5;39:14;41:8,10,	irrational (1)	52:23;55:15;58:4;
65:6;99:11;147:23	36:17	14,15;42:7;57:16;	121:15	61:7,7;64:25;65:20,
indicates (1)	initiative (3)	61:16;65:2,11;71:10;	irrelevant (2)	23;74:7;76:3;89:19;
146:20	34:25;109:16;125:9	74:3;81:15;84:7;	70:1;102:18	90:16;91:14,22;92:1;
indicating (4)	inside (4)	93:13;100:7;104:5,	Island (7)	100:5,18;108:9;
148:8,12;156:24;	50:21,22;51:4;	20;106:15;107:3,21;	16:19;64:3,5,6,6;	109:11,20;123:15;
164:7	106:6	110:22;111:13,23;	73:15;81:24	130:7,12;134:6,23;
indication (2)	insignificant (1)	112:11;114:6;116:5;	Islands (2)	138:3;141:11;147:21;
11:12;157:3	66:1	121:9,25;123:14;	29:14;117:13	148:5,11,18,20;
indications (1)	insofar (1)	124:6,10;125:6;	isolate (2)	163:21;164:11,17;
48:22	41:18	126:9;130:1;136:6;	50:2;51:24	165:2
indirectly (1)	instance (2)	137:21,25;138:5,11;	isolating (1)	John's (2)
88:24	118:1,4	147:21;148:9;149:6,	58:8	44:11;119:22
Indiscernible (50)	instances (1)	13;150:14;157:2,10,	isolation (1)	join (8)
2:4;5:23,24;10:16;	36:4	21	49:20	49:24;109:18;
13:10;19:1;21:16;	instead (1)	interference (3)	issue (25)	114:3,4;121:16;
31:16;32:8;35:12;	85:8	5:23,24;13:11	3:7;17:15;20:19;	127:3,4;164:18
38:5;46:2;47:8;51:20;	integral (1)	interrelated (5)	22:14;39:9;45:17,20;	joinder (1)
55:20;56:16;57:12;	17:9	13:1;16:9;37:9;	67:12;70:22;91:18;	48:2
58:12;59:9;60:25;	integrated (5)	62:1;68:7	97:3,19;98:1,8;	joined (3)
65:10;69:24;71:4;	13:1;16:10;37:9;	interrelationships (2)	108:16,22;109:21;	35:7;38:10;160:20
77:14;79:5,6,8,9;	62:2;63:1	63:20;69:5	110:16;127:7,8;	joining (2)
82:19;85:19;89:17;	integration (1)	interrupt (3)	129:14;150:10;153:5;	133:11;135:8
95:3;109:3;116:23;	63:20	38:9;118:13;137:1	154:5,13	jolly (1)
117:24;118:17;	intend (1)	intervene (1)	issued (3)	161:14
120:10,15;124:6,8;	151:21	135:14	4:21;101:18;153:17	Jon (1)
126:6;127:15;129:4;	intended (1)	intervening (1)	issues (15)	2:9
131:14;132:16;134:2;	126:1	135:17	9:9,11;22:17;23:9;	judged (1)
135:14;148:21;153:9;	intends (1)	intervention (1)	25:18;45:6,24;91:19;	18:3
154:9	139:1	135:13	102:20;120:1;134:10;	judgment (1)
individual (3)	intent (5)	intimately (1)	141:1;154:14;161:1;	18:14
19:21;49:3;123:4	103:12;124:17,18;	94:21	166:4	<b>jump</b> (1)
indulgence (1)	126:4,4	into (29)	Item (5)	108:10
101:3	intention (3)	4:5;12:12;14:4;	88:19;139:21;	jumping (1)
industrial (5)	23:22;103:13;	16:24;21:9;23:3,20;	140:14;147:20;	165:18
32:24;62:13;79:8,	139:13	42:8;50:4;51:10,12;	162:20	June (1)
15;83:4	intentional (1)	52:4;57:4;93:3;97:20;		33:8
industry (3)	102:24	101:10;107:14;110:2;	J	Juneau (10)
74:17;86:8;87:10	interact (1)	113:18;115:20;		64:4,7,15,16;65:14,
industry's (1)	66:2	125:20;130:24;	January (1)	15,17;68:11,12;86:22
79:24	interconnected (1)	131:16;138:20;154:5,	163:3	Juneau-based (1)
inefficiencies (1)	70:18	8,23;155:4;164:2	<b>JED</b> (51)	72:21
111:12	interest (22)	intrinsic (1)	2:4,18;24:7,9,11;	jurisdiction (3)
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

LBC Xunaa Borough Do	ecisional Meeting			November 12, 2024
39:18,23;101:25	Lake (1)	9;159:16;165:22	84:4;89:9;90:23;	21;12:2;15:10,17;
jurisdictional (2)	16:7	LBC's (1)	93:13;98:15;134:14;	16:4;22:20;25:19;
101:13,22	land (24)	17:17	143:20	30:25;31:4;36:1,2,5,
jurisdictions (1)	13:15;14:1,7;32:15,	leaders (2)	lies (1)	10;37:3;43:3;46:19;
11:13	19;49:10;54:9;55:3;	77:19;134:20	68:17	48:19;49:19;66:25;
jury (1)	59:2,3,7;60:5,8,24;	leadership (3)	lieu (1)	73:6;75:8;79:20;
129:11	61:1;62:22;70:10;	98:25;150:10;	38:4	82:16,24;91:15;
T/	83:2;84:16;94:25;	160:22	life (1)	104:7,10,12,23;105:3,
K	96:20,21;101:15;	leaning (1)	37:25	10;106:16,18;107:6;
1 (7)	115:15	22:3	lifestyles (4)	108:15;111:1,24;
keep (7)	landed (2)	least (15)	34:12,18;62:12;	118:20;119:3;134:18;
4:18;71:2;85:13;	147:17,18	6:9;27:12;28:10;	65:4	135:5;144:11,13,17,
138:14;155:10,22;	lands (5)	32:9;39:12;49:19;	likely (4)	24;145:17,25;146:3,
166:15	9:5,18;14:8;23:8;	58:2;63:13,14;83:16;	104:13;117:18;	5;166:13
keeps (1)	101:14	90:19;99:6,15; 142:10,12	118:18;119:10	located (1) 94:18
146:19	language (11)	leave (4)	likewise (2) 9:1;145:23	
Kenai (1)	31:16;49:15;57:3;	46:5;100:4;125:4;	9:1;145:25 limitations (2)	locations (1) 128:14
17:1 <b>kept (2)</b>	62:17,18;71:12;73:5, 25;91:4,6;98:13	128:16	22:18;51:13	locum (1)
4:8,11	large (14)	leaves (1)	limits (4)	30:4
4:8,11 <b>Ketchikan (10)</b>	13:3;14:7;17:2,5,	108:3	96:5;101:23,24;	log (1)
30:5,12,15,16,21;	10;71:13,25;72:23;	leaving (4)	144:23	155:11
31:1;50:22;55:16;	75:19;79:1,3;80:7,8;	8:20;39:8;95:2;	line (1)	Logging (1)
86:22;131:3	139:11	164:9	24:23	72:10
80.22,131.3 key (5)	larger (2)	left (6)	liners (1)	logic (2)
40:4;57:3,3;134:10;	39:21;95:2	15:6;55:6;108:3;	79:1	51:3;81:21
161:1	largest (1)	109:3;134:1;158:12	lines (3)	logical (3)
Kiana (1)	117:15	legal (7)	46:14;64:19;77:8	17:5;18:15;20:20
165:18	Larry (11)	96:15;108:13;	linked (1)	long (14)
kick (2)	4:14;47:9;68:23;	154:5,9,13,13,24	80:17	18:16;26:20;45:1;
8:9;20:8	121:23;123:15;130:6;	legally (1)	links (2)	69:10;89:23;120:17;
kind (25)	138:2;147:22;148:6,	96:3	9:22;106:25	133:18;136:23;149:2;
22:3;25:20;34:6;	11;165:3	legislative (9)	list (3)	150:6,15;164:20;
35:7;40:24;41:17;	last (10)	21:2,18;25:21;	57:4;60:12;159:8	166:13,15
66:5;70:1;83:24;	52:25;57:24;59:17,	31:11;88:10,15;	listed (3)	longer (4)
86:25;89:2,13,15;	17;108:18;109:10;	108:19;119:4;135:9	51:2;59:16;144:9	15:5;27:5;31:21;
90:24;95:1;98:13;	128:4;130:10;149:3;	legislature (8)	listening (11)	119:18
109:2;115:20;121:12;	152:13	21:14;25:24;	3:12;10:24;25:20;	look (39)
124:10,14,15;133:7;	Lastly (1)	109:11,15;114:23;	56:4;67:17;77:16;	8:13;21:3,10;24:4;
136:10;147:16	49:7	163:4,9,24	116:9;135:22;137:19;	25:11;27:13,18;
kindly (2)	late (3)	legitimate (2)	146:16;162:6	47:21;50:24;52:10;
10:2;31:15	109:10;137:10;	90:17;166:3	lists (2)	53:11;55:24;56:19;
Klukwan (3)	165:16	legitimately (1)	72:8;105:6	58:2,17,23;59:12;
27:10;50:21;51:24	Later (7)	29:8	literature (1)	61:18;68:4;69:19;
knew (1)	11:14,24;16:13;	legwork (1)	15:7	73:8;74:4;90:13,21;
31:6	85:24;90:14;96:9;	125:10	little (15)	91:5,7;97:20;104:1;
knocked (1)	147:10	lengths (1)	5:18;10:12;18:21;	110:23;116:13;
165:20	latter (1)	118:24	20:6,10;29:25;30:6,7;	119:24;127:12;
knowledge (2)	96:1	less (3)	75:2;124:14;125:16;	132:13;138:23;
22:11;165:12	Law (12)	16:19;36:8;42:3	156:16,23;165:17,23	141:17;147:19;
known (1)	3:25;4:7;12:14;	lesser (2)	live (14)	153:24;160:24;
5:13	60:19;91:1;97:21;	42:3;72:5	34:11,14,15;36:8,	163:16
Kodiak (1)	109:8;113:12;114:10;	letter (4)	11,14,16;42:12;	looked (2)
16:25	122:14;154:10;	15:2,9,13,14	67:14;70:13;109:25;	28:9,10
	156:12	letters (3)	115:17;136:21;144:1	looking (20)
${f L}$	LBC (27)	128:12;129:8;135:6	lived (1)	8:11;15:9;23:10;
	2:11;4:25;11:14;	letting (2)	37:25	27:14;44:13,14,15;
label (1)	16:9,15;17:24,25;	103:17;118:4	lives (1)	56:2;58:18,21;61:17;
79:7	18:6,13;64:10;79:9;	level (20)	9:16	85:4,13;90:5;101:10;
lack (3)	80:16;81:3,5;82:4;	13:18;14:3;23:11;	living (1)	113:6;122:25;129:24;
83:14;119:23;142:5	106:13,13;107:4;	32:17;49:12;54:12;	115:16	138:14;139:21
lacks (1)	131:3;140:2;145:2;	62:24;78:14;80:25;	<b>Local (54)</b>	looks (2)
73:21	146:2;150:24;158:3,	81:14;82:11,17;83:9;	3:14;11:10,11,18,	47:5;143:2
	Í.	İ	T .	Í.

LBC Xunaa Borough Do		1		November 12, 2024
1(2)	111.17.121.2.0	10.2	6.10.7.12.15.19.	72.9.74.12.104.0
losing (3)	111:17;121:3,9;	18:3	6:10;7:12;15:18;	73:8;74:12;104:9;
10:5;47:1;92:11	125:14,24;149:4;	mean (35)	66:5;76:6;155:23	107:5;111:24;145:24;
Lost (2)	150:9;152:1	10:13;24:3,5;28:22;	memory (1)	146:3
5:7,8	map (6)	34:7;35:16;57:25;	129:17	minimums (1)
lot (20)	27:15,18;69:19;	58:21;59:6;67:12;	mention (9)	76:24
10:20;15:6;17:16;	116:11,18,22	69:9,14;70:10;76:8;	40:7;57:24;76:12;	minority (3)
41:25;55:3;60:23;	marine (2)	85:3;86:24;94:1;	83:20;88:3;102:1;	133:22;140:2;
69:4,11;70:16;86:16;	63:25;107:1	96:14;114:15;118:9;	110:2;136:8;163:14	162:16
89:14;108:14;117:8;	mark (8)	120:13;121:7,17;	mentioned (14)	minute (3)
120:14;121:11;	53:4;61:3;68:20,23;	122:4;129:6;130:25;	37:12,15;55:16;	38:9;45:13;99:18
133:21;140:20;141:6;	70:23;99:9,20;124:7	132:16;135:19;136:7;	63:24;108:18;111:3,	minutes (8)
150:2;165:6	marked (3)	141:5;147:4;150:22;	5;116:17;117:17;	3:8,9;4:8;43:16;
lots (5)	53:3;123:15;137:24	151:18;152:11;	126:17;134:11;	99:5;129:20;137:6;
24:6,6;87:15;108:3;	material (1)	164:12	143:21;161:2;162:19	155:1
162:12	20:6	meaning (2)	mentions (1)	miserably (1)
love (1)	materials (1)	25:19;130:18	103:2	107:16
143:9	143:15	means (4)	merely (2)	miss (1)
low (1)	matter (5)	14:6;63:16;67:17;	158:2,13	165:2
73:1	3:10;4:6;16:8;	147:8	Merry (1)	missed (3)
lying (1)	29:11;153:11	meant (1)	167:11	38:25;127:24;
108:16	matters (1)	24:7	met (20)	158:20
100.10	8:9	Meantime (1)	16:17;31:20;53:2;	misstate (1)
$\mathbf{M}$	maximize (4)	134:21	61:9;68:3;71:1;73:23;	94:6
	12:7;73:18;107:2;	mechanism (5)	107:9;112:11;123:14;	mixing (1)
main (2)	111:22	119:23;125:4;	126:16;130:2;138:1;	40:8
main (3)	maximum (20)	128:7;136:15;159:1		Mobil (1)
58:14;67:2;162:19			156:21,21,22;157:6,	
mainly (2)	11:10,17;12:19;	mechanisms (1) 125:2	11,15,24	102:22
78:23;84:19	22:19;34:4;41:10;		method (2)	model (21)
maintenance (1)	57:17,19;71:10;	media (3)	48:20;88:10	14:16;20:10;21:23;
92:23	73:11;74:3;75:14,23;	62:22;63:5,17	Meyers (1)	25:8;30:12;33:4,7,9,
major (4)	96:2;104:7,23;	mediating/running (1)	30:14	13;35:13;41:4;51:14;
4:23;22:2;76:11;	106:16;133:19;	166:10	microphone (1)	57:5,10;59:20,22;
147:12	144:11,24	mediation (1)	156:10	111:6;116:19;119:16;
147:12 majority (5)	144:11,24 may ( <b>52</b> )	mediation (1) 165:5	156:10 middle (1)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10;	144:11,24 may ( <b>52</b> ) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1)
147:12 <b>majority (5)</b> 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11;	144:11,24 <b>may (52)</b> 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4	155:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12;	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15)	155:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13;	155:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14,	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12;	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15)	155:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23;	155:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15,	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15,	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13,	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1,	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20;	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24)	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6,	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25)
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6;	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9 Mansfield (1)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25; 77:17;86:21;87:10;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15; 64:11;65:7;88:12;	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25 minimal (1)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19; 36:15;52:13;54:19;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9 Mansfield (1) 117:13	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25; 77:17;86:21;87:10; 90:14;95:3;97:8;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15; 64:11;65:7;88:12; 140:3	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25 minimal (1) 105:22	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19; 36:15;52:13;54:19; 55:13;64:15;68:12;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9 Mansfield (1) 117:13 many (20)	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25; 77:17;86:21;87:10; 90:14;95:3;97:8; 114:8;122:17;128:18;	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15; 64:11;65:7;88:12; 140:3 meld (1)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25 minimal (1) 105:22 minimize (1)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19; 36:15;52:13;54:19; 55:13;64:15;68:12; 87:11,12,13,13;91:15;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9 Mansfield (1) 117:13 many (20) 10:19;15:11;18:24;	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25; 77:17;86:21;87:10; 90:14;95:3;97:8; 114:8;122:17;128:18; 143:23;164:14	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15; 64:11;65:7;88:12; 140:3 meld (1) 164:15	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25 minimal (1) 105:22 minimize (1) 90:21	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19; 36:15;52:13;54:19; 55:13;64:15;68:12; 87:11,12,13,13;91:15; 108:20;126:6,18;
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9 Mansfield (1) 117:13 many (20) 10:19;15:11;18:24; 19:1;20:25;23:3;	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25; 77:17;86:21;87:10; 90:14;95:3;97:8; 114:8;122:17;128:18; 143:23;164:14 mayor (1)	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15; 64:11;65:7;88:12; 140:3 meld (1) 164:15 member (1)	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25 minimal (1) 105:22 minimize (1) 90:21 minimum (13)	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19; 36:15;52:13;54:19; 55:13;64:15;68:12; 87:11,12,13,13;91:15; 108:20;126:6,18; 141:8;146:7,8;165:6,
147:12 majority (5) 24:17;70:10; 109:25;145:11; 152:11 makes (5) 17:20;72:12; 133:20;140:20;165:6 making (3) 18:14;24:1;122:1 man (1) 133:15 management (1) 113:21 managing (1) 165:4 mandate (3) 109:2;160:17;164:1 Mandatory (11) 25:8;36:12;83:20; 94:6;96:17,22;97:6, 13,17,22;110:5 manner (3) 12:13;36:12;75:9 Mansfield (1) 117:13 many (20) 10:19;15:11;18:24;	144:11,24 may (52) 3:24;4:1;6:5,16; 10:13;12:23;14:8; 16:16;19:5;20:24; 21:3;27:1;29:15; 32:18;33:4;37:23; 38:25;39:25;48:4; 59:15;60:11,12,14; 62:9;63:2,9;67:20; 71:15;80:20;83:6; 86:8;88:14;95:9; 104:5;106:7;112:15, 16;121:18;123:10; 124:21,21,25,25; 126:12;127:24; 132:23;141:12,20; 149:8;152:17;156:3; 157:20 maybe (24) 3:8;14:18;24:21; 26:19;28:2;33:6; 36:25;42:3;52:20; 56:5;69:8,21;74:25; 77:17;86:21;87:10; 90:14;95:3;97:8; 114:8;122:17;128:18; 143:23;164:14	mediation (1) 165:5 mediator (2) 25:2;165:8 medium (1) 17:4 meet (15) 5:23;7:5;20:13; 35:23;65:18;76:23; 82:5;100:12;106:15, 16;107:5;111:24; 137:4;141:23;144:3 Meeting (19) 3:14;6:24;31:21; 67:3;110:17;134:4; 153:16;155:10,12,13, 19,23;156:1;162:8; 163:10;165:4;166:1, 10;167:12 meetings (5) 5:8;154:12;165:2,7, 25 meets (7) 12:22;51:1,15; 64:11;65:7;88:12; 140:3 meld (1) 164:15	156:10 middle (1) 59:12 middle-income (1) 41:25 might (18) 2:14;4:24;14:25; 26:20;28:24;34:14, 15;41:19;45:8;51:18; 58:2;86:19;90:15; 107:11;133:21; 143:18;144:3;158:18 miles (4) 70:14;101:9,14; 144:22 millions (2) 122:7,9 mind (7) 15:18;20:18;31:19; 61:10;74:20;134:13; 159:1 Mine (4) 92:9,10;93:6; 148:25 minimal (1) 105:22 minimize (1) 90:21	111:6;116:19;119:16; 136:12;140:20 modestly (1) 72:19 modifying (1) 28:10 moment (4) 42:25;132:6; 134:23;154:1 moments (1) 3:8 money (3) 45:6;86:10;121:11 months (1) 36:12 Monument (1) 42:5 monumental (1) 159:8 moot (2) 64:13;68:8 more (25) 5:18;26:7;28:8; 29:13;30:1;33:19; 36:15;52:13;54:19; 55:13;64:15;68:12; 87:11,12,13,13;91:15; 108:20;126:6,18;

			T.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18:12	municipalities (3)	13:8,16;14:1;32:15;	no-go (2)	131:22,23;134:7;
Moreover (1)	105:21;122:22;	49:10;54:10;59:3;	119:9;132:22	136:21;145:24;146:3,
37:7	123:2	60:5,9;62:25;78:12;	non-areawide (2)	18,20;156:4;165:3,3
morning (5)	municipality (6)	82:9;84:2;87:20;	139:2;142:2	numbers (1)
108:23;109:25;	12:25;13:6;42:13;	141:23	nonconfidential (1)	76:17
137:16;165:16,17	73:20;105:8;121:17	need (27)	71:21	
most (11)	must (25)	4:12;7:15;18:4,9;	noncontiguous (2)	0
9:1;14:9;37:25;	5:21,22;13:13,14,	21:12;31:21;52:7,22;	13:24;49:9	
41:19;42:16;65:4,8;	15;18:3;32:12,13,14;	55:24;80:21;83:6;	nondiscrimination (3)	objecting (1)
70:19;102:12;103:22;	54:7,8,9;62:1,17,24;	90:13;107:23;108:7;	139:20,23;141:16	121:12
128:21	68:7;71:13;73:7;	112:17;115:21;	none (8)	objection (4)
mostly (4)	78:11;82:8;87:19;	119:24;130:20;132:7;	3:1;53:22;124:18,	2:24;51:23;155:2;
64:10;65:7;102:12;	95:13;96:18,22;131:4	135:25;139:4,18;	19;142:11;155:3;	167:4
129:9	mute (1)	141:24;143:4,7;	162:22;167:6	objections (2)
motion (39)	123:24	146:14;160:25	nonetheless (4)	
				10:14;19:16
2:15,20,25;3:1,9;	muted (2)	needed (3)	19:11;38:2;42:5;	obligated (1)
6:7;7:10,15,17,20;	112:6,7	74:19;99:1;166:3	46:3	107:14
38:12,16,18,19,23;	myself (4)	needn't (1)	nonorganized (1)	obligation (3)
39:5;135:12;136:2;	28:8;35:6;61:7;	52:25	107:18	94:2;96:12;97:5
149:24;150:21,21,23;	100:5	needs (5)	nonprofit (2)	observations (1)
152:6,17,19;154:8;		109:11,13;136:16;	121:13;143:3	166:6
155:2,3;158:1,7;	N	141:1;143:5	nor (5)	observe (1)
159:12;160:1,4,5,9;	•	negate (2)	18:2;103:13;	34:9
161:7;162:4;166:25;	nailed (1)	54:17;112:16	106:16;108:15;128:8	observed (1)
167:5	109:6	negative (1)	normally (1)	79:9
motions (2)	name (1)	89:2	90:3	obstacles (3)
	51:11			
152:8,11		neglected (2)	North (5)	21:11;107:19;
motivated (6)	names (3)	50:12;103:19	16:25;17:11;30:7,	163:25
25:4;45:25;52:21;	68:14;76:13;88:13	negligible (2)	11;50:6	obtain (1)
98:23;134:17;136:17	narrow (1)	64:13;68:10	Northern (4)	154:9
motivation (2)	24:4	negotiated (1)	64:2,5;73:14;81:24	obtaining (1)
46:1;134:9	narrowed (2)	45:17	northwest (3)	154:13
motivations (1)	34:6;35:11	negotiation (1)	15:21;16:25;92:16	obviously (8)
25:4	narrowing (1)	134:15	nosedive (1)	17:8;25:25;40:15;
move (18)	42:9	neighboring (4)	86:9	76:15;115:4;129:9;
7:23;21:16;31:8;	narrowly (1)	23:8;105:21;106:2;	notably (2)	150:22;163:5
54:21;71:4;78:2;85:2;	39:17	159:18	2:7;140:12	occasion (1)
99:3,4;110:11;	national (4)	neighbors (1)	note (2)	48:11
130:15,19;135:25;	33:23;42:5;80:18,	37:22	33:24;137:15	occupation (1)
154:6,23;158:9;	19	Nelson (1)	notebook (1)	103:8
162:20;167:1	native (3)	16:19	55:24	occurred (1)
moved (6)	33:22;74:15;103:15	net (1)	notes (1)	45:2
2:22;8:5;154:16,17,	Natives (1)	47:2	139:9	ocean (1)
22;159:16	140:2	neutral (1)	notice (3)	79:1
moving (3)	natural (10)	146:1	6:17,25;87:2	October (1)
152:1;158:3;163:23	13:7,13;14:10;	new (15)	notify (1)	15:9
much (11)	32:13,22;42:8;54:7;	3:18;12:5;19:14;	153:8	odd (1)
18:13;25:6,11;	59:1;95:23;106:24	23:3,4;45:11;91:8;	noting (1)	88:4
31:21;44:18;82:7;	naturally (2)	92:24;111:2,3,18;	139:1	off (19)
108:14;115:25;	34:9;161:5	113:25;114:1;125:7;	notion (2)	8:9;20:8;22:12;
122:20;134:3;142:22	nature (2)	149:19	48:19;98:21	34:24;42:24;44:4;
	21:1;23:6	newcomer (2)	notwithstanding (1)	
mulling (1)	· ·			77:19;78:1;87:11,12;
71:2	near (2)	109:19;122:24	101:21	88:6;100:14;122:12;
multiple (4)	70:13;142:12	next (13)	NOVEMBER (1)	130:10;137:7,12;
14:8;73:11;75:13,	nearly (1)	11:24;17:23;21:8;	2:1	155:11;156:7;165:18
22	36:10	33:18;57:14,18;	number (32)	official (1)
municipal (19)	neatly (1)	74:22;115:13,13;	3:18;12:2;13:5;	2:16
6:12;9:18;13:9,17;	41:20	134:20;139:21;	18:24;24:8;34:10;	offset (1)
14:2;32:16;49:11;	necessarily (8)	141:17;144:9	41:11;43:3;46:19;	79:24
54:11;59:4;78:13;	51:21;52:17;66:6;	nil (1)	55:2;57:20;72:5;	offshore (2)
84:3;87:21;101:17;	95:3;96:16;119:19;	47:3	102:7;103:2;104:9;	101:8;117:8
105:23;118:7;138:19,	147:5;149:14	Nobody (2)	105:1,6;107:5;	Oil (1)
24V. 17 L. 10.24	HCCCSSAL V (137	J1.1,11J.1J	111.43,111.44,43,	104.44
20;141:18,21	necessary (15)	31:7;115:15	111:25;117:22,23;	102:22

				,
ol (1)	47:18;64:19;102:5	45:10,25;49:2,21;	pages (2)	past (4)
161:14	openness (2)	50:1;51:20;52:18,22;	20:12;102:8	7:3;18:19;31:22;
old (1)	23:15;26:12	55:6;56:5;58:4;69:21;	paid (2)	45:13
47:24	operating (1)	79:16;83:13;84:18,	19:13;149:18	pathway (1)
olive (1)	82:19	21;86:21;90:1,12;	paragraph (2)	19:22
132:8	operation (1)	92:13;95:18;102:8;	16:13;149:3	patience (1)
omits (1)	87:5	108:3,16,25;109:9;	paraphrase (1)	137:17
73:15	operations (1)	110:9,12;113:15;	62:8	pattern (1)
once (4)	80:11	114:1;115:18;117:9;	paraphrased (1)	63:19
113:13;131:13;	opinion (4)	119:25,25;120:10,12;	74:5	patterns (5)
133:3,22	4:13;58:11;61:3;	121:9;131:6;134:1;	paraphrases (2)	32:19,21;62:16;
one (95)	123:8	158:12;159:6;160:12;	62:6;71:11	63:8;71:18
3:18;10:24;14:21;	opinions (1)	164:25;165:20	paraphrasing (1)	pay (1)
15:2,12;17:13;21:15;	162:16	outage (1)	157:4	121:18
24:3,22;25:4;26:16;	opportunities (3)	165:19	paren (2)	payment (1)
33:19;34:16;35:18,	80:6;93:2;123:7	outlying (1)	146:9,11	38:4
23;38:2,17;39:4;40:3,	opportunity (5)	73:13	Park (1)	pejoratively (1)
3;42:13,16;44:14;	6:18,23;41:25;	outside (7)	80:18	79:8
47:1,6;50:1;52:2,16,	124:2;148:23	50:25;70:17;95:1,2,	part (37)	Pelican (23)
16;53:3;54:4;55:17,	opposed (1) 26:15	21;96:5;106:7	2:16,21;13:12;16:4;	19:19;20:21;27:20,
17,19;57:14,24,25;	20.10	outsized (1) 79:15	17:9,17;24:14;26:1;	24;28:15;33:12,15;
58:9,22;59:25;60:1, 15;61:4,15;63:15;	<b>opposite (4)</b> 53:5;102:17;145:6;	outweighed (1)	27:8,9;29:15;30:16; 34:16;35:19;40:17;	39:14;40:16;47:22; 50:2;72:10;73:16;
65:12,25;66:16;	150:25	36:5	51:24;52:10;54:3;	77:24;80:4,14;81:18;
68:21;69:25;74:25;	opposition (1)	over (18)	57:2;58:14;65:16;	111:11;119:1,14;
75:16;76:21;84:17;	31:9	5:11;20:11;21:8;	68:18;91:11;100:1;	126:11;146:9;159:19
86:4,6;87:17;88:16,	optimum (8)	33:25;39:23;44:25;	103:16;108:23;	Peninsula (4)
18;89:25;91:10,18;	43:6,11;46:21,24;	71:2;77:20;87:25;	116:23;119:11;	16:7;17:1;30:11;
101:4;102:20;103:21;	47:14;48:17;57:22;	91:2;98:10;117:12,	130:16;131:7,13;	117:13
105:1;106:8;108:24;	59:19	22;144:22;156:10;	133:10,24;134:23;	people (30)
111:10;112:1;113:15;	option (4)	157:17;161:13;	136:20;148:25;	23:3;26:14,15;
115:13,19;116:20;	36:2;134:18;135:5,	162:16	158:24	30:14;35:5;42:3;
121:11;122:4;123:9;	13	overall (1)	participate (1)	45:25;46:9;61:25;
124:9;130:17;131:22;	optional (1)	84:19	66:25	63:20;69:11;74:18;
133:15,15;134:7,7;	93:24	overwhelming (1)	participated (1)	75:7;87:13;114:3,21,
138:16;141:17;	order (5)	24:17	118:21	25;115:23;116:17;
142:14;143:22;146:5,	10:12,15;40:23;	own (10)	participation (1)	117:17,22;118:5;
8,19;147:24;153:20;	141:14;144:8	18:3,14;28:11;	166:24	120:20,23;122:12;
163:16;165:3	organizational (1)	36:22;40:13,17;	particular (23)	128:14;129:15;
ones (5)	82:22	85:10,18;86:2;123:6	4:21;27:19;34:1,13;	136:16;157:4;161:3
37:14;83:20;	organized (11)	owners (3)	38:3;39:9;40:14;52:7,	peoples (1)
107:21;117:23;	12:12;14:15;21:1;	01.4 24.00.21		peoples (1)
128:22	12.12,11.13,21.1,	81:4,24;88:21	8;54:18;85:16;87:5;	103:15
	51:7;62:20;65:10;	ownership (2)	91:4;94:11;98:1;	103:15 <b>per (2)</b>
			91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2;	103:15 <b>per (2)</b> 88:9;94:22
<b>only (24)</b> 5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2;	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10)	ownership (2)	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13,
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 <b>others (10)</b> 13:21;15:23;36:25;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 <b>others (10)</b> 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P package (1)	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 <b>Particularly (3)</b> 20:19;79:15;103:6	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 <b>others (10)</b> 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P  package (1) 116:24	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 <b>others (10)</b> 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P  package (1) 116:24 page (44)	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 <b>others (10)</b> 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 <b>otherwise (7)</b>	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 <b>others (10)</b> 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 <b>otherwise (7)</b> 28:24;40:6;45:9,15;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18)	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19  P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15;
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3)	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19  P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 <b>open (18)</b> 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19  P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 <b>open (18)</b> 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4)	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20;
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1; 128:23;129:15;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4) 3:11;4:17;27:5;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8; 73:25;78:3,5;82:6;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23 passed (3)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20; 103:22
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1; 128:23;129:15; 130:17;133:1;149:8;	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4) 3:11;4:17;27:5; 35:9	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8; 73:25;78:3,5;82:6; 100:8;102:7;103:24,	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23 passed (3) 26:4;120:18,19	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20; 103:22 period (2)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1; 128:23;129:15; 130:17;133:1;149:8; 154:12;155:11,23	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3 others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4) 3:11;4:17;27:5; 35:9 out (59)	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19 P package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8; 73:25;78:3,5;82:6; 100:8;102:7;103:24, 25;104:1,2,17;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23 passed (3) 26:4;120:18,19 passenger (4)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20; 103:22 period (2) 6:25;24:5
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1; 128:23;129:15; 130:17;133:1;149:8; 154:12;155:11,23 opened (1)	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3  others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4) 3:11;4:17;27:5; 35:9 out (59) 5:5;10:7,12,15;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19  P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8; 73:25;78:3,5;82:6; 100:8;102:7;103:24, 25;104:1,2,17; 105:18;138:17,23;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23 passed (3) 26:4;120:18,19 passenger (4) 79:1,9,23;80:9	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20; 103:22 period (2) 6:25;24:5 permanent (2)
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1; 128:23;129:15; 130:17;133:1;149:8; 154:12;155:11,23 opened (1) 46:17	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3  others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4) 3:11;4:17;27:5; 35:9 out (59) 5:5;10:7,12,15; 24:15,19;25:12;26:6;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19  P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8; 73:25;78:3,5;82:6; 100:8;102:7;103:24, 25;104:1,2,17; 105:18;138:17,23; 139:21;141:18;144:9;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23 passed (3) 26:4;120:18,19 passenger (4) 79:1,9,23;80:9 passes (5)	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20; 103:22 period (2) 6:25;24:5 permanent (2) 71:22;72:3
5:1;7:18;9:9;21:20; 34:11;35:3;36:4; 38:19;41:22;48:9,22; 58:13;59:17;61:18; 77:11;79:23;101:24; 109:15;133:4,4; 136:20;137:5;139:1; 142:3 open (18) 8:6;18:21;19:6; 24:24;46:5;56:21,23; 64:21;119:3;124:1; 128:23;129:15; 130:17;133:1;149:8; 154:12;155:11,23 opened (1)	51:7;62:20;65:10; 97:16;105:12;107:14; 109:24;164:3  others (10) 13:21;15:23;36:25; 48:18;52:14;55:19; 58:3;69:18;119:7; 160:21 otherwise (7) 28:24;40:6;45:9,15; 129:8;148:12;151:18 ought (3) 90:5;99:19;123:1 ourselves (4) 3:11;4:17;27:5; 35:9 out (59) 5:5;10:7,12,15;	ownership (2) 30:15;32:19  P  package (1) 116:24 page (44) 8:13,16;10:13;11:4; 17:23;18:24;35:25; 37:1;40:24;41:11; 47:20,20;56:21,24; 61:17,18;63:22,23; 64:20,21;71:5,6;72:8; 73:25;78:3,5;82:6; 100:8;102:7;103:24, 25;104:1,2,17; 105:18;138:17,23;	91:4;94:11;98:1; 101:8;109:21;135:2; 140:14;143:25;144:2; 157:1,5 Particularly (3) 20:19;79:15;103:6 parties (2) 134:15,16 parts (2) 54:14;129:6 PAS (1) 18:11 pass (2) 74:20;152:23 passed (3) 26:4;120:18,19 passenger (4) 79:1,9,23;80:9	103:15 per (2) 88:9;94:22 percent (6) 33:25;36:8,10,13, 15;108:10 percentage (1) 37:15 perceptions (1) 114:15 perfect (6) 21:17;22:2,4;48:15; 50:15;108:1 perhaps (4) 10:18;29:12;48:20; 103:22 period (2) 6:25;24:5 permanent (2)

p

p

P

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

p

LBC Xunaa Borough I
permitted (2) 4:2;58:6
person (2) 113:6;147:2
Personal (4)
64:7,9;65:5;83:5 personally (2)
15:6;65:15 persons (4)
80:23;82:1;83:8;
147:2 perspective (5)
22:10;27:13;39:13;
114:8;122:14 persuading (1)
73:21 <b>persuasive (3)</b>
13:22;72:4;73:3
pertain (1) 7:2
pertains (1)
4:6 pertinent (1)
128:21 <b>Petersburg (8)</b>
26:24;27:9;85:15,
22;94:11,20,23;95:11 <b>petition (93)</b>
3:22;6:7,15,20;
7:19,25;8:13,17; 15:12;19:12,23;
20:18;22:14,21;
23:19,23;25:19,22;
27:15;29:20;33:3; 38:20;39:4,6;40:3;
59:14;64:10;70:22;
73:12,21;78:7;81:9,
13;82:4;85:8;94:21,
22;96:8;97:25;102:6; 105:6,24;106:1,17;
107:2,4,25;111:21,23;
114:21;116:24;119:3,
4,13;125:10,19;126:3, 4,5;130:3;131:1;
133:8;134:19;136:3,
8;138:1,6,17;139:24;
141:12;142:22;144:5, 16;145:7,8,12;146:1;
148:10;149:17,25;
150:8,23;151:1,22,25;
152:7,12;156:18; 157:14;158:2,13;
160:10;166:12
petitioner (17)
72:12,20;73:2; 79:17;81:17;84:24;
95:8,15;105:9;106:2;
117:20;138:24;139:3,
13,22;142:2;149:19 <b>petitioners (2)</b>
7:25;19:18
petitioner's (7)
2:8;14:21;18:18;

ecisional Meeting
85:5;94:18;96:2;
105:18 <b>petitioning (2)</b>
8:15;29:4
<b>petition's (1)</b> 8:15
ph (1)
29:9
<b>phone (1)</b> 156:4
phones (1)
165:20 <b>physical (1)</b>
28:3 pick (1)
45:12
picture (2)
28:17;121:3 <b>piece (1)</b>
116:8
<b>piecemeal (1)</b> 133:3
pieces (1)
54:13 <b>PILT (4)</b>
38:4;45:5;120:4;
134:12 <b>pipe (3)</b>
19:20;26:3;46:12
<b>place (11)</b> 20:24;29:22;30:19;
84:6;87:15;121:14;
123:7;128:8;131:9, 24;157:21
placed (1)
131:1 placement (1)
6:15
plan (4) 9:25;138:18,25;
139:9
plane (1) 165:16
planning (16)
83:21;84:8,12,16,
16;85:1,21;86:2; 89:22,23;93:23;94:8;
25;96:20,21;111:4
<b>platforms (1)</b> 155:21
platting (9)
84:12;85:21;94:25; 95:9,14,20;96:20,21;
111:4
plausibility (1) 82:18
play (1)
42:8 <b>playing (2)</b>
121:9;134:14
please (5) 48:8;61:5;74:7;
153:2;161:18

1115	
lenty (1)	
98:23	p
<b>lotting (1)</b> 84:10	_
2M (2)	p
137:15;156:9	
oint (35) 21:20;22:3;25:9;	
29:17;32:9;33:18;	
39:24;51:12;52:22; 64:13;68:9;78:24;	p
79:11,18;80:3;85:4;	p
86:20;90:20;91:14;	
93:9;97:21;107:9; 112:18,20;119:22;	p
120:12;125:11,13;	
129:13;133:12;134:2; 153:8;159:2;163:8;	p
164:23	p
ointed (6)	_
24:19;27:3;49:21; 50:1;58:4;69:21	р
ointing (1)	P
26:6 oints (5)	р
3:25;24:1;51:20;	P
52:17;83:13	
olicy (1) 102:21	p
olitical (2)	p
99:24;102:21 <b>olitically (1)</b>	р
48:13	P
ondering (1) 112:24	p
opulation (59)	p
10:8;11:23;12:8,15,	
19,25;14:10;33:17; 34:3;37:5,8;41:9;	p
57:16;64:12;68:9;	p
71:5,9,12,18,18,24; 72:8,13,15,23;73:4,8;	
74:3,9,12,18,21;75:6,	p
19;76:18,24;77:1,10,	
11,13;81:1,15;83:10; 93:14;98:16;102:3;	p
105:5,11,14;106:19;	p
107:3;111:22;144:14, 18,19,20;145:18;	n
147:25;148:1	p
opulations (1)	p
42:16 ort (1)	p
86:17	r
ortion (4) 2:4,6,7;92:12	p
osition (8)	p
27:21,22;39:22;	
40:2;59:11,11; 140:19;158:3	p
ositive (7)	p
7:15;24:16,17; 38:22;83:14;97:16;	m
50.44,05.14,77.10,	p

```
89:24
  160:21
 ossibility (3)
  54:18;55:11;131:21
 ossible (16)
  12:20;34:4;41:10;
  43:14;47:25;48:9,12;
  57:17;71:10;73:12;
  74:4;75:14,23;91:7;
  143:22;154:4
ossibly (1)
  101:15
ostpone (1)
  50:18
otential (6)
  8:18;23:8;92:14;
  112:14;131:18;144:7
 otentially (1)
  27:25
 ower (7)
  94:7;95:4;96:22;
  97:13,18,22;165:19
 owers (4)
  84:12;96:18;111:3,
ractical (5)
  48:1;89:9;91:8;
  138:18,21
 ractice (2)
  108:13;165:5
 recedent (2)
  17:24;27:4
recedential (1)
  48:10
recedents (1)
  14:23
redicated (1)
  98:20
 redict (2)
  124:20,25
 redictable (5)
  80:25;81:14;83:9;
  93:13:98:15
refer (3)
  32:3;34:22;136:14
referable (2)
  8:18;134:13
 reference (1)
  36:19
 reliminary (3)
  8:8;94:19;95:7
 remised (1)
  87:9
 repared (3)
  43:1;96:16;153:20
 reparing (1)
  146:25
 rerogatives (1)
  39:2
 resence (3)
  35:4;41:23;166:23
 resent (2)
  66:7;100:17
presentations (1)
```

LBC Xunaa Borough De	ecisional Meeting	ı	ı	November 12, 2024
proceedings (1)	87:18;92:13;94:23;	purpose (2)	123:10,11	reasonability (1)
135:14	99:8;104:23;105:2;	11:9;154:12	rapidly (1)	82:18
process (16)	106:7;116:12;117:4;	purposes (6)	22:1	reasonable (4)
21:13,19;25:22;	124:23;138:20,22;	7:18;25:7;29:20;	rate (1)	32:20;80:25;89:11;
31:3,5;50:18,19;	139:8;142:22;144:20;	38:18;146:14;154:24	29:16	90:18
60:22;88:15;108:4;	149:14;150:16;	pursuant (1)	rather (11)	reasonably (12)
109:16;112:24;	151:22	154:11	11:3;17:4;47:19,19;	32:24;81:14;82:13,
146:25;152:25;153:9;	proposes (5)	pursue (1)	73:9;78:15;85:18;	14,17;83:3,9;88:23;
162:16	89:8;105:7,9;142:8;	41:16	88:4;95:24;113:4;	93:12;98:15;104:13;
processes (1)	144:17	push (1)	145:1	141:23
84:16	proposing (3)	141:8	ratification (1)	reasons (11)
producing (1)	85:1;94:24;142:2	put (19)	95:15	8:14,15;18:25;19:2;
122:3	prospective (4)	7:17;26:7;27:21,22;	rays (1)	28:22;40:3,4;105:16;
professor (2)	16:21;17:3,25;	39:5,21;49:4;107:19,	24:20	118:19;130:7;149:4
113:13;115:20	104:15	22;108:20;113:14;	<b>REAA</b> (6)	recap (1)
progress (1)	prospectively (1)	123:6;125:19;130:20;	33:24;40:18;41:6;	126:7
113:16	116:3	131:11;157:12;158:9;	57:11;139:6;146:10	receipt (1)
progressive (1)	prospering (2)	159:4,16	reach (5)	82:21
113:4	121:14,16	puts (3)	102:15;103:5;	receipts (1)
projects (1)	protect (2)	22:18;40:2;153:6	120:1;131:6,24	38:5
79:23	45:10,11	putting (2)	read (26)	receive (2)
promotes (9)	proud (1)	122:11;125:18	3:3;18:20;26:16;	3:3;88:23
11:17;12:2;43:2;	35:3		28:7,22;34:20;35:19;	received (7)
46:18;57:19,20;	provide (33)	Q	46:3;47:17;55:21;	10:20;81:3,5,7,8;
104:7,9,23	6:17;11:10;13:16;		57:1;59:25;62:7;	83:12;88:22
promoting (1)	20:1;32:15;45:20;	qualify (1)	78:15,21;91:4;95:8;	receives (1)
42:22	54:10;59:4;60:9;	144:2	96:9;104:2,19;	7:10
proof (1)	78:12;80:13;81:25;	quantify (1)	108:22;109:25;	receiving (3)
67:15	82:10;84:2;85:17;	112:13	128:18;129:6;136:20;	81:11;125:3;154:24
proper (3)	87:20,25;91:8;92:20;	quasi-judicial (1)	148:25	recent (2)
45:20;149:16;	94:2,7;96:12;112:16,	4:25	reading (9)	16:14;77:18
166:16	17;124:21,22;126:5;	questionnaire (4)	38:3,25;42:25;45:8,	recently (1) 16:8
<b>property (3)</b> 81:24;83:2;88:21	139:1;142:2,6;147:1; 148:24;161:4	62:6;147:8,16,19	19;84:23;132:23; 143:15,21	recess (1)
proportion (1)	provided (2)	quick (1) 65:1	ready (5)	56:17
79:16	12:14;139:4	quickly (1)	5:11;26:19;91:25;	recognition (1)
proposal (20)	provides (4)	108:9	100:15,23	103:7
8:14;11:21;17:20;	41:24;95:19;	quiet (2)	real (6)	recognize (5)
19:14;43:2;46:18;	104:21;160:16	127:23;166:23	15:3;16:5;24:2;	99:23;103:15;
51:15;57:20;67:1,8,	providing (8)	quite (8)	89:6;136:20;147:14	120:15;125:1,8
25;105:3,13;106:10,	79:20;83:15;85:21;	14:18;69:2;77:8;	realize (6)	recognized (3)
14;111:16;144:12;	93:22;98:25;104:12;	78:21;88:8;110:9;	27:19;37:23;42:2;	36:1;81:10;113:1
145:16;149:19;150:8	138:25;166:5	119:5;132:16	90:20;132:20;141:3	recognizes (2)
propose (1)	provision (1)	quote (9)	realized (1)	140:3,4
10:11	66:8	42:14;71:8;74:2;	33:7	recollection (2)
proposed (100)	proximity (4)	77:6;79:8;105:11,12;	realizing (1)	77:7;85:23
5:21;6:6,9,12,22,	64:15;65:13;68:11;	107:2,4	21:25	recommendation (1)
23;7:5,9,10,12;8:23,	106:23	quoted (1)	really (30)	145:9
25;9:14,17,19;11:17;	public (17)	92:9	5:5;7:2;15:2;22:23;	recommended (1)
12:1,7;13:6,12,24;	2:16;3:21;6:17,18,	_	25:5;31:10;34:6;	6:21
23:2;32:12,25;33:2,5;	22;40:14;63:6,11;	R	37:20;40:8;43:9;	recommending (1)
34:2;41:8;43:2,5,5,	71:20;105:25;106:6;		49:22;52:23;67:8;	7:17
10;45:22;46:18,20;	114:19;135:1;141:2;	railroad (2)	76:2,9;92:17;93:4;	recommends (4)
47:13;49:8;54:6,15;	155:23;156:14;	115:11,14	108:14;109:1,4;	19:12;106:13;
57:15,18,21;59:13;	163:15	raise (2)	112:18;122:13;	145:2;149:17
60:2;62:1,11,14,19,	publication (1)	84:7;110:16	125:13,21;134:9;	reconsideration (1)
20,24;63:10,11,15,21;	14:16	raised (2)	140:22;141:7;147:23;	162:18
66:6,11,19;68:1; 71:13,14,25;72:1,16,	published (1) 109:8	90:10;142:4	150:1;163:1 realm (1)	record (34) 2:16,21;3:2,21;
19;73:13;75:19,21;	pull (3)	raises (2) 22:20;23:9	22:11	4:11,19;5:2;17:17;
78:8,10;80:23;81:9;	10:7;55:12;113:18	1	reason (4)	18:11;41:2;43:24;
82:2,8,14,15,18,20,	pulled (2)	range (1) 26:13	10:15;31:7;48:2;	44:2,4,22;100:13,14,
23;83:1,8;84:1,21;	89:25;114:2	ranting (2)	133:25	16,22,24;107:22;
23,03.1,0,07.1,21,	07.23,117.2	randing (2)	133.23	10,22,27,107.22,

LDC Aunaa Borough De		I	I	110Veiliber 12, 2024
122:25;128:9;129:6,	33:18,19,22;36:22;	relevant (7)	reply (2)	research (2)
7;137:7,12,14,15;	37:6;49:24;51:7;54:8;	32:18;60:12;62:9;	79:5;95:6	32:25;72:21
156:7,9,25;157:12;	59:2;61:21,23;67:6,8,	71:16;80:21;82:12;	report (63)	resend (1)
158:9;159:16	24;68:3,6,16,16;69:7;	104:6	5:3;8:12;18:11,11,	56:4
recording (1)	73:10,23;84:11;	reliance (1)	21,23;24:15;26:7;	reservations (3)
137:14	92:19;105:4;113:9;	106:24	33:11;34:8;35:16;	83:25;92:4;148:2
records (1)	144:13;145:17;149:4,	relied (1)	37:2;41:11,12;46:4;	residency (1)
147:7	10,16	45:14	50:1;52:17;61:17,18;	71:17
recreational (1)	regions (1)	relieve (1)	64:23;65:6;71:6;72:7;	
80:6	15:24	104:11	78:5;92:9;93:12;	8:20;9:8,12,18;
rectified (1)	regions' (1)	rely (1)	94:19;95:7;100:2;	19:25;23:4;64:4;
16:7	19:6	85:17	102:17;103:13,25;	66:20;68:1;72:3;73:5;
Red (3)	region's (4)	relying (5)	104:17,17;105:10;	80:1;81:4,23;83:5;
92:8;93:6;98:10	80:12,16;146:9;	84:9;85:2,9;94:12,	106:20;110:3,17;	84:15;88:21;106:6;
redressed (1)	149:9	14	111:5;112:2;116:1;	140:5;141:24;142:3;
146:14	registration (1)	remaining (1)	125:16;127:25,25;	144:21
reduce (2)	144:4	36:13	128:19;129:13,22;	residing (1)
19:24;146:3	regrettable (1)	remarkably (1)	136:9;138:23;139:22;	96:5
reducing (1)	103:10	42:9	140:2;141:17;142:1;	resignation (2)
163:25	regular (2)	remarks (2)	144:16;145:25;147:7;	164:6;165:24
reference (1)	63:12,13	67:20;150:1	149:1,4,22;162:25;	resolution (1)
14:17	Regulation (22)	remedy (1)	163:4,9,23	45:17
references (1)	3:14;4:2;5:19;11:1,	106:9	reported (1)	resolve (2)
57:5	7,14;28:6;49:14;	remember (6)	66:4	49:22;134:17
referencing (1)	50:24;62:7;64:18;	24:20;117:14;	reports (1)	resource (3)
129:21	68:5,14;80:19;91:11;	122:4;128:2;132:22;	35:17	83:4;87:5;113:21
referred (1)	104:19;106:21;	143:15	report's (1)	resources (27)
98:14	141:19;144:10;	remembering (1)	111:20	10:9;23:7;28:23;
reflected (1)	160:15;162:8,19	85:6	represent (3)	42:8;58:12;59:7;78:2,
18:10	regulations (6)	remind (5)	9:14;27:1;161:3	7,12;82:6,9;84:2,21;
reflective (1)	13:10;29:7;61:20;	4:17;5:16;28:8;	representation (14)	86:6;87:9,19;89:18;
75:5	62:5;88:5,14	38:16;162:7	19:9;25:16;45:21;	91:22,25;92:5;95:23;
reflects (1)	regulatory (2)	reminded (3)	66:24;67:18;121:20;	98:12;99:1;106:24;
18:19	96:4;105:24	7:14;31:15;119:8	133:17,19;134:11;	113:3;148:2;157:9
regard (3)	reiterate (3)	reminder (2)	142:19,25;143:18;	respect (6)
14:23;71:15;93:8	133:6;147:17;	4:8;44:23	149:12,16	14:10;34:20;41:2;
<b>regarding (15)</b> 9:5;46:8;65:13;	166:21 <b>reject (1)</b>	reminding (2) 43:18;44:2	representative (5) 2:9;131:15;140:6,	101:19;150:9;154:14
71:22;89:21;102:6;	7:9	reminds (1)	24;142:20	respondent (1) 81:5
118:22;128:19;	rejected (1)	88:3	represented (7)	response (3)
129:14,15;131:3;	7:12	remodeled (1)	4:4;42:17;117:20;	94:18,19;106:2
135:1;141:9;158:2,3	rejoin (1)	79:1	120:3,9;140:13;	responsibility (2)
region (50)	38:13	Remote (1)	143:13	104:12;110:25
8:21;9:8,21;10:1;	rejoined (1)	9:12	requested (4)	rest (4)
14:5,6,6;16:5,10,18,	44:20	rendered (1)	4:3;29:19;84:24;	31:23;110:6;
19,23;17:5,10,12;	related (1)	64:13	101:7	146:12;152:25
19:11,17;20:5,25;	11:6	repeat (4)	requests (2)	restate (2)
21:17;33:9,12,17;	relation (1)	24:7;48:21;148:15;	14:24;162:18	53:7,25
34:1;35:25;39:15;	15:11	159:13	require (2)	restatement (1)
40:5;43:6,12;46:22;	relationship (1)	repeated (2)	36:21;38:24	160:1
47:14;52:10,11,20;	74:15	61:22;148:13	required (6)	restates (1)
57:23;58:12;64:8;	relative (1)	repeatedly (1)	7:1;38:21;72:3;	61:19
68:19;72:22;80:2;	109:19	46:7	74:12;130:22;151:2	restating (1)
93:6;103:17;106:3,	relatively (1)	repeating (1)	requirement (8)	38:16
12;110:11;114:18;	14:7	104:18	73:22;74:21;75:2,	restrict (2)
133:25;134:5;149:13;	relax (1)	rephrase (3)	18;97:6;105:24;	29:18;108:20
153:10	75:17	66:10;84:25;124:13	134:25;138:25	restricting (2)
regional (50)	relaxing (1)	replaced (1)	requirements (3)	39:3,17
11:22;13:14;14:13;	75:1	146:6	51:2,15;144:4	restriction (1)
15:15,19;16:1,16,22;	release (1)	replacement (1)	requires (2)	108:21
17:2,5;18:25;19:2,8;	153:6	164:8	6:9;109:5	result (1)
20:3,22;23:16,21;	released (2)	replicates (1)	requiring (2)	110:13
28:23,25;32:13;	153:21;163:7	82:7	95:16;131:5	results (1)
-	1	t .	t .	1

LBC Aunaa Borough De	ecisional Meeting			November 12, 202
152:4	Rules (3)	63:2	9:17	set (8)
return (1)	7:14;135:13,23	scheduling (1)	selected (1)	14:23;18:4;37:10;
155:24		153:10	70:10	
	running (2) 165:15;166:1	scheme (1)	selections (1)	74:17;95:18;110:9;
revenue (15) 20:4;35:1;45:11,14;	rural (8)	17:20	70:22	141:8;155:6 sets (1)
71:22;79:21,23;	21:1;62:11;65:3,3,	school (11)	self (2)	17:24
81:11;82:16;92:12,	8,17;67:13;70:16	40:17;42:14,15,18;	36:5;57:19	setting (1)
14,15,22;122:3,10	6,17,07.13,70.10	73:1;111:8,9,11;	self-governance (1)	79:16
revenues (7)	S	139:12;146:9,10	144:25	settlement (1)
40:6,12;45:4;90:10;		school-aged (1)	self-government (6)	25:3
120:6;122:15;134:12	Sadly (1)	139:5	11:18;22:20;104:8,	seven-member (1)
review (9)	15:4	schools (1)	24;106:17;144:11	139:10
14:20;25:21;37:4;	sadness (2)	71:20	self-sustaining (2)	several (2)
56:17;88:10,15;	109:21;110:14	score (1)	76:25;93:8	54:19;134:3
109:8;119:4;135:9	safer (1)	34:5	send (3)	shall (10)
reviewed (3)	87:15	screen (1)	42:18;135:6;155:12	6:17;12:11,13,15,
14:20;157:16;	safety (1)	27:22	send-off (1)	18;32:6;43:24;53:4;
158:22	42:23	screens (1)	166:17	56:10;76:5
reviewing (2)	sake (1)	44:14	sense (15)	share (12)
33:2;59:13	3:11	se (1)	17:7,11,20;28:3,4,	14:9;20:7;25:13;
revised (1)	sales (2)	94:22	4;37:21;52:24;58:7;	26:19;40:11;45:4;
33:8	83:18;142:8	seasonal (6)	91:17;124:16;133:21;	49:6;66:23;68:15;
revising (2)	same (11)	71:18;74:11;75:4;	140:21;159:12;165:6	77:15;99:22;106:22
49:20;136:9	23:6;27:24;34:16;	76:18;83:18;142:8	sensible (1)	shared (2)
rewarded (1)	49:15;55:8;74:24;	seat (5)	18:14	40:7;120:6
122:19	89:21;90:24;95:10;	25:13;63:11;120:2;	sent (1)	sharing (2)
rid (1)	130:14;142:17	143:25;144:1	15:10	92:22;134:12
47:4	satisfied (7)	Second (11)	separate (3)	sheet (2)
right (27)	5:22;60:22;99:16;	2:23;8:2,3;12:24;	29:2,15;155:7	137:24;138:15
3:5;12:17;24:10;	100:6;146:7;148:7,20	29:1;154:18,19;	September (2)	ship (4)
25:9;27:22;31:9;	satisfies (2)	160:3,5;167:2,3	46:8;102:6	74:15;78:25;79:10;
38:14;43:8;48:11;	126:8;138:24	seconded (3)	serve (5)	87:10
49:5;56:2;58:19;	satisfy (3)	7:18;8:5;154:23	80:23;82:1,3;83:8;	ships (8)
67:11;77:7;85:16;	53:13;106:10;	Secondly (1)	164:7	41:18;42:2;80:9,10;
86:23;108:1;124:8;	148:11	164:4	served (3)	86:16,23;122:6,12
129:11;130:10;137:9;	satisfying (1)	Section (21)	25:11;130:2;138:6	shopping (1)
138:13;142:18;155:9,	54:18	11:18,24;12:3,9;	service (21)	79:2
16;160:16;164:12	save (1)	13:20;37:7;48:8;53:1;	63:13,15;64:1;	short (3)
rightfully (1)	159:8	63:24;66:9;71:7;73:5;	81:11;85:18;88:1;	21:2;31:11;137:1
118:24	saw (4)	74:1;78:8;84:8;95:17;	90:7,8;91:14;94:16;	shortest (1)
rights (1)	69:11;92:8;130:14,	104:24;115:11,12;	95:21;118:19;139:3;	138:21
140:22	16	139:23;162:17	140:20,23;141:4,9;	shortly (1)
rigid (2)	saying (15)	sections (1)	164:11,14;165:22;	164:6 show (2)
17:22;18:8 risks (1)	48:10;65:25;74:9; 113:23;114:15;115:1;	115:14 sector (1)	166:13 services (47)	41:22;87:12
104:14		72:25	13:9,17;14:2;20:1,	showcase (1)
River (1)	117:25;118:3;126:16; 129:5;132:4,12;	seek (2)	5;23:4;32:16;49:12;	41:23
38:1	134:3;135:7;161:13	84:14;159:6	54:11;59:5;62:20,22;	showed (1)
roadway (1)	scale (17)	seem (6)	64:7;78:13;82:10;	96:8
63:12	11:22;13:14;32:13;	52:9;65:4;66:22;	83:16,19;84:3;87:21;	showing (3)
Robert's (2)	54:8;59:2;61:21,23;	79:15;81:25;133:24	88:20;89:10;91:3,9;	13:22;34:24;72:5
7:14;38:21	67:6,24;68:3,6,17;	seemed (6)	92:19;93:23;94:3;	shown (1)
role (3)	79:14;105:4;111:14;	15:23;79:11;87:2;	96:13;104:12;112:14,	74:13
4:25;21:12;112:13	144:13;145:17	125:16;128:21;	15,17;121:19;124:21,	shows (1)
roll (3)	scaled (1)	156:15	22;125:3;131:17;	27:16
151:2,4;161:17	79:12	seems (11)	138:20;139:2;141:2,	side (1)
room (1)	scene (1)	39:10;40:10;43:9;	19,21,22;142:3,5,10,	138:2
18:13	109:3	55:7;85:25;86:13;	17;161:4	sideways (1)
rub (3)	schedule (1)	97:22;112:25;114:13;	session (8)	44:14
75:15;116:16;119:2	89:11	121:8,24	4:5;154:5,8,23;	sift (1)
rule (5)	scheduled (2)	sees (1)	155:5,24;156:7,15	129:20
12:24;38:22;105:7;	63:12,25	113:16	session's (1)	significant (17)
144:25;145:3	schedules (1)	select (1)	108:19	9:12;11:22;22:21;

EDC Runau Borough B	ecisional Meeting	T	1	110101111111111111111111111111111111111
23:5;36:6;37:16;	slowly (1)	90:23;153:20;	sport (1)	107:9
56:10;88:25;91:12;	4:10	160:19	80:5	Star (2)
92:12;105:4,11,13,14;	small (7)	sorry (20)	spread (1)	164:16,16
106:19;144:14;	14:25;15:15;17:12;	4:22;18:16;43:18;	113:8	start (7)
145:18	37:14;140:17;142:9;	60:19;65:9;96:6;	Springs (20)	11:3;31:3,5;47:4;
significantly (2)	143:17	123:18,23;124:10;	19:20;20:21;27:20,	74:8;131:6;152:3
19:23;144:18	smaller (5)	127:21,22;133:7;	25;28:15;33:16;	started (4)
signs (2)	42:2;58:15;77:1;	150:23;151:20;152:9,	39:13;40:17;47:22;	96:17;133:1;
19:5;149:7	140:12;149:16	10;157:10;158:20;	48:25;50:3;72:10;	137:13;160:12
similar (7)	smallest (1)	161:10;165:18	73:16;77:25;80:4;	starting (2)
14:9;26:9;49:4;	111:10	sort (5)	81:19;119:14;126:11;	22:12;105:18
65:8;81:21;94:23;	smallish (1)	95:2;98:4;134:8;	135:3;159:19	starts (1)
131:2	121:15	135:23;145:6	square (1)	113:15
Similarly (1)	small-scale (1)	Sound (3)	144:22	State (77)
17:3	80:9	17:4,9;152:2	squash (1)	5:25;6:3,5;7:7,8;
simple (1)	SMITH (39)	Sounds (1)	114:14	8:20;10:10;12:11;
38:17	2:4;24:11;38:6,8;	43:25	stable (6)	14:14;15:4;19:3,11;
simply (7)	44:19;56:13;85:11,	source (1)	13:3;71:14;72:1,15,	21:10;32:10;36:21;
25:10;29:11;31:16;	20;94:17;96:14;	45:14	23;75:20	41:24;56:7;69:1,22;
39:5;53:9;114:6; 122:23	100:25;129:19;130:9;	sources (2)	staff (41) 2:11;7:14;8:12;	79:22;84:7;85:3,3,9,
simultaneous (6)	151:3,5,8,10,12,14;	18:12;45:11	10:2;26:8;31:14;	17;87:13;93:1,3,24, 25;94:2,14;96:11,12;
35:12;59:9;85:19;	152:6,24;153:3,19; 155:8,17,22;156:3;	<b>south (1)</b> 30:19	40:25;43:1;48:1;50:1;	97:4,8,19;100:8;
117:24;127:15;129:4	159:11,14;161:17,19,	Southcentral (1)	55:25;63:23;64:10;	101:24;104:5,11,13,
single (7)	21,23,25;162:4,10,15,	67:14	65:6;66:4;68:8;72:11;	20,25;106:15;107:13,
16:10;17:14,15;	24;163:1	Southeast (3)	78:5,19;79:9;80:16;	23;108:7;110:7,23;
18:5;29:11;81:6,7	snowing (2)	15:22;67:15;70:15	81:3,5,13;82:4;83:13,	111:1,11,13,18;
site (1)	44:9,10	southern (1)	14;88:7;101:10;	112:11;114:6;116:5;
66:6	snowmachines (1)	28:19	103:14;106:13;107:4;	117:16;121:25;
Sitka (2)	63:17	speak (7)	111:23;128:20;140:3;	123:14,25;124:5;
27:24;50:4	soapbox (1)	4:10;20:16;29:25;	145:2,9;146:23;	125:24;130:2;136:19;
Sitka's (1)	42:24	61:5;98:2;107:12;	149:17;150:5;151:3	137:22,25;138:6;
50:4	social (4)	140:25	staff's (1)	145:4;148:9;149:6;
sitting (1)	13:2;14:11;61:24;	SPEAKER (2)	46:4	150:14;157:3,11,22;
4:25	102:21	100:9;154:16	stage (2)	164:2;166:13
situation (7)	socially (1)	speaking (7)	18:4;134:21	stated (8)
14:22;18:1;29:7;	37:9	4:13,15,15,16,18;	stake (1)	8:13;36:15;61:19;
39:11;45:4;115:4;	socioeconomic (1)	34:9;55:13	52:19	84:9;95:7;124:13;
160:14	72:21	specially (1)	Stand (2)	139:13;164:23
situations (1)	sold (1)	4:9	129:22;167:6	statehood (5)
58:5	122:6	specific (5)	standard (37)	48:11;120:24;
six (1)	solution (1)	13:22;72:4;73:2;	18:10;32:7;53:13;	121:1,2,2
92:10	143:22	131:4;141:8	54:19;60:21;61:8,19,	statement (15)
size (2)	solved (1)	specifically (9)	21;64:11;65:19;66:3;	2:8,11,12,15,21;
17:4;70:1	30:13	14:24;22:15;23:14;	67:4;71:1;72:14;73:8;	3:4;21:2;47:18;48:7;
Skagway (8)	somebody (1)	28:13;66:24;68:5;	78:6;82:5;83:22;85:3;	128:19;139:20,23;
26:23;27:9,10;	139:19	81:16;91:20;96:11	99:16;103:22;106:16,	140:3;141:15;162:11
51:19,25;52:1,13;	somehow (3) 29:5;115:5,21	<b>specifics (1)</b> 106:9	17;107:5;111:24;	states (11) 13:11;18:23;37:2;
55:16 <b>skepticism (1)</b>		specified (1)	112:11;123:14;126:9, 16;137:22;140:4;	41:13;62:7;80:20;
140:6	someone (1) 145:20	88:13	146:1,7:148:6,11,19;	101:18;105:10;115:7,
skilled (3)	sometime (2)	speech (8)	157:6	10:144:16
80:22;82:1;83:7	160:19,19	35:12;59:9;85:19;	standards (33)	state's (8)
skills (1)	Sometimes (2)	117:24;127:15;129:4;	5:24;7:6;10:4,24;	22:15;101:13;
74:19	5:7;103:4	161:7,14	12:14,14,23;16:17;	102:16;107:20;
skip (3)	somewhat (1)	spend (1)	17:22;20:13;35:23;	112:17;114:13;125:6;
13:19;17:16;81:12	105:17	132:7	40:21;53:2,10;54:4,	126:9
slight (1)	somewhere (3)	spent (2)	20;61:14;65:7;85:9;	statewide (1)
42:11	84:23;117:23;	90:4,10	86:3;88:13;94:13;	23:11
Slope (2)	129:22	spoke (2)	95:23,25;135:25;	stating (2)
17:1,11	sons (1)	48:23;62:16	136:5;156:17,19,20;	72:22;124:15
slow (2)	42:13	spoken (2)	157:1,9,14,23	statistics (1)
133:7;165:23	soon (3)	62:17,18	standard's (1)	72:17
-				

LBC Auliaa borough De	ecisional Meeting			140Veiliber 12, 202-
statue (1)	139:7	106:5	50:16	25;28:15;33:16;
53:24	studied (1)	suitable (8)	talk (13)	39:13;40:17;47:22;
status (3)	136:13	13:14;19:7;32:14;	15:5;17:14;20:10,	48:25;50:3;72:10;
16:5;29:5;50:5	studies (5)	54:8;61:23;67:6;68:6;	15;24:24;44:18;46:9;	73:16;77:24;80:4;
statute (9)	35:17;36:24;	149:10	52:1;90:16;110:16;	81:19;119:1,14;
10:25;12:21;29:3;	136:11,12;161:6	summarizes (1)	126:18;140:9;151:24	126:10;135:2;159:19
37:11;39:1;49:14;	study (7)	78:20	talked (13)	tend (4)
62:3;67:5;160:16	20:11;26:10;35:14;	supple (1)	28:12;39:16;53:14;	41:13;47:16;48:16;
statutes (3)	108:24;110:10;	62:15	54:20;57:6,8,9,10;	87:16
6:13;11:6;28:7	136:10,13	supplements (1)	90:1;113:13;119:17;	tends (1)
statutory (2)	stuff (1)	5:5	134:22;145:14	65:3
51:2;103:6	141:6	supplies (1)	talking (21)	tenure (2)
staying (1)	subdivision (1)	64:7	32:6;39:8;41:7;	30:1;109:20
69:18	95:23	support (14)	45:3;50:10;66:9;	term (2)
steady (1)	subject (1)	9:20;13:3;19:14;	69:20;70:2;115:3;	142:13;150:15
45:14	6:13	36:23;71:14;72:1,16,	116:22;118:4;122:5,	terms (13)
step (7)	submerged (2)	23;75:20;81:7;86:10;	7,9;123:19;131:20;	15:20;23:11;40:13;
21:19;51:6,25;	14:8;101:14	115:24;117:21;	134:18;135:24;136:4,	49:19;67:3;84:17;
103:10;108:1,4,11	submit (2)	149:20	23;138:8	87:23;94:24;143:6,
step-by (1)	25:23;131:5	supported (1)	talks (2)	13;146:21;147:9;
29:18	submittal (2)	81:8	48:5;88:10	150:13
steps (2)	84:23;85:5	suppose (2)	target (1)	territory (5)
20:25;21:22	submitted (6)	109:15;141:12	111:17	8:25;102:11,16,18;
sticking (1)	2:10;5:4;8:12;39:6;	supposedly (1)	tasteful (1)	103:5
123:9	64:4:95:19	60:4	79:14	testimony (5)
still (11)	submitting (1)	Supreme (1)	tax (14)	3:20;5:3;15:2;48:7;
18:7;31:24;60:8,10,	164:5	102:21	19:25;34:20;38:4;	147:7
18;85:20;86:15;	subsection (4)	sure (15)	79:20,23;81:11;	thanks (10)
125:24;128:5;132:10;	5:20;11:16;14:6,17	5:16;16:11;31:13;	83:18;88:25;90:2,3;	66:17;74:23;
165:24	subsistence (2)	32:3;34:13;35:1;	91:13;120:6;122:11;	100:21;110:20;
stinging (1)	9:2;80:5	67:16;71:3;84:6;94:5;	142:8	137:19;165:25;166:1,
51:19	substandard (1)	102:8;109:12;137:3;	taxable (1)	4,8;167:9
stipulation (1)	21:7	154:2;164:17	83:1	Thanksgiving (1)
130:20	substantial (1)	surely (1)	taxation (7)	167:10
stop (4)	104:14	109:22	9:15;83:21;94:8;	therefore (3)
33:6;43:8;108:8;	successful (4)	surrounding (4)	96:19;121:20;122:8;	7:16;106:13;119:5
127:10	19:22;36:4;46:12;	9:13,24;29:4;	133:17	thinking (7)
straight (1)	86:18	106:22	taxes (5)	42:6;60:19;87:9;
44:15	successfully (2)	sustain (1)	25:15;38:4;45:5;	101:5;126:8,13;136:7
Strait (7)	79:17,22	75:8	121:18;134:11	third (5)
33:13;78:24;79:10,	successor (1)	sustaining (5)	taxi (1)	69:22;78:6;82:5;
18;80:2,18;86:21	104:15	81:1,16;83:11;	63:25	102:9;117:15
straitjacket (1)	suddenly (1)	93:14;98:17	taxing (1)	thoroughly (2)
18:8	87:10	swath (1)	122:6	98:19;137:22
stressed (1)	suffice (1)	117:14	tax-levying (1)	Though (13)
48:11	154:25	switch (1)	11:12	16:16;17:4;64:12;
strictly (2)	sufficient (4)	155:21	teach (1)	70:2;71:4;77:1;83:18;
17:25;72:14	63:9,17;70:5;72:15	sworn (1)	114:9	107:21;108:13;126:9,
stronger (1)	sufficiently (3)	150:12	teaches (1)	17;130:3;160:9
119:19	71:13,25;75:19	system (7)	42:14	thought (8)
strongly (3)	, ,	18:15;64:1;107:1;	Teams (6)	3:12;18:17;20:7;
	suggest (4)		` ′	
52:21;133:15,16	16:25;95:15;	111:13;115:21;	155:13,15,18,19,20;	26:3;51:22;109:22;
structural (1)	100:10;155:10	121:13;122:22	156:1	123:19;134:6
113:24	suggested (4)	systems (1)	technically (1)	thoughtful (1)
structure (3)	20:23;97:24,25;	123:6	146:2	166:11
115:2,16;143:10	151:17	system's (1)	technology (2)	thoughts (7)
structuring (1)	suggesting (7)	122:21	44:16;74:19	18:22;22:7;137:23;
	10:15;48:25;72:18;	T	teleconferencing (2) 63:4;66:8	138:5;150:3;163:23;
18:15	05.7.100.00.150.10		h 1'4'hh'X	166:14
struggling (1)	85:7;128:23;152:13,	1		
struggling (1) 121:22	19		telephone (1)	thousand (1)
struggling (1) 121:22 stuck (1)	19 suggestion (1)	table (2)	telephone (1) 63:4	thousand (1) 75:17
struggling (1) 121:22	19		telephone (1)	thousand (1)

	(1)	62.16.64.6	15 12 20 20 20 6	46 10 47 2 57 21
three (46)	token (1)	63:16;64:6	15:13;28:20;30:6,	46:19;47:3;57:21;
6:9;7:11;15:13;	90:24	Trek (2)	13;44:14;58:9;71:1;	104:10;107:6;111:25; 145:25;146:4
21:9;29:2,12;31:19;	told (3)		164:16,17 73:13;86:16;88:18;	
37:13;38:24;39:8;	43:22;86:17;162:10	tremendous (1) 89:21;146:20;152:7;		universities (1)
49:17;52:8,19;54:16;	Tom (1)	79:19 163:22;165:3		56:8
57:9;58:9;59:22;	18:11	trends (1)	type (3)	University (1)
70:25;72:18;78:25;	Tongass (1)	73:1	34:21;98:25;125:17	109:9
96:17;98:22;99:8,14;	80:18	tribe (1)	types (1)	unlawful (1)
101:14;105:20;111:7;	Tony (1)	9:6	41:15	102:25
118:16;128:10;130:3,	29:9	tribes (1)	Typically (1)	unless (6)
23;131:6,15,22,25;	took (6)	103:7	163:2	10:14;18:5;60:10;
132:5;133:2,10;	16:4;24:4;30:18;	tribe's (1)	<b>T</b> T	72:4;139:18;145:20
135:6;136:11;138:7;	40:23;124:14;125:9	103:5	U	unlike (2)
152:6;158:11,14,23;	top (2)	tried (2)		29:8;105:23
159:6	104:1;138:24	46:10;124:12	ultimately (3)	unlikely (1)
thriving (3)	totality (1)	tripped (1)	40:25;97:2;111:20	81:25
23:1;73:20;75:9	50:25	88:9	unanimous (3)	unorganized (15)
throughout (8)	touch (1)	Trotter (73)	77:14;147:25;148:4	8:22;11:23;12:6,12;
62:14,18,19,23;	69:18	4:16;43:13;44:7,13,	undecided (1)	20:12;23:5;35:22;
64:8;102:11;106:11;	tour (1)	17;46:25;53:7,20;	124:10	36:14;105:5;107:15,
113:8	72:25	54:25;55:22;56:7,13,	under (31)	16;109:24;144:15;
throw (1) 152:17	tourism (12)	18,22,25;57:7,13;	5:20;7:14;11:18;	145:19;164:3
	34:22;42:7;69:4;	58:18,21;59:24;	12:10;13:21;14:6;	unprecedented (1) 103:1
throwing (1) 90:12	72:25;78:23;80:7,8;	60:23;65:22;68:23;	16:6;20:2;36:12;37:4;	unsatisfactorily (1)
Thursday (2)	86:13;92:17;93:2,5; 98:10	69:24;76:2;92:1; 112:7,23;116:14,18;	43:4;45:5;46:20; 51:15;53:4,11,13;	105:17
153:7,21	tourist (2)	117:2,4,7,11,25;	55:18;62:4;67:1;	unskilled (3)
tied (2)	79:4;92:24	117.2,4,7,11,23, 118:3,15;120:13,22;	74:10;99:6,10;101:5,	80:23;82:1;83:8
30:14;31:10	tourists (2)	121:1,5,7;123:23;	14,25;104:8,10,24;	untapped (1)
ties (4)	87:1;92:20	126:12,20;127:2,7,12,	109:14;145:4	9:14
9:24;65:14;66:1;	toward (5)	16,20;129:3,5;	underscored (1)	unusual (1)
80:17	22:1,3;37:20;51:9;	133:14;135:12,19;	147:12	104:14
Tillinghast (7)	136:17	136:25;137:5,8,11;	understandably (1)	unwarranted (1)
2:9,10;27:3;46:12;	towards (2)	151:10,11;155:25;	119:6	46:11
51:20;85:7;119:8	111:1,1	156:6;160:5,7;161:9,	understood (2)	unwieldy (1)
Tillinghast's (4)	town (1)	11,12,23,24;165:1;	90:3;157:13	48:12
3:4;45:12;47:18;	86:21	167:3,10	undertaking (1)	up (36)
102:5	towns (1)	true (5)	56:11	10:23;15:14;17:6;
Tillion (1)	34:14	20:3;23:21;42:21;	unemployment (1)	18:22;30:7,24;32:8;
110:4	township (2)	58:4;79:19	73:1	40:8;45:12;48:18;
tiny (1)	115:12,12	truly (4)	unfair (1)	55:12;56:21,23;61:5;
16:4	townships (1)	16:9;64:16;68:3,13	119:21	67:14;92:15;98:7;
tired (1)	115:15	truthful (1)	unfold (1)	101:4;102:2;103:21;
149:2	townsite (3)	47:20	153:1	107:12;109:15;
titles (1)	95:21;139:2;142:3	try (6)	unfortunate (1)	110:18;113:17;117:3;
4:14	traditional (1)	4:17;44:25;120:11;	125:22	124:1,22;130:11;
Tlingit (2)	70:18	127:22;155:9;156:1	unfortunately (1)	133:1;143:23;155:6;
8:24;9:4	transcript (2)	trying (4)	108:2	157:25;161:5,5;
Tlingit's (3)	2:5,6	37:17;49:1;90:21;	<b>UNIDENTIFIED (2)</b>	162:25;165:16
9:1;102:10,15	transference (1)	91:17	100:9;154:16	updated (1)
today (19)	110:24	TUESDAY (1)	unified (6)	37:16
3:17;4:4,20;5:6;	transition (3)	2:1	12:25;13:6;20:2;	updating (1)
7:3;41:3;49:13;87:8;	82:23;138:25;139:9	turn (6)	28:24;50:5;65:2	136:9
119:17;134:4;135:25;	translate (1)	40:24;71:5;87:11;	uniform (1)	upon (9)
146:23;147:6;150:7;	75:11	138:16;156:10;	9:15	5:1;6:8,20;8:20;
153:4;160:12;161:2;	transport (1)	157:17	unique (1)	85:9;94:12;95:14;
164:19;166:22	79:4	turned (1)	28:4	134:16;157:15
today's (2)	transportation (12)	16:15	unit (3)	urban (2)
10:17;37:16	9:21;12:16;14:12;	turns (1)	47:1,2;146:6	62:10;65:17
together (7)	25:18;30:15;32:21;	51:22	United (1)	urge (1)
23:18;44:18;69:11;	62:15,23;63:2;65:5,	twice (1)	115:10	35:10
119:24;125:19;	14;106:25	50:20	units (11)	usage (1)
132:21;136:15	travel (2)	two (15)	11:11;12:3;43:3;	70:11
	1	1	I .	<u> </u>

LBC Xunaa Borough Decisional Meeting  November 12, 2024				
use (10)	142:24	wants (2)	whichever (1)	90:15;92:2;93:9,20;
9:12,25;32:19;	vision (1)	97:2;145:20	90:8	94:4;96:25;98:2,6;
48:19;64:9;83:2;	20:3	warranted (1)	Whitestone (1)	100:3,11,15,21;101:1;
94:25;96:20,21;121:2	visit (2)	6:16	72:10	108:9;110:20;112:4,
used (3)	42:4,4	watching (1)	whole (13)	9,21;116:10,16,21;
63:5;64:8;164:15	visited (1)	41:20	20:18,22;50:19;	117:3,6,8,12;118:2,
useful (1)	86:15	water (15)	88:8;112:24;113:25;	12,16;120:19,23;
164:21	voice (2)	9:13;13:15;14:1;	121:23;131:18;	121:4,6;123:12,21;
using (4)	10:5;119:19	32:15;49:10;54:9;	133:21;140:20;141:6;	124:7;126:7,14;
21:23;29:6;69:9;	voluntarily (5)	59:3;60:5,9,24;61:1;	165:6;166:10	127:1,6,11,14,19,24;
70:16	36:9,16;110:1;	62:23;69:13;101:16;	widely (1)	129:12,24;130:12,25;
usual (1)	127:9;136:22	117:8	35:25	131:9,19;132:10;
165:4	voluntary (4)	watercraft (1)	wife (1)	133:6;134:2;135:11,
usually (1)	8:17;25:20;36:2,19	64:8	164:4	16,21;137:3,7,10,13;
4:6	volunteer (1)	waters (1)	wild (1)	138:10,13;140:16;
utilized (1)	62:20	101:6	86:14	141:11;143:14;
79:22	volunteers (1)	way (39)	William (2)	148:17;150:17;
${f v}$	146:24	21:15;23:19;24:2;	17:3,9	151:14,15,21;152:4,8,
<b>V</b>	vote (28)	28:17,18;32:7;40:24;	willing (4)	18,24;153:12,23,25;
vacation (1)	9:9;99:1,11,15;	42:6,19;45:10;47:6,	31:2,7;85:8;164:7	154:2,7,17,20,22;
vacation (1)	114:19,22;116:17;	19,20;51:8,21;67:20;	willingness (4)	155:18;156:8,13;
87:15 Voldoz (1)	117:18,19;118:5,8,18; 119:10,25;133:15;	76:9;86:16;87:4;89:3; 93:7;103:24;106:10;	25:1;46:9;130:15; 132:14	158:5,16,21;159:11, 24;160:3,6;161:10,
Valdez (1) 15:22	150:3,19,24;151:2,4,	107:19;113:4;115:14,	wisdom (2)	15;162:2,2,3,5;
valuable (1)	19,21;152:5;156:17,	23;118:5;122:13;	56:8;113:10	163:12,21;164:10;
166:18	20;157:13;161:16,17	124:4,15;127:12;	wisely (1)	165:9;166:2,9,19;
value (1)	voted (4)	132:8;133:4,8;	110:9	167:2,4
139:16	120:24;148:3;	150:16;151:19;	wish (4)	Wood's (1)
variations (1)	151:19;160:9	155:14;159:3	51:8;76:12,13;	22:23
95:10	voter (3)	ways (8)	108:4	words (3)
various (8)	45:23;143:23;144:4	23:17;45:18,19,23;	within (25)	5:16;66:7;89:6
10:4;15:24;26:18;	voters (3)	70:18;111:17;150:9;	4:20;9:16;30:23;	work (13)
31:14;53:15;54:13;	112:14;124:21,23	160:24	32:25;33:5;62:11;	15:23;23:17;25:12;
108:25;118:19	votes (6)	wealth (2)	63:10;66:11;72:18,	32:7;37:20;45:7;56:9;
vast (2)	6:10;7:11;31:19;	9:14;113:7	24;78:7;79:12;82:25;	77:20;119:24;125:9,
24:16;118:6	38:24;143:25;152:6	weekly (2)	83:2,2;87:24;88:22;	19;143:4;152:3
vehicle (1)	voting (11)	63:13,14	90:7;95:1;98:23;	worked (4)
160:15	87:14;117:17;	weigh (11)	124:23;125:25;131:4;	45:25;49:2;76:11;
verbiage (5)	118:2;124:19;147:22,	23:25;43:10;64:22;	139:2,7	120:10
11:15;77:10;89:4;	23;148:7,8;150:13,	66:15;70:8;89:18;	without (12)	workers (1)
158:17;159:12	22;161:7	97:2,10;102:19;	7:13;16:23;21:18,	75:4
verify (1)	voting's (1)	110:19;145:20	19;29:4;46:15;81:11;	working (6)
44:6	114:18	weighed (3)	88:25;91:12;121:20;	29:11;37:21;40:24;
versus (2)	***	52:7;65:24;103:23	128:25;133:17	76:16;122:21,24
15:15;34:21	W	weight (2)	wonder (2)	world (3)
vessel (1)	:4 (2)	103:3,4	26:5;134:8	37:17;51:21;87:16
80:10	wait (2)	welfare (1)	wondering (3)	worry (1)
Vic (3)	20:2;45:13	42:23	53:16;128:3;146:17	29:22
15:4;77:6;109:3	walk (1) 87:1	western (1) 28:17	<b>WOOD (165)</b> 2:18,24;7:21,22;	worth (1) 77:18
vice (1) 5:11	WALKER (36)	what's (15)	8:1;22:6,12;23:24;	Wrangell (4)
view (11)	2:22;4:16;7:21,22,	3:13;5:1,2;11:4;	24:13;31:12;32:1,4;	26:24;27:8;30:7,17
39:24;58:7;60:21;	23;22:8,9;43:21,25;	55:5;64:22;82:7;	38:7,11,17;43:17,23;	writes (4)
90:21;102:24;107:9;	44:8;47:10,11;53:24;	89:16;93:22;98:10;	44:1,5,10,16,21;47:7,	15:16;78:5,9,9
112:18;132:4;158:9;	58:25;61:7;66:17;	113:20;114:17;116:4;	16;48:5;51:17;53:9,	writings (1)
159:17;164:23	67:22;74:23;83:23,	119:18,20	22;54:2;55:11;56:3,	18:12
views (1)	24;92:3;110:20;	whatsoever (1)	15,20,23;57:1,8,14;	written (24)
147:23	142:15,16;147:22;	17:7	58:20,24;59:10;	2:11,20;4:20,22;
VII (1)	148:3,5,20;150:4;	Whereas (1)	60:20;61:2,12;64:25;	5:4;15:2;37:12;64:22;
162:14	151:12,13;155:15,20;	130:18	65:20,24;67:10;68:4;	78:22;88:5;128:20;
violation (1)	161:25;162:1;166:8	whereby (4)	69:16;70:7,23;74:7,	133:8;146:25;147:4,
16:1	Walker's (1)	19:8;25:22;39:1;	22;75:24;76:22;77:5;	10,11;153:1,5,6,13,
virtually (1)	160:21	149:10	84:22;85:13,23;	14;162:11;163:6,15
÷ · ·				

LBC Xunaa Borough D	ecisional Meeting	T		Novei
wrong (1)	100:13,15	1991 (1)	145:23;162:9	900 (1)
21:3	10 (1)	15:10	3:21 (1)	117:22
wrote (4)	137:16		156:9	96 (1)
12:11;24:14;88:7;	10,000 (1)	2	30 (2)	36:15
102:9	144:22		4:20;153:5	97 (1)
	10:00 (1)	2 (9)	37 (2)	33:8
$\mathbf{X}$	2:2	13:5;71:5;78:3;	103:25;104:17	
	100 (2)	82:6;88:19;131:23;	38 (5)	
Xunaa (7)	70:14;108:10	148:1,3;162:17	138:23;139:21;	
7:24;19:23;21:25;	11 (1)	2:00 (1)	141:18;144:9;145:24	
27:24;51:10;58:14;	15:9	137:2	4	
117:5	11:30 (3)	2:25 (4)	4	
Y	43:24;44:3,24	137:4,8,10,11	4 (4)	
<u> </u>	110.060 (2)	<b>2:26 (1)</b> 137:15	4 (4)	
Yakutat (11)	13:11;58:22 110.273 (1)	20 (3)	36:8;100:8;104:1,2 <b>44.03.10 (1)</b>	
15:12;17:8,18,24;	88:10	20:14;21:8;43:16	101:25	
18:2,4;101:6,17,18,	110.570 (3)	200 (1)	44.62.310c (1)	
20,23	3:13,16;162:9	20:12	154:12	
yea (1)	110.570c (1)	2000 (1)	134.12	-
130:4	95:17	26:23	5	
year (4)	110.60 (2)	2003 (3)	-	-
26:23;52:3;109:9,	53:14;54:3	20:14;35:22;37:12	5 (6)	
10	110.970b (1)	2007 (1)	46:8;47:20,20;	
years (16)	141:20	26:23	102:6;138:17;141:22	
15:11;21:8;30:23,	110.981 (4)	200-some-odd (1)	50 (1)	
23,24;47:24;69:8;	11:16;104:9,22;	115:8	33:25	
77:18,20;86:14;	144:10	2010 (1)	56 (1)	
92:10;108:12,21;	110.982 (5)	26:24	120:20	
131:5;164:9;165:22	11:25;43:4;46:20;	2013 (1)	57 (1)	
Yep (1)	104:10;145:23	26:24	120:21	
57:13	110.990 (1)	2022 (1)	59 (2)	
Z	14:5	103:6	111:9;120:25	
L	12 (2) 2:1;153:7	2024 (1) 2:1	6	
zinlina (1)	12th (2)	211 (1)	U	-
<b>zipline (1)</b> 79:3	153:22;163:7	37:1	65 (1)	
zoning (3)	13 (1)	22 (2)	108:21	
85:1,2;86:3	36:13	72:8;78:5	100.21	-
Zoom (7)	14 (1)	24 (1)	7	
5:7;150:6,6;155:10,	105:6	81:3		-
12,15,22	<b>140-something</b> (1)	25 (3)	7 (1)	
	24:9	47:24;78:18;105:19	8:13	
0	15 (2)	26 (1)	7.03 (1)	
	164:9;165:22	78:19	95:17	
03 (2)	16 (1)	28 (2)	75 (1)	
108:24;110:3	120:18	14:6;35:25	144:21	
07 (1)	17 (5)	29.05.031 (1)	O	
110:10	61:18;63:22;64:20;	12:22	8	
1	103:24;139:23 19 (5)	<b>29.05.031a3</b> (1) 78:10	90 (1)	
1	63:23;64:21;71:7;	/0.10	80 (1)	
1 (5)	73:25;121:4	3	42:17 83 (1)	
11:16,18;12:3;	1950 (1)	J	<b>83 (1)</b> 36:10	
104:24;147:20	35:7	3 (32)	885 (1)	
1,000 (6)	1959 (2)	3:13,16;8:16;10:13;	144:19	
72:3;73:5;74:9,10;	120:22;136:19	11:15,24;12:9;13:11;	11111/	-
75:2,6	1961 (1)	14:5;37:7;40:24;43:4;	9	
1,858 (1)	36:3	46:20;48:8;53:14;		-
33:17	1963 (3)	54:3;56:21,24;58:22;	9 (1)	
1:00 (1)	25:8;36:12;110:5	71:7;73:5;74:1;88:9,	14:17	
100:12	1990 (1)	21;95:17;104:9,10,	90 (1)	
1:15 (2)	33:16	22;141:20;144:10;	101:9	
` /		, , , , , , , ,		